

1 So it would be more like a David and Goliath. You get to
2 come in there and be the underdog again against the big company
3 and against the big government.

4 **MR. BELSHAW:** It is a role I cherish.

5 **THE COURT:** They are trying to suppress the evidence
6 and all that.

7 **MR. BELSHAW:** It is a role I cherish. However, I've
8 got to look at the practicality of the issue here.

9 **THE COURT:** What is that?

10 **MR. BELSHAW:** And the issue is that it will require
11 some additional work. It will require some additional research
12 and at this point, again, I'm struck by the unfairness of it.

13 Secondly, and I think this is important, Sikorsky in this
14 case -- and they are quite candid about the fact that they were
15 the man behind the curtains. They were providing the
16 declarations. This is their third bite at the apple. The
17 first declaration was not sufficient on the motion for summary
18 judgment to convince this Court that these were, in fact,
19 confidential financial documents.

20 They got a second chance. The Court gave them a chance to
21 file yet another declaration, specifically telling Sikorsky
22 that you had to go through these documents line by line,
23 instance by instance, and explain why they were protected
24 confidential information.

25 They took a third bite at the apple when they filed this