

H.R. 4435—FY15 NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION BILL

CHAIRMAN’S MARK

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE.....	1
BILL LANGUAGE.....	41
DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE.....	311

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 101—Authorization of Appropriations

SUBTITLE C—NAVY PROGRAMS

Section 122—Construction of San Antonio Class Amphibious Ship

SUBTITLE D—AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

Section 132—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Retirement of U-2 Aircraft

Section 133—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Retirement of A-10 Aircraft

Section 134—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Divestment or Transfer of KC-10 Aircraft

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding

SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROVISIONS

Section 312—Exclusions from Definition of "Chemical Substance" Under Toxic Substances Control Act and Report on Lead Ammunition

SUBTITLE D—REPORTS

Section 332—Report on Enduring Requirements and Activities Currently Funded Through Amounts Authorized to Be Appropriated for Overseas Contingency Operations

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Section 352—Sense of Congress on Access to Training Ranges within United States Pacific Command Area of Responsibility

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE D—MILITARY JUSTICE, INCLUDING SEXUAL ASSAULT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

Section 534—Consultation with Victims of Sexual Assault Regarding Victims' Preference for Prosecution of Offense by Court-Martial or Civilian Court

Section 535—Modification of Military Rules of Evidence Relating to Admissibility of General Military Character Toward Probability of Innocence

Section 536—Confidential Review of Characterization of Terms of Discharge of
Members of the Armed Forces Who Are Victims of Sexual Offenses

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—PAY AND ALLOWANCES

Section 602—No Fiscal Year 2015 Increase in Basic Pay for General and Flag
Officers

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE C—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 727—Briefing on Hospitals in Arrears in Payments to Department of
Defense

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AMENDMENTS TO GENERAL CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES, PROCEDURES, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 801—Extension to United States Transportation Command of
Authorities Relating to Prohibition on Contracting with the Enemy

SUBTITLE B—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

Section 811—Three-Year Extension of and Amendments to Test Program for
Negotiation of Comprehensive Small Business Subcontracting Plans

Section 812—Improving Opportunities for Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned
Small Businesses

Section 813—Plan for Improving Data on Bundled and Consolidated Contracts

Section 814—Authority to Provide Education to Small Businesses on Certain
Requirements of Arms Export Control Act

Section 815—Prohibition on Reverse Auctions for Covered Contracts

Section 816—SBA Surety Bond Guarantee

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

Section 901—Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department
of the Navy and Marine Corps

Section 902—Additional Responsibility for Director of Operational Test and
Evaluation

Section 903—Extension of Authority to Waive Reimbursement of Costs of
Activities for Nongovernmental Personnel at Department of Defense Regional
Centers for Security Studies

Section 906—Combatant Command Efficiency Plan

Section 909—Office of Net Assessment

SUBTITLE B—TOTAL FORCE MANAGEMENT

Section 912—Repeal of Extension of Comptroller General Report on Inventory

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1001—General Transfer Authority

Section 1002—Repeal of Limitation on Inspector General Audits of Certain Financial Statements

SUBTITLE B—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Section 1011—Extension of Authority to Support Unified Counterdrug and Counterterrorism Campaign in Colombia

Section 1012—Three-Year Extension of Authority of Department of Defense to Provide Additional Support for Counterdrug Activities of Other Governmental Agencies

Section 1013—Sense of Congress on Mexico and Central America

SUBTITLE D—COUNTERTERRORISM

Section 1032—Prohibition on Use of Funds to Construct or Modify Facilities in the United States to House Detainees Transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

Section 1033—Prohibition on the Use of Funds for the Transfer or Release of Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

SUBTITLE E—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1046—Submittal of Procedures and Reports Relating to Sensitive Military Operations

SUBTITLE F—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Section 1052—Response of the Department of Defense to Compromises of Classified Information

SUBTITLE G—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1061—Technical and Clerical Amendments

Section 1063—Sale or Donation of Excess Personal Property for Border Security Activities

Section 1067—Resubmission of 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

Section 1201—One-Year Extension of Global Security Contingency Fund

Section 1202—Notice to Congress on Certain Assistance Under Authority to Conduct Activities to Enhance the Capability of Foreign Countries to Respond to Incidents Involving Weapons of Mass Destruction

Section 1203—Enhanced Authority for Provision of Support to Foreign Military Liaison Officers of Foreign Countries While Assigned to the Department of Defense

Section 1204—Annual Report on Human Rights Vetting and Verification Procedures of the Department of Defense

SUBTITLE B—MATTERS RELATING TO AFGHANISTAN AND PAKISTAN

Section 1211—Extension of Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan

Section 1212—Extension of Authority for Reimbursement of Certain Coalition Nations for Support Provided to United States Military Operations

Section 1213—Extension of Certain Authorities for Support of Foreign Forces Supporting or Participating with the United States Armed Forces

Section 1214—Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan under Operation Resolute Support

Section 1215—Requirement to Withhold Department of Defense Assistance to Afghanistan in Amount Equivalent to 150 Percent of All Taxes Assessed by Afghanistan to Extent Such Taxes are not Reimbursed by Afghanistan

Section 1216—United States Plan for Sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces Through the End of Fiscal Year 2018

Section 1217—Sense of Congress on United States Military Commitment to Operation Resolute Support in Afghanistan

Section 1218—Extension of Afghan Special Immigrant Program

SUBTITLE C—MATTERS RELATING TO THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Section 1221—Limitation on Military Contact and Cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation

Section 1225—Report on Non-Compliance by the Russian Federation of its Obligations under the INF Treaty

Section 1226—Sense of Congress Regarding Russian Aggression Toward Ukraine

SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1233—Modification of National Security Planning Guidance to Deny Safe Havens to Al-Qaeda and its Violent Extremist Affiliates

Section 1234—Strategic Framework for United States Security Force Assistance and Cooperation in the European and Eurasian Regions

Section 1235—Report on "New Normal" and General Mission Requirements of United States Africa Command

Section 1237—Enhanced Authority to Acquire Goods and Services of Djibouti in Support of Department of Defense Activities in United States Africa Command Area of Responsibility

Section 1238—Report on Goals and Objectives Guiding Military Engagement with Burma

Section 1239—Sense of Congress on United States Presence and Cooperation in the Arabian Gulf Region to Deter Iran

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds

Section 1302—Funding Allocations

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY PROGRAMS

Section 1401—Working Capital Funds

Section 1402—Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense

Section 1403—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

Section 1404—Defense Inspector General

Section 1405—Defense Health Program

SUBTITLE B—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

Section 1411—Revisions To Previously Authorized Disposals From The National Defense Stockpile

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

Section 1501—Purpose

Section 1502—Procurement

Section 1503—Operation and Maintenance

Section 1504—Military Personnel

Section 1505—Other Appropriations

SUBTITLE B—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1511—Treatment as Additional Authorizations

Section 1512—Special Transfer Authority

SUBTITLE C—LIMITATIONS, REPORTS, AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1521—Continuation of Existing Limitations on the Use of Funds in the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—SPACE ACTIVITIES

Section 1602—Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Notification

Section 1603—Satellite Communications Responsibilities of Executive Agent for Space

Section 1605—Pilot Program for Acquisition of Commercial Satellite Communication Services

SUBTITLE B—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED ACTIVITIES

Section 1615—Air Force Intelligence Organization

Section 1616—Prohibition on National Intelligence Program Consolidation

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS
TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL
PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
CHANGES

Section 2801—Prevention of Circumvention of Military Construction Laws

SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Section 2815—Special Easement Acquisition Authority, Pacific Missile Range
Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii

SUBTITLE D—LAND CONVEYANCES

Section 2841—Land Conveyance, Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, La Jolla,
California

Section 2847—Land Conveyance, Hanford Site, Washington

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL
SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER
AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY
PROGRAMS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration

Section 3102—Defense Environmental Cleanup

Section 3103—Other Defense Activities

Section 3104—Energy Security and Assurance

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 3118—Disposition of Weapons-Usable Plutonium

Section 3121—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Non-Proliferation
Activities Between the United States and the Russian Federation

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 3502—Special Rule for DD-17

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Section 4001—Authorization of Amounts in Funding Tables

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 101—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize appropriations for procurement at the levels identified in section 4101 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE C—NAVY PROGRAMS

Section 122—Construction of San Antonio Class Amphibious Ship

This section would provide the Secretary of the Navy incremental funding authority to enter into a contract for the ship construction of a San Antonio class amphibious ship.

SUBTITLE D—AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

Section 132—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Retirement of U-2 Aircraft

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 to make significant changes to retire, prepare to retire, or place U-2 aircraft in storage.

Section 133—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Retirement of A-10 Aircraft

This section would limit funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense to be obligated or expended to retire A-10 aircraft unless each such retired aircraft is maintained in type-1000 storage. In this section, the term “type-1000 storage” means storage of a retired aircraft in a near-flyaway condition that allows for the aircraft to be recalled into use by the Regular or Reserve Components of the Department of the Air Force.

Section 134—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Divestment or Transfer of KC-10 Aircraft

This section would prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from using any funds or taking any action during fiscal year 2015 to divest or transfer, or prepare to divest or transfer, any KC-10 aerial refueling aircraft of the Air Force.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize appropriations for research, development, test, and evaluation at the levels identified in section 4201 of division D of this Act.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding

This section would authorize appropriations for operation and maintenance activities at the levels identified in section 4301 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROVISIONS

Section 312—Exclusions from Definition of "Chemical Substance" Under Toxic Substances Control Act and Report on Lead Ammunition

This section would modify section 2602(2)(B) of title 15, United States Code, to add to the exclusions any component of any article including shot, bullets and other projectiles, propellants when manufactured for or used in such an article, and primers. This section would also require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than September 30, 2015, that would detail the costs for the procurement of small arms alternative lead ammunition and the qualification of non-lead alternatives, and include an assessment of which non-lead variants of ammunition exist.

SUBTITLE D—REPORTS

Section 332—Report on Enduring Requirements and Activities Currently Funded Through Amounts Authorized to Be Appropriated for Overseas Contingency Operations

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees concurrent with the President's budget for fiscal year 2016, pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, a one-time assessment of enduring mission requirements, equipping, training, sustainment, and other operation and maintenance-related activities of each military department, combat support agency, and the Department of Defense currently funded through the Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) budget. The provision also requires associated funding information and a 3-year migration plan to move enduring requirements funding into the base budget.

The committee is concerned about the large portion of enduring activities, training, sustainment, and other military requirements being funded through amounts authorized to be appropriated for OCO. The committee believes the Department of Defense is accepting high levels of risk in continuing to fund non-contingency related activities through the OCO budget and has not fully articulated the scope of enduring OCO-funded activities or a clear path forward in migrating enduring requirement resources to the base budget.

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Section 352—Sense of Congress on Access to Training Ranges within United States Pacific Command Area of Responsibility

This section would express the sense of Congress regarding access to training ranges within U.S. Pacific Command's area of responsibility.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE D—MILITARY JUSTICE, INCLUDING SEXUAL ASSAULT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

Section 534—Consultation with Victims of Sexual Assault Regarding Victims' Preference for Prosecution of Offense by Court-Martial or Civilian Court

This section would require the Secretary concerned to establish a procedure to ensure a victim of an alleged sexual-related offense is consulted regarding the victim's preference for prosecution authority by court-martial or a civilian court with jurisdiction over the offense.

Section 535—Modification of Military Rules of Evidence Relating to Admissibility of General Military Character Toward Probability of Innocence

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to modify the Military Rules of Evidence to make clear that the general military character of an accused is not admissible for the purpose of showing the probability of innocence except when the trait of the military character of an accused is relevant to an element of an offense for which the accused has been charged.

Section 536—Confidential Review of Characterization of Terms of Discharge of Members of the Armed Forces Who Are Victims of Sexual Offenses

This section would require the Secretaries of the military departments to establish a confidential process for victims of a sex-related offense to appeal,

through boards for correction of military records, the characterization of discharge or separation of the individual from the Armed Forces.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—PAY AND ALLOWANCES

Section 602—No Fiscal Year 2015 Increase in Basic Pay for General and Flag Officers

This section would freeze the basic pay rate for commissioned officers in the pay grades of O-7 through O-10 during calendar year 2015.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE C—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 727—Briefing on Hospitals in Arrears in Payments to Department of Defense

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to brief the congressional defense committees on the process used by the Defense Health Agency to collect payment from hospitals outside the Department of Defense. The briefing would include a list of each hospital that is more than 90 days in arrears in payment to the Secretary.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AMENDMENTS TO GENERAL CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES, PROCEDURES, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 801—Extension to United States Transportation Command of Authorities Relating to Prohibition on Contracting with the Enemy

This section would amend section 831(i)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) to add United States Transportation Command to the list of covered combatant commands.

SUBTITLE B—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

Section 811—Three-Year Extension of and Amendments to Test Program for Negotiation of Comprehensive Small Business Subcontracting Plans

This section would modify and extend the test program for negotiation of comprehensive small business subcontracting plans authorized by section 402 of the Small Business Administration Reauthorization and Amendments Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-574) and last amended by section 866 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81).

The committee notes that the test program was authorized to allow contracting activities in the military departments and the defense agencies to undertake one or more demonstration projects to determine whether the negotiation and administration of comprehensive subcontracting plans would reduce administrative burdens on contracts while enhancing opportunities for small business concerns. However, after nearly 24 years since the original authorization of the program, the test program has yet to provide evidence that it meets the original stated goal of the program in the committee report (H. Rept. 101-331) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991: “to be more advantageous to both the government and to small and small disadvantaged businesses,” and “to expand available participation in a broader range of subcontracting opportunities, especially in the technical area.”

Therefore, this section would include additional requirements to ensure that the test program collects the data necessary to assess its effectiveness and to standardize its requirements with other subcontracting programs:

(1) The test program would be expanded to apply to all of the small business subcontracting goals, as the committee believes that the program was not intended to ignore additional subcontracting programs of the Federal Government;

(2) The threshold for participation in the program would be raised from \$5.0 million to \$100.0 million because the test program was intended to apply to contractors with a substantial presence in defense acquisition, and all current participants exceed this threshold;

(3) Companies participating in the test program would be required to report data by North American Industrial Classification System codes, by major defense acquisition program, by military department, and by service contract exceeding \$100.0 million; and

(4) The requirements for data on the costs avoided as a result of the program would be expanded.

As with other subcontracting programs required by the Small Businesses Act, this section would stipulate that a failure of a participant to make a good-faith effort to comply with the program will be used as a factor in past performance evaluations.

Lastly, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the

Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate, not later than September 20, 2015, with an assessment of the program order to inform any future decision to reauthorize the program.

Section 812—Improving Opportunities for Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses

This section would amend section 657 of title 15, United States Code, by consolidating the verification and appeals processes for Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB) programs at the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Small Business Administration (SBA), and by moving the processes and resources of the SDVOSB verification programs at the Department of Veterans Affairs to the SBA. This section would also unify the definitions of SDVOSB in section 632 of title 15, United States Code, and section 8127(l) of title 38, United States Code, and would amend section 8127(f) of title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to enter into a memorandum of agreement with the Administrator of the Small Business Administration specifying the manner in which the Secretary shall notify the Administrator as to an individual's status as a veteran or a veteran with a service-connected disability. These changes should allow more efficient and transparent verification of small businesses requesting SDVOSB status.

Section 813—Plan for Improving Data on Bundled and Consolidated Contracts

This section would amend section 644 of title 15, United States Code, by requiring the Small Business Administration to work with other agencies to create and implement a data quality improvement plan to promote greater accuracy, transparency, and accountability in the reporting of contract bundling and consolidation. The committee recognizes that properly labeling a contract as bundled or consolidated is important to small business competition, as the process of contract labeling triggers a series of reviews and mitigation steps that promote opportunities for small business. Therefore, this section would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to initiate a study, not later than October 1, 2018, to examine the effectiveness of the required data quality improvement plan and report the findings of the study to the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate not later than 12 months after initiation of the study.

Section 814—Authority to Provide Education to Small Businesses on Certain Requirements of Arms Export Control Act

This section would amend section 21(c)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(1)) by including a requirement that applicants receiving grants pursuant to that section shall also assist small businesses by providing, where appropriate, education on the requirements applicable to small businesses under the regulations issued pursuant to the Arms Export Control Act (chapter 39 of title 22, United States Code) and on compliance with those requirements. This section would also amend section 2418 of title 10, United States Code, by expanding the Procurement Technical Assistance Program to assist small business concerns with education related to export controls.

Section 815—Prohibition on Reverse Auctions for Covered Contracts

This section would express a sense of Congress that reverse auctions, when used appropriately, may improve the Federal Government's procurement of commercially available goods and services by increasing competition, reducing prices, and improving opportunities for small businesses. However, the committee is concerned that, if not used appropriately, reverse auctions can harm small businesses, reduce competition, and render higher purchase prices.

The committee remains concerned that the use of reverse auctions to procure services, such as design and construction services, or goods such as items of personal protective equipment and specific organizational clothing and equipment, may not serve the interests of the warfighter or the taxpayer. Therefore, this section would also amend the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631) to prohibit the use of reverse auctions for procurement of certain goods and services if the contract is awarded using a Small Business Act procurement authority. It would also limit the use of reverse auctions in cases where only one offer was received or where offerors do not have the ability to submit revised bids throughout the course of the auction. The section further clarifies that the desire to use a reverse auction does not obviate Federal agencies from the obligation to use a Small Business Act procurement authority.

Section 816—SBA Surety Bond Guarantee

This section would amend section 411(c)(1) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (Public Law 85-699) by raising the guarantee rate on the Small Business Administration's preferred security bond program from 70 percent to 90 percent. This should enable greater competition for government contracts by small business construction contractors, thereby reducing prices for the taxpayer. Data provided by the Small Business Administration to the House Committee on Small Business indicates that this change can be made at no cost to the taxpayer.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

Section 901—Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

This section would re-designate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and the Marine Corps and change the title of its secretary to the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps. This section would formally recognize the responsibility of the Office of the Secretary of the Navy over both the Navy and Marine Corps and the Marine Corps' status as an equal partner with the Navy.

Section 902—Additional Responsibility for Director of Operational Test and Evaluation

This section would amend section 139 of title 10, United States Code, by including a new subsection that would require the Director of Operational Test Evaluation to consider the potential for increases in program cost estimates or delays in schedule estimates in the implementation of policies, procedures, and activities related to operational test and evaluation, and to take appropriate action to ensure that the conduct of operational test and evaluation activities do not unnecessarily impede program schedules or increase program costs.

Elsewhere in this report, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of the operational test and evaluation processes and activities of the Department of Defense and to report the results of that review to the congressional defense committees not later than March 15, 2015.

Section 903—Extension of Authority to Waive Reimbursement of Costs of Activities for Nongovernmental Personnel at Department of Defense Regional Centers for Security Studies

This section would amend section 941(b)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 184) by extending for 5 years, the authority of the Secretary of Defense to waive the reimbursement of costs for certain nongovernmental personnel at the Department of Defense Regional Centers for Security Studies.

Section 906—Combatant Command Efficiency Plan

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to combine the back office functions of two or more combatant commands and to submit a report on the plan to the congressional defense committees within 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. This section would define the term

"back office functions" as those including, but not limited to, the administrative and support functions of a headquarters of a combatant command. This section would also limit fiscal year 2015 funds for the headquarters of the Joint Chiefs of Staff until the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs Of Staff, provides the briefing on combatant command headquarters personnel and resource requirements that was directed in the committee report (H. Rept. 113-102) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

The committee believes a focus by the Department of Defense on consolidating or eliminating organizations and personnel that perform similar functions and missions, such as administrative and support functions, can contribute to cost savings and efficiencies within the Department.

Section 909—Office of Net Assessment

This section would establish the Office of Net Assessment (ONA) as a new section in chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code, that includes a requirement for the Secretary of Defense to establish a separate, dedicated program element for ONA in the annual budget materials submitted to Congress. This section would also include a statement of policy that the office be maintained as an independent organization within the Department of Defense responsible for developing and coordinating net assessments of the military capabilities and potential of the United States in comparison with the military capabilities and potential of other countries or groups of countries, so as to identify emerging or future threats or opportunities for the United States.

The committee is aware that the Secretary of Defense plans to realign ONA under the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy. While the committee supports the Secretary's objective to have ONA's long-range comparative assessments inform and influence the Department's overall strategy and policy, it remains concerned that such a realignment may ultimately impact the freedom and flexibility of the office to examine long-range challenges and non-policy specific matters that may not always be in sync with the priorities and responsibilities of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy. Furthermore, the committee remains unconvinced that such a realignment would produce any meaningful cost savings or efficiencies that the Secretary hopes to achieve.

This section would therefore preserve the independence of the office, ensure that the head of the office reports directly to the Secretary of Defense, and create greater visibility into its budget. Elsewhere in this Act, the committee recommends an increase in funds for the office.

SUBTITLE B—TOTAL FORCE MANAGEMENT

Section 912—Repeal of Extension of Comptroller General Report on Inventory

This section would amend section 803(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84), as amended by section 951(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), by striking “2013, 2014, and 2015” and inserting “and 2013”. The effect of this amendment would be to repeal the requirement for the Comptroller General of the United States to report on efforts by the Department of Defense to compile and review the Department's inventory of contract services within 270 days after such inventory has been submitted to Congress. While the Comptroller General's work in this area has been beneficial, the committee notes that there are other existing mandates for reviews and reporting requirements regarding how the Department's inventory of contract services is used to inform workforce and budget decisions.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1001—General Transfer Authority

This section would allow the Secretary of Defense to make transfers between any amounts of authorizations for fiscal year 2015 in division A of this Act. This section would limit the total amount transferred under this authority to \$4.0 billion. This section would also require prompt notification to Congress of each transfer made.

Section 1002—Repeal of Limitation on Inspector General Audits of Certain Financial Statements

This section would strike subsection (d) of section 1008 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107-107) to permit an increase in the number of auditors available to provide independent reviews of audit practices throughout the Department of Defense, thus helping the Department streamline its efforts to ensure proper financial management. To comply with the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan to be audit ready by 2017, the Department of Defense requires a sufficient number of auditors within the Office of the Inspector General to provide independent audit reviews throughout the Department.

SUBTITLE B—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Section 1011—Extension of Authority to Support Unified Counterdrug and Counterterrorism Campaign in Colombia

This section would extend, by 1 year, support to the unified counterdrug and counterterrorism campaign in the Republic of Colombia originally authorized by section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375), and most recently amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66).

Section 1012—Three-Year Extension of Authority of Department of Defense to Provide Additional Support for Counterdrug Activities of Other Governmental Agencies

This section would extend, by 3 years, the authority of the Department of Defense to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of other governmental agencies originally authorized by section 1004 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510), and most recently amended by section 1005 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81).

Section 1013—Sense of Congress on Mexico and Central America

This section would express the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense should continue to support programs that combat illicit networking in the United Mexican States and Central America.

SUBTITLE D—COUNTERTERRORISM

Section 1032—Prohibition on Use of Funds to Construct or Modify Facilities in the United States to House Detainees Transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds available to the Department of Defense during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2015, to modify or construct any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house any detainee transferred from U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for the purposes of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense.

Section 1033—Prohibition on the Use of Funds for the Transfer or Release of Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would prohibit the use of any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2015, to transfer or release detainees at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions.

SUBTITLE E—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1046—Submittal of Procedures and Reports Relating to Sensitive Military Operations

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of 25 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available for fiscal year 2015 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict until the congressional defense committees receive the procedures required by section 130f(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, and the report required by section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66).

Sections 1041 and 1043 of Public Law 113-66 are critical to the congressional defense committees' oversight of targeted lethal and capture operations conducted by the armed forces. The committee is troubled that the Department has missed the statutory deadlines for submitting these materials.

SUBTITLE F—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Section 1052—Response of the Department of Defense to Compromises of Classified Information

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act on actions taken by the Secretary regarding significant compromises of classified information. The report shall include a description of any changes to Department of Defense policies or guidance relating to significant compromises of classified information, an overview of mitigation efforts, a description of the resources dedicated to efforts relating to such compromises, a description of the Secretary's plan to continue evaluating and mitigating any damages, and a general description and estimate of the cost associated with mitigating such compromises. This section would also require updates to the initial report on a semiannual basis during calendar years 2015-18.

SUBTITLE G—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1061—Technical and Clerical Amendments

This section would make a number of technical and clerical amendments of a non-substantive nature to existing law.

Section 1063—Sale or Donation of Excess Personal Property for Border Security Activities

This section would amend section 2576a of title 10, United States Code, "Excess personal property: sale or donation for law enforcement activities" to include border security activities and consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security when a transfer relates to the Department of Homeland Security.

The committee believes border security activities of the Department of Homeland Security should be considered alongside counterdrug and counterterrorism activities when determining the sale or donation of excess personal property for law enforcement activities.

Section 1067—Resubmission of 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to resubmit a report on the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) 2014 that was submitted, as required by section 118(d) of title 10, United States Code, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than October 1, 2014.

In the resubmitted report, the Secretary would be required to include: an articulation of a defense program for the next 20 years; an identification of the budget plan that would be required to provide sufficient resources to execute successfully the full range of missions called for in that national defense strategy at a low-to-moderate level of risk, and any additional resources required to achieve such a level of risk; and recommendations that are not constrained to comply with and are fully independent of the budget submitted to Congress by the President pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code. This section would limit authorized fiscal year 2015 funds for the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy until the report is resubmitted to Congress.

While the committee appreciates the work that went into the 2014 QDR, it remains concerned that these reviews have grown less compliant with the law and strayed further from the intent of Congress. The committee believes the QDR should provide a mechanism for setting the priorities of the Department of Defense, shaping the force, guiding capabilities and resources, and adjusting the organization to respond to changes in the strategic environment. In addition, it should assist Congress in better understanding the relationships and tradeoffs between missions, risks, and resources, particularly in light of geopolitical changes and domestic developments in the last few years.

The committee believes the 2014 QDR missed a major opportunity to bring together key national security stakeholders and strategic thinkers in the

Department to reshape the longer-term direction of the Nation's forces, their missions and capabilities, and needed resources. Instead, inconsistent with the QDR review and report requirements in sections 118(a) and (b) of title 10, United States Code, the 2014 QDR focused largely on the planned force structure associated with the 5 year Future Years Defense Program, and it contained a strategy that assumes increased risk to the force, without specifying the resources required to execute the strategy at a low-to-moderate level of risk.

The committee believes that much of the information to be included in the re-submitted report was produced over the course of the review and could be provided to the committee in a reasonable amount of time.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

Section 1201—One-Year Extension of Global Security Contingency Fund

This section would authorize a 1 year extension of the Global Security Contingency Fund (GSCF).

The committee remains concerned about the progress of the GSCF, as previously stated in the committee report (H. Rept. 113-102) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 and the committee report (H. Rept. 112-479) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013. The committee believes that if the Department of Defense and the Department of State cannot successfully establish full operational capability for the GSCF, including planning, executing, and assessing GSCF activities, then it may consider not renewing this authority in future years.

Elsewhere in this report, the committee recommends no dedicated funding for GSCF; rather, the committee believes projects contemplated under GSCF should compete within other security assistance priorities for funds otherwise available to the Department as they have been.

Section 1202—Notice to Congress on Certain Assistance Under Authority to Conduct Activities to Enhance the Capability of Foreign Countries to Respond to Incidents Involving Weapons of Mass Destruction

This section would amend section 1204 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) by adding the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives to the notification requirement.

Section 1203—Enhanced Authority for Provision of Support to Foreign Military Liaison Officers of Foreign Countries While Assigned to the Department of Defense

This section would amend section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide administrative and support services, to include certain training programs, for liaison officers of a foreign country, while such liaison officers are assigned temporarily to the headquarters of a combatant command, component command, or subordinate operational command of the United States. This section would further amend section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, to include a limitation on the authorized number of liaison officers and amount of unreimbursed support for travel, subsistence, and medical care expenses per fiscal year for any such liaison officer. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report on January 31 of each year from 2016-18 on the summary of expenses incurred by the United States for liaison officers of a developing country, and include the Department of Defense's definition of a "developing country" as used for the purposes of this authority.

The committee recognizes the role of foreign liaison officers at the combatant commands and component commands to provide expertise to the commander and staff to better understand the respective region and plan for contingencies in the command's area of responsibility. Although the committee recognizes foreign liaison officers are present at all commands, section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, provides specific authority to the Secretary of Defense to provide administrative and financial support to those liaison officers of a developing country involved in a current U.S. military operation. The committee believes the commands should continue to benefit from the unique contributions of the liaison officers beyond current military operations, such as Operation Enduring Freedom.

Section 1204—Annual Report on Human Rights Vetting and Verification Procedures of the Department of Defense

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit to Congress an annual report on human rights vetting and verification procedures of the Department of Defense. This report shall be submitted at the same time as the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

SUBTITLE B—MATTERS RELATING TO AFGHANISTAN AND PAKISTAN

Section 1211—Extension of Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan

This section would amend section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), as most recently amended by section 1211 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year

2014 (Public Law 113-66), by extending for 1 year the Commanders' Emergency Response Program in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.

Section 1212—Extension of Authority for Reimbursement of Certain Coalition Nations for Support Provided to United States Military Operations

This section would amend section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as most recently amended by section 1213 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66), by extending the authority for reimbursement of coalition nations for support provided to the United States for military operations through fiscal year 2015, and making certain technical amendments.

Additionally, this section would prohibit the reimbursement of support provided by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan until such time as the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that Pakistan is maintaining security and is not, through its actions or inaction at any level of government, limiting or otherwise restricting the movement of U.S. equipment and supplies along the Ground Lines of Communication through Pakistan, and that Pakistan is taking demonstrable steps to: (1) support counterterrorism operations against Al Qaeda, Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan, and other militant groups such as the Haqqani Network and the Quetta Shura Taliban; (2) disrupt the conduct of cross-border attacks against U.S., coalition, and Afghan security forces; (3) counter the threat of improvised explosive device networks; and (4) conduct cross-border coordination and communication with Afghan security forces and U.S. Armed Forces.

Section 1213—Extension of Certain Authorities for Support of Foreign Forces Supporting or Participating with the United States Armed Forces

This section would amend section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) and section 1202(e) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), as most recently amended by sections 1217(a) and 1217(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66), respectively. Specifically, it would extend the authority to (1) provide supplies, services, transportation (including airlift and sealift), and other logistical support to coalition forces supporting United States military and stabilization operations in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan; and (2) use acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend military equipment to the military forces of a nation participating in combined operations with the United States in Afghanistan.

The committee recognizes that the United States may be involved in a combined operation with partner nations in Afghanistan as part of Operation Resolute Support, following the end of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization mission in Afghanistan on December 31, 2014. Should the President decide to commit the United States to a post-2014 presence and mission as part of Operation

Resolute Support, such partner nations would require logistical support and the lending of military equipment from U.S. forces in Afghanistan to effectively execute the Operation Resolute Support mission.

Section 1214—Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan under Operation Resolute Support

This section would create a new "Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan." It would include reporting requirements similar to those originally contained in section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as most recently amended by section 1218 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), but updated to reflect the post-2014 North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) effort in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan known as Operation Resolute Support.

In addition, this section would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit such report to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives not later than April 1, 2015, and every 180 days thereafter, on the progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan under Operation Resolute Support.

Lastly, this section would extend the original "Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan" for 3 months and sunset it on December 31, 2014.

Section 1215—Requirement to Withhold Department of Defense Assistance to Afghanistan in Amount Equivalent to 150 Percent of All Taxes Assessed by Afghanistan to Extent Such Taxes are not Reimbursed by Afghanistan

This section would require the Department of Defense to withhold fiscal year 2015 funds appropriated for assistance to the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan in the amount equivalent to 150 percent of the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2014 by Afghanistan on such assistance. This withhold would continue to the extent that the Secretary of Defense certifies that Afghanistan has not provided a reimbursement for those taxes to the Department, or relevant Department contractors and subcontractors. The Secretary of Defense may waive such requirement if it is necessary to achieve U.S. goals in Afghanistan.

Additionally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report, not later than March 1, 2015, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, on the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2014 by the Government of Afghanistan on any Department of Defense assistance.

Lastly, this section would terminate once the Secretary of Defense notifies the appropriate committees that the United States and Afghanistan have signed a bilateral security agreement and that such agreement has entered into force.

The committee notes that such taxation by Afghanistan on Department of Defense assistance is a violation of the status of forces agreement (SOFA) between the United States and Afghanistan. The committee expects the Government of Afghanistan to adhere to this SOFA and not levy illegitimate taxes and penalties on Department of Defense contractors and subcontractors providing services in Afghanistan.

Section 1216—United States Plan for Sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces Through the End of Fiscal Year 2018

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit a report within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, that contains a detailed plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF) through the end of fiscal year 2018, consistent with plans that are being considered by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. The objective of such plan is to ensure that the ANSF will be able to independently and effectively conduct operations and maintain security and stability in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.

Section 1217—Sense of Congress on United States Military Commitment to Operation Resolute Support in Afghanistan

This section would express the sense of Congress on the United States military commitment to Operation Resolute Support in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to include: the importance of maintaining a U.S. residual presence in Afghanistan for Operation Resolute Support beginning on January 1, 2015; the importance of achieving a signed Bilateral Security Agreement, and a status of forces agreement to support the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) mission; and, continuing to financially support the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF) due to the vital interests that the United States continues to have in Afghanistan.

The committee applauds the gains achieved by the United States, NATO, and its coalition partners in Afghanistan, to include:

(1) The International Security and Assistance Force has trained and is building the ANSF, including doubling the ANSF in size between 2009-14, to its current end strength of approximately 352,000 personnel;

(2) The ANSF currently executes 95 percent of its conventional operations and 98 percent of its special operations missions across Afghanistan;

(3) The United States signed a Strategic Partnership Agreement with the Government of Afghanistan and has designated Afghanistan as a major non-NATO ally;

(4) Life expectancy in Afghanistan has increased from 37 years of age in 2000 to 56 years of age in 2014;

(5) 65 percent of the Afghan population has access to the Internet;

(6) There are four times more healthcare facilities in Afghanistan in 2014 than in 2003;

(7) More than 10,000,000 children are attending school, including 3,000,000 girls, in Afghanistan;

(8) There are more than 13,000 general education schools in Afghanistan; and

(9) Paved roads have increased from just 32 miles in 2002 to 7,500 miles in 2014.

The committee notes that the term Operation Resolute Support refers to the NATO mission in Afghanistan beginning on January 1, 2015.

Section 1218—Extension of Afghan Special Immigrant Program

This section would authorize 1,000 visas for principal aliens who may be provided special immigrant visa status in accordance with section 602(b)(3) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101). This section would also extend the period in which the principal alien must be employed by or on behalf of the U.S. Government in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to December 31, 2015. Additionally, this section would extend the period in which the principal alien must apply to the Chief of Mission in Afghanistan to September 30, 2015. The authorization in this section would terminate on September 30, 2016.

SUBTITLE C—MATTERS RELATING TO THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Section 1221—Limitation on Military Contact and Cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation

This section would prohibit the use of funds for fiscal year 2015 for bilateral military-to-military contact or cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation until the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that Russia is respecting the sovereignty of Ukrainian territory, no longer acting inconsistently with the Intermediate-range Nuclear Forces Treaty, and in compliance with the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe. This section would include a waiver for the Secretary of Defense, pending a notification, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to the appropriate congressional committees that such contact or cooperation is in the national security interest of the United States and a period of 30 days has elapsed following the notification.

The committee notes that at the time this report was filed, the Secretary of Defense has suspended military exercises, bilateral meetings, port visits, and planning conferences between the Armed Forces of the United States and Russia in response to ongoing Russian aggression towards Ukraine. The committee believes that U.S.-Russia military contact and cooperation must remain suspended so long as Russia continues its aggression towards Ukraine and continues to take actions inconsistent with its treaty obligations.

Section 1225—Report on Non-Compliance by the Russian Federation of its Obligations under the INF Treaty

This section would express the sense of Congress regarding the material breach of the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty by the Russian Federation, and would require the President to report not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, on efforts to have Russia return to being in compliance with the treaty, and the President's assessment as to whether it remains in the national security interest of the United States to remain a party to the treaty.

Section 1226—Sense of Congress Regarding Russian Aggression Toward Ukraine

This section would express the sense of Congress regarding Russian aggression towards Ukraine.

Elsewhere in this report, the committee notes with concern the recent actions undertaken by the Government of the Russian Federation in Ukraine. The committee believes both the overt and covert actions taken by the Russian military and Government to seize and annex Crimea, destabilize eastern Ukraine, and threaten further military action against the Government of Ukraine, are clearly meant to coerce the Government and people of Ukraine into adopting policies and an orientation centered on Russia and away from Europe and the West.

SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1233—Modification of National Security Planning Guidance to Deny Safe Havens to Al-Qaeda and its Violent Extremist Affiliates

This section would modify section 1032 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81) by requiring the President to provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, the required national security planning guidance, including any updates to such guidance, to deny safe havens to Al Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates not later than October 1, 2014. Additionally, this section would add an element to the required guidance that would describe the feasibility, resourcing, authorities required, and

potential benefit of conducting multilateral training and equipping of military forces in relevant countries.

The committee remains concerned about the worldwide evolution and growth of Al Qaeda, its affiliates, and associated groups, as noted by non-U.S. Government experts at a February 2014 committee hearing. Al Qaeda has been able to evolve and grow largely due to safe havens in certain locations such as the Syrian Arab Republic, the Republic of Iraq, and Libya, to name a few.

While section 1032 of Public Law 112-81 required the President to issue national security planning guidance to deny safe havens to Al Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates, it did not require the submission of such guidance to the relevant congressional committees. However, the conference report (H. Rept. 112-329) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 requested that the conferees be briefed on the guidance issued by the President. The committee notes that such briefing was not provided.

Section 1234—Strategic Framework for United States Security Force Assistance and Cooperation in the European and Eurasian Regions

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to develop a strategic framework for security force assistance and cooperation in Europe and Eurasia. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit a report on the strategic framework within 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

The committee believes that a comprehensive strategic framework for security force assistance is important for building and maintaining the partner capacity of European and Eurasian forces. The committee recognizes and values the interoperability and combat gains made by European and Eurasian allied and partner forces, earned as a result of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) mission in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, and it does not want to see these gains lost after the ISAF mission ends in December 2014. The committee believes the strategic framework should focus on those nations for which the Department of Defense has leveraged its building partnership capacity authorities, to include the Global Train and Equip, Warsaw Initiative Fund, and Global Security Contingency Fund authorities.

Section 1235—Report on "New Normal" and General Mission Requirements of United States Africa Command

This section would express a sense of Congress on the force structure and force posture of AFRICOM within the context of "New Normal" and its general mission requirements.

Following the terrorist attack against U.S. facilities in Benghazi, Libya, on September 11, 2012, the U.S. Government established a "New Normal" set of requirements and associated resourcing worldwide. The committee is aware that with the current force posture and structure of the U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM), the United States is accepting a high level of risk in defending U.S. posts that have been determined to be "high risk, high threat" as part of the "New Normal" requirements. Moreover, the committee is concerned that AFRICOM does not have sufficient assigned military forces; intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance assets; crisis response forces; and enablers to meet the "New Normal" requirements.

Additionally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives not later than January 15, 2015, on how the "New Normal" has changed AFRICOM's force posture and force structure requirements. The committee expects the report to include inputs and views from the Commander, U.S. Africa Command.

Section 1237—Enhanced Authority to Acquire Goods and Services of Djibouti in Support of Department of Defense Activities in United States Africa Command Area of Responsibility

This section would provide the Secretary of Defense with an enhanced authority to acquire products and services produced in the Republic of Djibouti in support of Department of Defense activities in the U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM) area of responsibility. The Secretary would be required to make a determination that: (1) the product or service is to be used only in support of Department activities in AFRICOM; (2) the limit on competition or preference for Djiboutian products or services is vital to the national security interest of the United States; (3) the Djiboutian product or service is of equivalent quality to that which would have been otherwise acquired; and (4) the limitation or preference will not adversely affect U.S. military or stability operations in AFRICOM or the U.S. industrial base. The authority provided in this section would terminate on September 30, 2018.

This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that such procurement of Djiboutian products or services is conducted in accordance with Department of Defense management structure requirements in section 2330(a) of title 10, United States Code, and identified and reported under a single, joint Department of Defense-wide system for the management and accountability of contractors operating overseas. It would also require the Secretary to ensure that AFRICOM has sufficiently trained staff and resources to conduct oversight of such procurement activities in order to detect and deter fraud, waste, and abuse.

Additionally, this section would express the sense of Congress that U.S. forces should remain forward postured in Africa and the Middle East; that Djibouti is strategically located to support U.S. vital national security interests; that the United States should take definitive steps to maintain its basing access and agreements with the Government of Djibouti; that the United States should devise and implement a comprehensive approach to reinforce the strategic partnership with the Government of Djibouti; and that the Secretary of State, the Administrator for the United States Agency for International Development, and the Secretary of Defense should take concrete steps to advance and strengthen the relationship between the United States and the Government of Djibouti.

The committee believes that this is an exceptional situation and recommends this authority because it recognizes that the U.S. military basing in Djibouti provides unique strategic positioning and access to the region, which has and continues to support vital U.S. national security interests. Additional detail on the criticality of U.S. basing in Djibouti is contained in the classified annex to this report. The committee believes that the United States must take proactive steps to reinforce a long-term, strategic relationship with the Government of Djibouti in order to support such vital national security interests. Elsewhere in this report, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit a report to certain committees outlining the specific steps that the Department of State is taking to strengthen its strategic relationship with Djibouti.

Section 1238—Report on Goals and Objectives Guiding Military Engagement with Burma

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, not later than December 1, 2014, a report on the goals and objectives guiding military-to-military engagement between the United States and the Union of Burma.

The committee welcomes the commitment to reform made by the Government of Burma. However, the committee notes that the Government of Burma needs to progress in: protecting the individual freedoms and human rights of the Burmese people, including for all ethnic and religious minorities and internally displaced populations; establishing civilian control of the armed forces; severing military-to-military ties with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea; implementing constitutional and electoral reforms; and implementing mechanisms for increased governmental transparency and accountability.

The committee recognizes the role that the U.S. military can play in improving the Burmese military's understanding of human rights, the rule of law, and civilian control of the military. The committee encourages the Department of Defense and the Department of State to communicate the relationship between

U.S.-Burma military-to-military engagement and reform efforts undertaken by the Government of Burma.

Section 1239—Sense of Congress on United States Presence and Cooperation in the Arabian Gulf Region to Deter Iran

This section would express the sense of Congress on the U.S. forward presence and cooperation in the region of the Arabian Gulf in order to deter the Islamic Republic of Iran. Elements of this section would include statements that the United States should: maintain a robust forward presence and posture in the Arabian Gulf; seek ways to support the security posture of Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries and the State of Israel; move funding of key strategic bases in the Arabian Gulf region into the Department of Defense's base budget; and seek to complete status of forces and defense agreements with GCC countries to support the defense of the Arabian Gulf.

Furthermore, this section would also state that any comprehensive agreement with Iran regarding its nuclear program should address the possible military dimensions of such program. Finally, the sense of Congress would state that the United States should continue to put pressure on Iran's network of organizations that conduct malign activities, and the U.S. Government should not enter into a contract with any person or entity that has violated U.S. sanctions laws with respect to contracting with the Government of Iran.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds

This section would define the programs and funds that are Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) programs and funds as those authorized to be appropriated in section 301 of this Act, and specify that CTR funds shall remain available for obligation for 3 fiscal years.

Section 1302—Funding Allocations

This section would allocate specific amounts for each program under the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program from within the overall \$365.1 million that the committee would authorize for the CTR program. The allocation under this section reflects the amount of the budget request for fiscal year 2015. This section would also require notifications to Congress 15 days before the Secretary of Defense obligates and expends fiscal year 2015 funds for purposes other than those specifically authorized. In addition, this section would provide

limited authority to obligate amounts for a program element under the CTR program in excess of the amount specifically authorized for that purpose.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY PROGRAMS

Section 1401—Working Capital Funds

This section would authorize appropriations for Defense Working Capital Funds at the levels identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1402—Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense

This section would authorize appropriations for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1403—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

This section would authorize appropriations for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1404—Defense Inspector General

This section would authorize appropriations for the Office of the Inspector General at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1405—Defense Health Program

This section would authorize appropriations for the Defense Health Program at the levels identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

Section 1411—Revisions To Previously Authorized Disposals From The National Defense Stockpile

This section would authorize revisions on limitations in asset sales contained in section 3303(a)(7) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105-261), as most recently amended by section 1412(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization

Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), to increase the Department of Defense's stockpile commodity disposal authority by \$50.0 million, and extend this authority from 2016 to 2019.

This section would further authorize revisions on limitations in asset sales contained in section 3402(b)(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65), as most recently amended by section 1412 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), to increase the Department of Defense's stockpile commodity disposal authority by \$20.0 million, and extend this authority from 2016 to 2019.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

Section 1501—Purpose

This section would establish this title and make authorization of appropriations available upon enactment of this Act for the Department of Defense, in addition to amounts otherwise authorized in this Act, to provide for additional costs due to overseas contingency operations.

Section 1502—Procurement

This section would authorize an additional \$6.2 billion for procurement programs.

Section 1503—Operation and Maintenance

This section would authorize an additional \$64.7 billion for operation and maintenance programs.

Section 1504—Military Personnel

This section would authorize an additional \$7.1 billion for military personnel.

Section 1505—Other Appropriations

This section would authorize an additional \$1.5 billion for the Defense Health Program, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, and National Guard and Reserve Equipment.

SUBTITLE B—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1511—Treatment as Additional Authorizations

This section would state that amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

Section 1512—Special Transfer Authority

This section would authorize the transfer of up to \$3.0 billion of additional war-related funding authorizations in this title among the accounts in this title.

SUBTITLE C—LIMITATIONS, REPORTS, AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1521—Continuation of Existing Limitations on the Use of Funds in the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund

This section would continue the existing limitations on the use of funds in the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund subject to the conditions of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as amended by section 1531(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), through fiscal year 2015.

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—SPACE ACTIVITIES

Section 1602—Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Notification

This section would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to provide certain congressional committees with notification of each change to the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) acquisition plan and schedule as compared to the plan and schedule included in the budget submitted by the President for fiscal year 2015. The notification would include an identification of the change, a national security rationale for the change, the impact of the change on the EELV block buy contract, the impact of the change on the opportunities for competition for certified EELV launch providers, and the costs or savings of the change. The notification requirement would apply to fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017.

Section 1603—Satellite Communications Responsibilities of Executive Agent for Space

This section would direct the Secretary of Defense to revise Department of Defense directives and guidance for the Department of Defense Executive Agent (EA) for Space, with respect to the development of space strategies, architectures and programs for satellite communications. This section would require the EA to conduct strategic planning to ensure these strategies align with Department requirements. In addition, it would require the EA to coordinate with the necessary offices within the Department of Defense in order to eliminate duplication of efforts, maximize the effectiveness of efforts, and to optimize acquisition effectiveness and efficiency for military and commercial satellite communications. Finally, this section would direct the EA to coordinate with the Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council to develop a process to identify current and projected satellite communications requirements for the Department.

Section 1605—Pilot Program for Acquisition of Commercial Satellite Communication Services

This section would allow the Secretary of Defense to develop and execute a pilot program to examine the feasibility of expanding the use of working capital funds to acquire commercial satellite communications services to meet Department of Defense requirements. This program would terminate on October 1, 2020. In addition, this section would limit the use of any funds authorized for the Department's acquisition of commercial satellite communications services to not more than \$50.0 million per year for the pilot program execution, and only for fiscal years 2015-20. This section would also limit the authorities of the Secretary of Defense in the execution of the pilot program by prohibiting the use of the authorities granted in sections 2208(k) and 2210(b) of title 10, United States Code. Finally, this section would define goals for the execution of the pilot program, as well as reporting requirements for the program. This section does not constitute authority for multi-year procurement of commercial satellite services.

SUBTITLE B—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED ACTIVITIES

Section 1615—Air Force Intelligence Organization

This section would express the sense of Congress that the Air Force National Air and Space Intelligence Center provides indispensable intelligence support, and should remain organizationally aligned to the Headquarters Air Staff with reporting through the Vice Chief of Staff. In addition, this section would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees a strategic plan for the intelligence organization of the Air Force, which includes maintaining the National Air and Space Intelligence Center alignment to the Headquarters Air Staff.

Section 1616—Prohibition on National Intelligence Program Consolidation

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2015, to execute: the separation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program from the rest of the Department of Defense budget; the consolidation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program within the Department of Defense budget; or the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for such funds.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING CHANGES

Section 2801—Prevention of Circumvention of Military Construction Laws

This section would amend section 2802 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that certain military construction projects, land acquisitions, and defense-access roads projects must be specifically authorized in a Military Construction Authorization Act.

SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Section 2815—Special Easement Acquisition Authority, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to use the authority provided by section 2684a of title 10, United States Code, to acquire from willing sellers easements and other interests in real property in the vicinity of the Pacific Missile Range Facility, Kauai, Hawaii.

SUBTITLE D—LAND CONVEYANCES

Section 2841—Land Conveyance, Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, La Jolla, California

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, certain Department of the Navy property to the Mount Soledad Veterans Memorial Association in La Jolla, California, for public purposes.

Section 2847—Land Conveyance, Hanford Site, Washington

This section would require the Secretary of the Energy to convey two parcels of real property to the Community Reuse Organization of the Hanford Site for fair market value by December 31, 2014. The Secretary of the Energy may convey the property below fair market value if the Community Reuse Organization agrees to provide the net proceeds from the sale or lease of the real property during a seven year period to support economic redevelopment at the Hanford Site, Washington.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration

This section would authorize appropriations for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2015, including funds for weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation programs, naval reactor programs, and Federal Salaries and Expenses (formerly known as the Office of the Administrator), at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act. This section would also authorize several new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Section 3102—Defense Environmental Cleanup

This section would authorize appropriations for defense environmental cleanup activities for fiscal year 2015, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act. This section would also authorize several new plant projects for defense environmental cleanup.

Section 3103—Other Defense Activities

This section would authorize appropriations for other defense activities for fiscal year 2015, including funds for Health, Safety, and Security, the Office of Legacy Management, and Nuclear Energy, of the funds identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

Section 3104—Energy Security and Assurance

This section would authorize appropriations for energy security and assurance programs for fiscal year 2015, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 3118—Disposition of Weapons-Usable Plutonium

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to specifically carry out construction and program support activities with fiscal year 2015 funds authorized for the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility (MFFF) for construction and program support activities. Program support activities are defined as those activities in support of the design, long-lead equipment, procurement, and site preparation for the MFFF.

If the Secretary of Energy determines that fiscal year 2015 funds for construction and program support activities associated with MFFF should be used for a purpose other than construction and program support activities for MFFF, the Secretary may utilize reprogramming guidance in accordance with established procedures provided to the Department of Energy defense-related program in section 4702 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2742).

This section would also require the Secretary to enter into a contract with a federally funded research and development center (FFRDC) not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act to conduct a study to assess and validate the Department of Energy's analysis of options for the disposition of surplus weapons-grade plutonium. The Secretary shall then submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. The report shall include the FFRDC assessment, as well as the Department's identification and discussion of the life-cycle cost analyses, and explanation of how the alternatives conform with the Plutonium Management and Disposition Agreement between the Governments of the United States and the Russian Federation.

Elsewhere in this report, the committee recommends \$316.0 million, an increase of \$120.0 million, for construction and program support activities of the MFFF.

Section 3121—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Non-Proliferation Activities Between the United States and the Russian Federation

This section would prohibit the use of fiscal year 2015 funds for the National Nuclear Security Administration for any contact, cooperation, or transfer of technology between the United States and the Russian Federation until the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense, certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that the Russian Federation is respecting the sovereignty of Ukrainian territory, no longer acting inconsistently with the Intermediate-range Nuclear Forces Treaty, and in compliance with the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe. The section would include a waiver for the Secretary of Energy, pending a notification, in coordination with the Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense, to the appropriate congressional committees that such contact, cooperation, or technology transfer is in the national interests of the United States and a period of 30 days has elapsed following the notification.

The committee notes that at the time that this report was filed, in response to ongoing Russian aggression toward Ukraine, the Secretary of Energy has suspended nuclear security cooperation. Similar to the position the committee has stated elsewhere in this report, the committee believes that U.S.-Russia nuclear security cooperation must remain suspended so long as Russia continues its aggression toward Ukraine and continues to take actions inconsistent with its treaty obligations.

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 3502—Special Rule for DD-17

This section would limit the application of section 55102 of title 46, United States Code to Drydock-17 (formerly known as USN-YFD-17) in the waters of the State of Alabama.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Section 4001—Authorization of Amounts in Funding Tables

This section would provide for the allocation of funds among programs, projects, and activities in accordance with the tables in division D of this Act, subject to reprogramming guidance in accordance with established procedures.

Consistent with the previously expressed views of the committee, this section would also require that a decision by an Agency Head to commit, obligate, or expend funds to a specific entity on the basis of such funding tables be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of section 2304(k) and section 2374 of title 10, United States Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

[Page intentionally left blank]

BILL LANGUAGE

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 101. [Log 53313] AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2015 for procurement for the Army, the Navy
7 and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide
8 activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.

1 **SEC. 122. [Log 54068] CONSTRUCTION OF SAN ANTONIO**
2 **CLASS AMPHIBIOUS SHIP.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy may
4 enter into a contract beginning with the fiscal year 2015
5 program year for the procurement of one San Antonio
6 class amphibious ship. The Secretary may employ incre-
7 mental funding for such procurement.

8 (b) CONDITION ON OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAY-
9 MENTS.—A contract entered into under subsection (a)
10 shall provide that any obligation of the United States to
11 make a payment under such contract for any fiscal year
12 after fiscal year 2015 is subject to the availability of ap-
13 propriations for that purpose for such fiscal year.

1 **SEC. 132.[Log 53801] LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF U-2 AIRCRAFT.**

3 None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by
4 this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015
5 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or ex-
6 pended to make significant changes to retire, prepare to
7 retire, or place in storage U-2 aircraft.

1 **SEC. 133.[Log 53845] LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF A-10 AIRCRAFT.**

3 (a) LIMITATION.—None of the funds authorized to
4 be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available
5 for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may
6 be obligated or expended to retire A-10 aircraft unless
7 each such retired aircraft is maintained in type-1000 stor-
8 age.

9 (b) TYPE-1000 STORAGE DEFINED.—In this section,
10 the term “type-1000 storage” means storage of a retired
11 aircraft in a near-flyaway condition that allows for the air-
12 craft to be recalled into use by the regular or reserve com-
13 ponents of the Air Force.

1 **SEC. 134.[Log 53997] LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR DIVESTMENT OR TRANSFER OF**
3 **KC-10 AIRCRAFT.**

4 None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by
5 this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015
6 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended during
7 such fiscal year to divest or transfer, or prepare to divest
8 or transfer, KC-10 aircraft.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 201. [Log 53314] AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Department of Defense
7 for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified
8 in the funding table in section 4201.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 301 [Log 53315]. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUND-**
4 **ING.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
7 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
8 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and
9 maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section
10 4301.

1 **SEC. 312 [Log 53558]. EXCLUSIONS FROM DEFINITION OF**
2 **“CHEMICAL SUBSTANCE” UNDER TOXIC SUB-**
3 **STANCES CONTROL ACT AND REPORT ON**
4 **LEAD AMMUNITION.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3(2)(B)(v) of the Toxic
6 Substances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2602(2)(B)(v)) is
7 amended by striking “, and” and inserting “and any com-
8 ponent of such an article (including, without limitation,
9 shot, bullets and other projectiles, propellants when manu-
10 factured for or used in such an article, and primers),
11 and”.

12 (b) ASSESSMENT AND REPORT.—Not later than Sep-
13 tember 30, 2015, the Secretary of the Army, in consulta-
14 tion with the Secretaries of the other military depart-
15 ments, shall submit to the congressional defense commit-
16 tees a report containing the results of an assessment con-
17 ducted by the Secretary of each of the following:

18 (1) The total costs associated with the procure-
19 ment of non-lead alternatives for small arms, broken
20 down by type.

21 (2) The total costs associated with the quali-
22 fication of non-lead alternatives for small arms, bro-
23 ken down by type.

24 (3) An assessment of the extent to which non-
25 lead variants of ammunition exist for small arms,
26 and to the extent such variants exist, the extent to

- 1 which such variants meet service requirements and
- 2 specifications.

1 **SEC. 332 [Log 53443]. REPORT ON ENDURING REQUIRE-**
2 **MENTS AND ACTIVITIES CURRENTLY FUND-**
3 **ED THROUGH AMOUNTS AUTHORIZED TO BE**
4 **APPROPRIATED FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-**
5 **GENCY OPERATIONS.**

6 (a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than the date of
7 the submission of the President’s budget for a fiscal year
8 under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for
9 fiscal year 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit
10 to the congressional defense committees a report that in-
11 cludes each of the following:

12 (1) A list of enduring mission requirements,
13 equipping, training, sustainment, and other oper-
14 ation and maintenance activities of the military de-
15 partments, combat support agencies, and Depart-
16 ment of Defense that are funded through amounts
17 authorized to be appropriated for overseas contin-
18 gency operations.

19 (2) The amounts appropriated for fiscal year
20 2014 for the activities described in paragraph (1).

21 (3) The amounts provided in the budget for fis-
22 cal year 2015 submitted to Congress by the Presi-
23 dent under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States
24 Code.

25 (4) A three-year plan to migrate the require-
26 ments and activities on the list described in para-

1 graph (1) to be funded other than through amounts
2 authorized to be appropriated for overseas contin-
3 gency operations.

4 (b) DEFINITION OF ENDURING.—For purposes of
5 this section, the term “enduring” means planned to con-
6 tinue to exist beyond the last day of the period covered
7 by the future-years defense program under section 221 of
8 title 10, United States Code, in effect as of the date of
9 the enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 352 [Log 53978]. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON ACCESS TO**
2 **TRAINING RANGES WITHIN UNITED STATES**
3 **PACIFIC COMMAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.**
4 **BILITY.**

5 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
6 ings:

7 (1) Reliable access to military training ranges is
8 an essential component of military readiness.

9 (2) The training opportunities provided by mili-
10 tary training ranges are critical to maintaining the
11 technical and operational superiority of the Armed
12 Forces.

13 (3) The 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review
14 states that the operational readiness of the Armed
15 Forces hinges on unimpeded access to land, air, and
16 sea training and test space.

17 (4) The 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review
18 states that United States forces in the Asia-Pacific
19 region “will resume regular bilateral and multilateral
20 training exercises, pursue increased training oppor-
21 tunities to improve capabilities and capacity of part-
22 ner nations, as well as support humanitarian, dis-
23 aster relief, counterterrorism, and other operations
24 that contribute to the stability of the region”.

25 (5) A number of critical military training
26 ranges, including the Pohakuloa Training Center in

1 Hawaii, are located within the United States Pacific
2 Command area of responsibility providing units from
3 all the military services, as well as allied and partner
4 militaries with realistic joint and combined arms
5 training opportunities.

6 (6) Due to the “tyranny of distance” in the
7 Asia-Pacific region, there are significant challenges
8 in transporting equipment and personnel to the var-
9 ious military training ranges within the United
10 States Pacific Command area of responsibility.

11 (7) The Department of Defense continues a
12 number of efforts aimed at preserving military train-
13 ing ranges, while also minimizing the environmental
14 effects of training activities.

15 (8) The Department of Defense has a variety of
16 authorities that may be used to mitigate encroach-
17 ment on military testing and training missions.

18 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—In light of the findings
19 specified in subsection (a), it is the sense of Congress that
20 the Secretary of Defense should—

21 (1) ensure that members of the Armed Forces
22 continue to have reliable access to military training
23 ranges;

24 (2) optimize the use of multilateral, joint train-
25 ing facilities overseas in order to increase readiness

1 and interoperability with allies and partners of the
2 United States;

3 (3) utilize a full range of assets, including both
4 air- and sea-based asset, including inactive Joint
5 High Speed Vessels, to improve accessibility to mili-
6 tary training areas within the United States Pacific
7 Command area of responsibility;

8 (4) provide stable budget authority for long-
9 term investments in range and test center infra-
10 structure to lower the cost of access to the ranges
11 and training centers;

12 (5) take appropriate action to identify and le-
13 verage existing authorities and programs, as well as
14 work with State and municipalities to leverage their
15 authorities, to mitigate encroachment or other chal-
16 lenges that have the potential to impact future ac-
17 cess or operations on military training ranges;

18 (6) maximize the use of the United States Pa-
19 cific Command training ranges, including Pohakuloa
20 Training Center in Hawaii, by the military depart-
21 ments and increase the use of such training ranges
22 for bilateral and multilateral exercises with regional
23 allies and partners; and

24 (7) take appropriate action to leverage existing
25 authorities and programs, as well as work with local

1 governments to leverage their authorities, to address
2 any challenges that have the potential to impede fu-
3 ture access to or operations on military training
4 ranges.

1 **SEC. 534 [Log 54012]. CONSULTATION WITH VICTIMS OF SEX-**
2 **UAL ASSAULT REGARDING VICTIMS' PREF-**
3 **ERENCE FOR PROSECUTION OF OFFENSE BY**
4 **COURT-MARTIAL OR CIVILIAN COURT.**

5 (a) LEGAL CONSULTATION BETWEEN SPECIAL VIC-
6 TIMS' COUNSEL AND VICTIM OF SEXUAL ASSAULT.—Sub-
7 section (b) of section 1044e of title 10, United States
8 Code, is amended—

9 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (6), (7), (8),
10 and (9) as paragraphs (7), (8), (9), and (10), re-
11 spectively; and

12 (2) by inserting after paragraph (5) the fol-
13 lowing new paragraph (6):

14 “(6) Legal consultation regarding the advan-
15 tages and disadvantages of prosecution of the al-
16 leged sex-related offense by court-martial or by a ci-
17 vilian court with jurisdiction over the offense before
18 the victim expresses a preference as to the prosecu-
19 tion authority pursuant to the process required by
20 subsection (e)(3).”.

21 (b) PROCESS TO DISCERN VICTIM PREFERENCE.—
22 Subsection (e) of such section is amended by adding at
23 the end the following new paragraph:

24 “(3) The Secretary concerned shall establish a proc-
25 ess to ensure consultation with a victim of an alleged sex-
26 related offense that occurs in the United States to discern

1 the victim's preference regarding prosecution authority,
2 regardless of whether the report of that offense is re-
3 stricted or unrestricted.”.

1 **SEC. 535 [Log 54002]. MODIFICATION OF MILITARY RULES**
2 **OF EVIDENCE RELATING TO ADMISSIBILITY**
3 **OF GENERAL MILITARY CHARACTER TOWARD**
4 **PROBABILITY OF INNOCENCE.**

5 The Secretary of Defense shall modify the Military
6 Rules of Evidence to clarify that the general military char-
7 acter of an accused is not admissible for the purpose of
8 showing the probability of innocence of the accused, except
9 when evidence of a trait of the military character of an
10 accused is relevant to an element of an offense for which
11 the accused has been charged.

1 **SEC. 536 [Log 54004]. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW OF CHARAC-**
2 **TERIZATION OF TERMS OF DISCHARGE OF**
3 **MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO ARE**
4 **VICTIMS OF SEXUAL OFFENSES.**

5 (a) CONFIDENTIAL APPEAL PROCESS THROUGH
6 BOARDS FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.—The
7 Secretaries of the military departments shall each estab-
8 lish a confidential process by which an individual who was
9 the victim of a sex-related offense during service in the
10 Armed Forces may appeal, through boards for the correc-
11 tion of military records of the military department con-
12 cerned, the terms or characterization of the discharge or
13 separation of the individual from the Armed Forces on the
14 grounds that the terms or characterization were adversely
15 affected by the individual being the victim of such an of-
16 fense.

17 (b) CONSIDERATION OF INDIVIDUAL EXPERIENCES
18 IN CONNECTION WITH OFFENSES.—In deciding whether
19 to modify the terms or characterization of an individual's
20 discharge or separation pursuant to the process required
21 by subsection (a), the Secretary of the military department
22 concerned shall instruct boards for the correction of mili-
23 tary records to give due consideration to—

24 (1) the psychological and physical aspects of the
25 individual's experience in connection with the sex-re-
26 lated offense; and

1 (2) what bearing such experience may have had
2 on the circumstances surrounding the individual's
3 discharge or separation from the Armed Forces.

4 (c) PRESERVATION OF CONFIDENTIALITY.—Docu-
5 ments considered and decisions rendered pursuant to the
6 process required by subsection (a) shall not be made avail-
7 able to the public, except with the consent of the individual
8 concerned.

9 (d) SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.—In this sec-
10 tion, the term “sex-related offense” means any of the fol-
11 lowing:

12 (1) Rape or sexual assault under subsection (a)
13 or (b) of section 920 of title 10, United States Code
14 (article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Jus-
15 tice).

16 (2) Forcible sodomy under section 925 of title
17 10, United States Code (article 125 of the Uniform
18 Code of Military Justice).

19 (3) An attempt to commit an offense specified
20 in paragraph (1) or (2) as punishable under section
21 880 of title 10, United States Code (article 80 of the
22 Uniform Code of Military Justice).

1 **SEC. 602 [Log 53732]. NO FISCAL YEAR 2015 INCREASE IN**
2 **BASIC PAY FOR GENERAL AND FLAG OFFI-**
3 **CERS.**

4 Section 203(a)(2) of title 37, United States Code,
5 shall be applied for rates of basic pay payable for commis-
6 sioned officers in the uniformed services in pay grades O-
7 7 through O-10 during calendar year 2015 by using the
8 rate of pay for level II of the Executive Schedule in effect
9 during 2014.

1 **SEC. 727.[Log 54060] BRIEFING ON HOSPITALS IN ARREARS**
2 **IN PAYMENTS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

3 Not later than 60 days after the date of the enact-
4 ment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall provide
5 to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of
6 Representatives and the Senate a briefing on the process
7 used by the Defense Health Agency to collect payments
8 from non-Department of Defense hospitals. Such briefing
9 shall include a list of each hospital that is more than 90
10 days in arrears in payments to the Secretary, including
11 the amount of arrears (by 30-day increments) for each
12 such hospital.

1 **Subtitle A—Amendments to Gen-**
2 **eral Contracting Authorities,**
3 **Procedures, and Limitations**

4 **SEC. 801 [Log 53654]. EXTENSION TO UNITED STATES**
5 **TRANSPORTATION COMMAND OF AUTHORI-**
6 **TIES RELATING TO PROHIBITION ON CON-**
7 **TRACTING WITH THE ENEMY.**

8 Section 831(i)(1) of the National Defense Authoriza-
9 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127
10 Stat. 813) is amended by inserting “United States Trans-
11 portation Command,” after “United States Southern
12 Command,”.

Subtitle B—Industrial Base Matters

SEC. 811 [Log 53338]. THREE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AND AMENDMENTS TO TEST PROGRAM FOR NEGOTIATION OF COMPREHENSIVE SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLANS.

(a) THREE-YEAR EXTENSION.—Subsection (e) of section 834 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (15 U.S.C. 637 note) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2017”.

(b) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPREHENSIVE SUBCONTRACTING PLANS.—Subsection (b) of section 834 of such Act is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4), and in that paragraph by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) Each comprehensive subcontracting plan of a contractor shall require that the contractor report to the Secretary of Defense on a semi-annual basis the following information:

1 “(A) The amount of first-tier subcontract dol-
2 lars awarded during the six-month period covered by
3 the report to covered small business concerns, with
4 the information set forth separately—

5 “(i) by North American Industrial Classi-
6 fication System code;

7 “(ii) by major defense acquisition program,
8 as defined in section 2430(a) of title 10, United
9 States Code;

10 “(iii) by contract, if the contract is for the
11 maintenance, overhaul, repair, servicing, reha-
12 bilitation, salvage, modernization, or modifica-
13 tion of supplies, systems, or equipment and the
14 total value of the contract, including options,
15 exceeds \$100,000,000; and

16 “(iv) by military department.

17 “(B) The total number of subcontracts active
18 under the test program during the six-month period
19 covered by the report that would have otherwise re-
20 quired a subcontracting plan under paragraph (4) or
21 (5) of section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15
22 U.S.C. 637(d)).

23 “(C) Costs incurred in negotiating, complying
24 with, and reporting on comprehensive subcontracting
25 plans.

1 “(D) Costs avoided by adoption of a com-
2 prehensive subcontracting plan.

3 “(E) Any other information required by the De-
4 partment of Defense to complete the study required
5 by subsection (f).”.

6 (c) ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE FOR FAILURE TO
7 MAKE GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO COMPLY.—

8 (1) AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (d) of section
9 834 of such Act is amended—

10 (A) by striking “COMPANY-WIDE” and in-
11 serting “COMPREHENSIVE” in the heading;

12 (B) by striking “company-wide” and in-
13 serting “comprehensive subcontracting”; and

14 (C) by adding at the end the following: “In
15 addition, any such failure shall be a factor con-
16 sidered as part of the evaluation of past per-
17 formance of an offeror.”.

18 (2) REPEAL OF SUSPENSION OF SUBSECTION
19 (D).—Section 402 of Public Law 101–574 (15
20 U.S.C. 637 note) is repealed.

21 (d) ADDITIONAL REPORT.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section
23 834(f) of such Act is amended by striking “March
24 1, 1994, and March 1, 2012” and inserting “Sep-
25 tember 30, 2015”.

1 (2) CORRECTION OF REFERENCE TO COM-
2 MITTEE.—Such paragraph is further amended by
3 striking “Committees” and all that follows through
4 the end of such paragraph and inserting the fol-
5 lowing: “Committees on Armed Services and on
6 Small Business of the House of Representatives and
7 the Committees on Armed Services and on Small
8 Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate”.

9 (e) ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.—

10 (1) COVERED SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—
11 Subsection (g) of section 834 of such Act is amend-
12 ed to read as follows:

13 “(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the term ‘cov-
14 ered small business concern’ includes each of the following:

15 “(1) A small business concern, as that term is
16 defined under section 3(a) of the Small Business Act
17 (15 U.S.C. 632(a));

18 “(2) A small business concerned owned and
19 controlled by veterans, as that term is defined in
20 section 3(q)(3) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 632(q)(3)).

21 “(3) A small business concern owned and con-
22 trolled by service-disabled veterans, as that term is
23 defined in section 3(q)(2) of such Act (15 U.S.C.
24 632(q)(2)).

1 “(4) A qualified HUBZone small business con-
2 cern, as that term is defined under section 3(p)(5)
3 of such Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(5)).

4 “(5) A small business concern owned and con-
5 trolled by socially and economically disadvantaged
6 individuals, as that term is defined in section
7 8(d)(3)(C) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)(3)(C)).

8 “(6) A small business concern owned and con-
9 trolled by women, as that term is defined under sec-
10 tion 3(n) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 632(n)).”.

11 (2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection
12 (a)(1) of section 834 of such Act is amended by
13 striking “small business concerns and small business
14 concerns owned and controlled by socially and eco-
15 nomically disadvantaged individuals” and inserting
16 “covered small business concerns”.

1 **SEC. 812 [Log 53378]. IMPROVING OPPORTUNITIES FOR**
2 **SERVICE-DISABLED VETERAN-OWNED SMALL**
3 **BUSINESSES.**

4 (a) SMALL BUSINESS DEFINITION OF SMALL BUSI-
5 NESS CONCERN CONSOLIDATED.—Section 3(q) of the
6 Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(q)) is amended—

7 (1) by amending paragraph (2) to read as fol-
8 lows:

9 “(2) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN OWNED AND
10 CONTROLLED BY SERVICE-DISABLED VETERANS.—
11 The term ‘small business concern owned and con-
12 trolled by service-disabled veterans’ means a small
13 business concern—

14 “(A)(i) not less than 51 percent of which
15 is owned by one or more service-disabled vet-
16 erans or, in the case of any publicly owned busi-
17 ness, not less than 51 percent of the stock of
18 which is owned by one or more service-disabled
19 veterans; and

20 “(ii) the management and daily business
21 operations of which are controlled by one or
22 more service-disabled veterans or, in the case of
23 a veteran with permanent and severe disability,
24 the spouse or permanent caregiver of such vet-
25 eran; or

1 “(B)(i) not less than 51 percent of which
2 is owned by one or more veterans with service-
3 connected disabilities that are permanent and
4 total who are unable to manage the daily busi-
5 ness operations of such concern or, in the case
6 of a publicly owned business, not less than 51
7 percent of the stock of which is owned by one
8 or more such veterans; and

9 “(ii) is included in the database described
10 in section 8127(f) of title 38, United States
11 Code.”; and

12 (2) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(6) TREATMENT OF BUSINESSES AFTER
14 DEATH OF VETERAN-OWNER.—

15 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-
16 graph (C), if the death of a service-disabled vet-
17 eran causes a small business concern to be less
18 than 51 percent owned by one or more such
19 veterans, the surviving spouse of such veteran
20 who acquires ownership rights in such small
21 business concern shall, for the period described
22 in subparagraph (B), be treated as if the sur-
23 viving spouse were that veteran for the purpose
24 of maintaining the status of the small business

1 concern as a small business concern owned and
2 controlled by service-disabled veterans.

3 “(B) PERIOD DESCRIBED.—The period re-
4 ferred to in subparagraph (A) is the period be-
5 ginning on the date on which the service-dis-
6 abled veteran dies and ending on the earliest of
7 the following dates:

8 “(i) The date on which the surviving
9 spouse remarries.

10 “(ii) The date on which the surviving
11 spouse relinquishes an ownership interest
12 in the small business concern.

13 “(iii) The date that is ten years after
14 the date of the veteran’s death.

15 “(C) APPLICATION TO SURVIVING
16 SPOUSE.—Subparagraph (A) only applies to a
17 surviving spouse of a veteran with a service-con-
18 nected disability if—

19 “(i) the veteran had a service-con-
20 nected disability rated as 100 percent dis-
21 abling or died as a result of a service-con-
22 nected disability; and

23 “(ii) prior to the death of the veteran
24 and during the period in which the sur-
25 viving spouse seeks to qualify under this

1 paragraph, the small business concern is
2 included in the database described in sec-
3 tion 8127(f) of title 38, United States
4 Code.”.

5 (b) VETERANS AFFAIRS DEFINITION OF SMALL
6 BUSINESS CONCERN CONSOLIDATED.—Section 8127 of
7 title 38, United States Code, is amended—

8 (1) by striking subsection (h); and

9 (2) in subsection (l)(2), by striking “means”
10 and all that follows through the period at the end
11 and inserting the following: “has the meaning given
12 that term under section 3(q) of the Small Business
13 Act (15 U.S.C. 632(q)).”.

14 (c) SBA TO ASSUME CONTROL OF VERIFICATION OF
15 OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL STATUS OF APPLICANTS FOR
16 INCLUSION IN THE DATABASE OF SMALL BUSINESSES
17 OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY SERVICE DISABLED VET-
18 ERANS AND VETERANS.—The Small Business Act (15
19 U.S.C. 631 et seq.), as amended by section 815, is further
20 amended by adding at the end the following new section:
21 **“SEC. 49. VETS FIRST PROGRAM.**

22 “In order to increase opportunities for small business
23 concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans
24 and small business concerns owned and controlled by vet-
25 erans in the Federal marketplace, not later than 180 days

1 after the effective date of this section, the Administrator
2 shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the
3 Secretary of Veterans Affairs that transfers control and
4 administration of the program under subsections (e)
5 through (g) of section 8127 of title 38, United States
6 Code, to the Administrator, consistent with the following:

7 “(1) Not later than 270 days after completing
8 the memorandum of understanding, the Adminis-
9 trator shall make rules to carry out the memo-
10 randum. If the Administrator does not make such
11 rules by such date, the Administrator may not exer-
12 cise the authority under section 7(a)(25)(A) until
13 such time as those rules are made.

14 “(2) The Administrator shall assume authority
15 and responsibility for maintenance and operation of
16 the database and for verifications under the pro-
17 gram. Any verifications undertaken by the Adminis-
18 trator shall employ fraud prevention measures at the
19 time of the initial application, through detection and
20 monitoring processes after initial acceptance, by in-
21 vestigating allegations of potential fraud, removing
22 firms that do not quality from the database, and re-
23 ferring cases for prosecution when appropriate.

24 “(3) Any appeal by a small business concern, at
25 the time that verification is denied or a contract is

1 awarded, of any determination under the program
2 shall be heard by the Office of Hearings and Ap-
3 peals of the Small Business Administration.

4 “(4)(A) The Secretary shall, for a period of 6
5 years commencing on a date agreed to in the com-
6 pleted memorandum, reimburse to the Administrator
7 of the Small Business Administration any costs in-
8 curred by the Administrator for actions undertaken
9 pursuant to the memorandum from fees collected by
10 the Secretary of Veteran Affairs under multiple-
11 award schedule contracts. The Administrator and
12 the Secretary shall endeavor to ensure maximum ef-
13 ficiency in such actions. Any disputes between the
14 Secretary and the Administrator shall be resolved by
15 the Director of the Office of Management and Budg-
16 et.

17 “(B) The Secretary and the Administrator may
18 extend the term of the memorandum of under-
19 standing, except for the reimbursement requirement
20 under subparagraph (A). The Secretary and the Ad-
21 ministrator may in a separate memorandum of un-
22 derstanding provide for an extension of such reim-
23 bursement.

1 “(5) Not later than 180 days after the date of
2 enactment of this section, and every 180 days there-
3 after, the Secretary and the Administrator shall—

4 “(A) meet to discuss ways to improve col-
5 laboration under the memorandum to increase
6 opportunities for service-disabled veteran-owned
7 small businesses and veteran-owned small busi-
8 nesses; and

9 “(B) consult with congressionally chartered
10 Veterans Service Organizations to discuss ways
11 to increase opportunities for service-disabled
12 veteran-owned small businesses and veteran-
13 owned small businesses.

14 “(6) Not later than 180 days after the date of
15 enactment of this section, and every 180 days there-
16 after, the Secretary and the Administrator shall re-
17 port to the Committee on Small Business and the
18 Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of
19 Representatives, and the Committee on Small Busi-
20 ness and Entrepreneurship and the Committee on
21 Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate on the progress
22 made by the Secretary and the Administrator imple-
23 menting this section.

24 “(7) In any meeting required under paragraph
25 (5), the Secretary and the Administrator shall in-

1 clude in the discussion of ways to improve collabora-
2 tion under the memorandum to increase opportuni-
3 ties for small businesses owned and controlled by
4 service-disabled veterans who are women or minori-
5 ties and small business concerns owned and con-
6 trolled by veterans who are women or minorities.”.

7 (d) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—Section
8 8127(f) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by
9 adding at the end the following:

10 “(7) Not later than 180 days after the effective date
11 of this paragraph, the Secretary shall enter into a memo-
12 randum of understanding with the Administrator of the
13 Small Business Administration consistent with section 48
14 of the Small Business Act, which shall specify the manner
15 in which the Secretary shall notify the Administrator as
16 to whether an individual is a veteran and if that veteran
17 has a service-connected disability.”.

1 **SEC. 813 [Log53374]. PLAN FOR IMPROVING DATA ON BUN-**
2 **DLED AND CONSOLIDATED CONTRACTS.**

3 Section 15 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644)
4 is amended by adding at the end the following new sub-
5 section:

6 “(s) DATA QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN.—

7 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than the first day
8 of fiscal year 2016, the Administrator of the Small
9 Business Administration, in consultation with the
10 Small Business Procurement Advisory Council, the
11 Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy, and
12 the Administrator of the General Services Adminis-
13 tration shall develop a plan to improve the quality
14 of data reported on bundled and consolidated con-
15 tracts in the Federal procurement data system.

16 “(2) PLAN REQUIREMENTS.—The plan shall—

17 “(A) describe the roles and responsibilities
18 of the Administrator of the Small Business Ad-
19 ministration, the Directors of the Offices of
20 Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization,
21 the Small Business Procurement Advisory
22 Council, the Administrator for Federal Procure-
23 ment Policy, the Administrator of the General
24 Services Administration, the senior procurement
25 executives, and Chief Acquisition Officers in im-
26 plementing the plan described in paragraph (1)

1 and contributing to the annual report required
2 by subsection (p)(4);

3 “(B) make necessary changes to policies
4 and procedures on proper identification and
5 mitigation of contract bundling and consolida-
6 tion, and to training procedures of relevant per-
7 sonnel on proper identification and mitigation
8 of contract bundling and consolidation;

9 “(C) establish consequences for failure to
10 properly identify contracts as bundled or con-
11 solidated;

12 “(D) establish requirements for periodic
13 and statistically valid data verification and vali-
14 dation; and

15 “(E) assign clear data verification respon-
16 sibilities.

17 “(3) COMMITTEE BRIEFING.—Once finalized
18 and by not later than 90 days prior to implementa-
19 tion, the plan described in this subsection shall be
20 presented to the Committee on Small Business of
21 the House of Representatives and the Committee on
22 Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate.

23 “(4) IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than the
24 first day of fiscal year 2017, the Administrator of

1 the Small Business Administration shall implement
2 the plan described in this subsection.

3 “(5) CERTIFICATION.—The Administrator shall
4 annually provide to the Committee on Small Busi-
5 ness of the House of Representatives and the Com-
6 mittee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of
7 the Senate certification of the accuracy and com-
8 pleteness of data reported on bundled and consoli-
9 dated contracts.

10 “(6) GAO STUDY AND REPORT.—

11 “(A) STUDY.—Not later than the first day
12 of fiscal year 2018, the Comptroller General of
13 the United States shall initiate a study on the
14 effectiveness of the plan described in this sub-
15 section that shall assess whether contracts were
16 accurately labeled as bundled or consolidated.

17 “(B) CONTRACTS EVALUATED.—For the
18 purposes of conducting the study described in
19 subparagraph (A), the Comptroller General of
20 the United States—

21 “(i) shall evaluate, for work in each of
22 sectors 23, 33, 54, and 56 (as defined by
23 the North American Industry Classification
24 System), not fewer than 100 contracts in
25 each sector;

1 “(ii) shall evaluate only those con-
2 tracts—

3 “(I) awarded by an agency listed
4 in section 901(b) of title 31, United
5 States Code; and

6 “(II) that have a Base and Exer-
7 cised Options Value, an Action Obl-
8 igation, or a Base and All Options
9 Value exceeding \$10,000,000; and

10 “(iii) shall not evaluate contracts that
11 have used any set aside authority.

12 “(C) REPORT.—Not later than 12 months
13 after initiating the study required by subpara-
14 graph (A), the Comptroller General of the
15 United States shall report to the Committee on
16 Small Business of the House of Representatives
17 and the Committee on Small Business and En-
18 trepreneurship of the Senate on the results
19 from such study and, if warranted, any rec-
20 ommendations on how to improve the quality of
21 data reported on bundled and consolidated con-
22 tracts.

23 “(7) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection the fol-
24 lowing definitions shall apply:

1 “(A) CHIEF ACQUISITION OFFICER; SEN-
2 IOR PROCUREMENT EXECUTIVE.—The terms
3 ‘Chief Acquisition Officer’ and ‘senior procure-
4 ment executive’ have the meanings given such
5 terms in section 44 of this Act.

6 “(B) FEDERAL PROCUREMENT DATA SYS-
7 TEM DEFINITIONS.—The terms ‘Base and Ex-
8 ercised Options Value’, ‘Action Obligation’,
9 ‘Base and All Options Value’, and ‘set aside au-
10 thority’ have the meanings given such terms by
11 the Administrator for Federal Procurement Pol-
12 icy in the Federal procurement data system on
13 October 1, 2013, or subsequent equivalent
14 terms.”.

1 **SEC. 814 [Log 53410]. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE EDUCATION**
2 **TO SMALL BUSINESSES ON CERTAIN RE-**
3 **QUIREMENTS OF ARMS EXPORT CONTROL**
4 **ACT.**

5 (a) ASSISTANCE AT SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOP-
6 MENT CENTERS.—Section 21(c)(1) of the Small Business
7 Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(1)) is amended by inserting at the
8 end the following: “Applicants receiving grants under this
9 section shall also assist small businesses by providing,
10 where appropriate, education on the requirements applica-
11 ble to small businesses under the regulations issued under
12 section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C.
13 2778) and on compliance with those requirements.”.

14 (b) PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—Sec-
15 tion 2418 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by
16 adding at the end the following new subsection:

17 “(c) An eligible entity assisted by the Department of
18 Defense under this chapter also may furnish education on
19 the requirements applicable to small businesses under the
20 regulations issued under section 38 of the Arms Export
21 Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778) and on compliance with
22 those requirements.”.

1 **SEC. 815 [Log 53509]. PROHIBITION ON REVERSE AUCTIONS**
2 **FOR COVERED CONTRACTS.**

3 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
4 gress that, when used appropriately, reverse auctions may
5 improve the Federal Government’s procurement of com-
6 mercially available commodities by increasing competition,
7 reducing prices, and improving opportunities for small
8 businesses.

9 (b) USE OF REVERSE AUCTIONS.—The Small Busi-
10 ness Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) is amended—

11 (1) by redesignating section 47 as section 48;
12 and

13 (2) by inserting after section 46 the following:
14 **“SEC. 47. REVERSE AUCTIONS PROHIBITED FOR COVERED**
15 **CONTRACTS.**

16 “(a) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a covered contract
17 described in subsection (c), reverse auction methods may
18 not be used—

19 “(1) if the covered contract is suitable for
20 award to a small business concern; or

21 “(2) if the award is to be made under—

22 “(A) section 8(a);

23 “(B) section 8(m);

24 “(C) section 15(a);

25 “(D) section 15(j);

26 “(E) section 31;

1 “(F) section 36; or

2 “(G) section 8127 of title 38, United
3 States Code.

4 “(b) LIMITATIONS ON USING REVERSE AUCTIONS.—

5 “(1) NUMBER OF OFFERS; REVISIONS TO
6 BIDS.—A Federal agency may not award a covered
7 contract using a reverse auction method if only one
8 offer is received or if offerors do not have the ability
9 to submit revised bids throughout the course of the
10 auction.

11 “(2) OTHER PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY.—A
12 Federal agency may not award a covered contract
13 under a procurement provision other than those pro-
14 visions described in subsection (a)(2) if the justifica-
15 tion for using such procurement provision is to use
16 reverse auction methods.

17 “(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section the following
18 definitions apply:

19 “(1) COVERED CONTRACT.—The term ‘covered
20 contract’ means a contract—

21 “(A) for services, including design and
22 construction services; and

23 “(B) for goods in which the technical
24 qualifications of the offeror constitute part of
25 the basis of award.

1 “(2) DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES.—

2 The term ‘design and construction services’ means—

3 “(A) site planning and landscape design;

4 “(B) architectural and interior design;

5 “(C) engineering system design;

6 “(D) performance of construction work for
7 facility, infrastructure, and environmental res-
8 toration projects;

9 “(E) delivery and supply of construction
10 materials to construction sites;

11 “(F) construction, alteration, or repair, in-
12 cluding painting and decorating, of public build-
13 ings and public works; and

14 “(G) architectural and engineering services
15 as defined in section 1102 of title 40, United
16 States Code.

17 “(3) REVERSE AUCTION.—The term ‘reverse
18 auction’ means, with respect to procurement by an
19 agency, a real-time auction conducted through an
20 electronic medium between a group of offerors who
21 compete against each other by submitting offers for
22 a contract or task order with the ability to submit
23 revised offers throughout the course of the auction.”.

24 (c) CONTRACTS AWARDED BY SECRETARY OF VET-
25 ERANS AFFAIRS.—Section 8127(j) of title 38, United

1 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
2 lowing new paragraph:

3 “(3) The provisions of section 47(a) of the Small
4 Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) (relating to the pro-
5 hibition on using reverse auction methods to award a con-
6 tract) shall apply to a contract awarded under this sec-
7 tion.”.

1 **SEC. 816 [Log 53375]. SBA SURETY BOND GUARANTEE.**

2 Section 411(c)(1) of the Small Business Investment
3 Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694b(c)(1)) is amended by strik-
4 ing “70” and inserting “90”.

1 **Subtitle A—Department of Defense**
2 **Management**

3 **SEC. 901 [Log 53966]. REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPART-**
4 **MENT OF THE NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF**
5 **THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**

6 (a) REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE
7 NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE
8 CORPS.—

9 (1) REDESIGNATION OF MILITARY DEPART-
10 MENT.—The military department designated as the
11 Department of the Navy is redesignated as the De-
12 partment of the Navy and Marine Corps.

13 (2) REDESIGNATION OF SECRETARY AND
14 OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.—

15 (A) SECRETARY.—The position of the Sec-
16 retary of the Navy is redesignated as the Sec-
17 retary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

18 (B) OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.—The
19 positions of the Under Secretary of the Navy,
20 the four Assistant Secretaries of the Navy, and
21 the General Counsel of the Department of the
22 Navy are redesignated as the Under Secretary
23 of the Navy and Marine Corps, the Assistant
24 Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps, and

1 the General Counsel of the Department of the
2 Navy and Marine Corps, respectively.

3 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10,
4 UNITED STATES CODE.—

5 (1) DEFINITION OF “MILITARY DEPART-
6 MENT”.—Paragraph (8) of section 101(a) of title
7 10, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
8 lows:

9 “(8) The term ‘military department’ means the
10 Department of the Army, the Department of the
11 Navy and Marine Corps, and the Department of the
12 Air Force.”.

13 (2) ORGANIZATION OF DEPARTMENT.—The text
14 of section 5011 of such title is amended to read as
15 follows: “The Department of the Navy and Marine
16 Corps is separately organized under the Secretary of
17 the Navy and Marine Corps.”.

18 (3) POSITION OF SECRETARY.—Section
19 5013(a)(1) of such title is amended by striking
20 “There is a Secretary of the Navy” and inserting
21 “There is a Secretary of the Navy and Marine
22 Corps”.

23 (4) CHAPTER HEADINGS.—

24 (A) The heading of chapter 503 of such
25 title is amended to read as follows:

1 **“CHAPTER 503—DEPARTMENT OF THE**
2 **NAVY AND MARINE CORPS”.**

3 (B) The heading of chapter 507 of such
4 title is amended to read as follows:

5 **“CHAPTER 507—COMPOSITION OF THE DE-**
6 **PARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE**
7 **CORPS”.**

8 (5) OTHER AMENDMENTS.—

9 (A) Title 10, United States Code, is
10 amended by striking “Department of the Navy”
11 and “Secretary of the Navy” each place they
12 appear other than as specified in paragraphs
13 (1), (2), (3), and (4) (including in section head-
14 ings, subsection captions, tables of chapters,
15 and tables of sections) and inserting “Depart-
16 ment of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Sec-
17 retary of the Navy and Marine Corps”, respec-
18 tively, in each case with the matter inserted to
19 be in the same typeface and typestyle as the
20 matter stricken.

21 (B)(i) Sections 5013(f), 5014(b)(2),
22 5016(a), 5017(2), 5032(a), and 5042(a) of
23 such title are amended by striking “Assistant
24 Secretaries of the Navy” and inserting “Assist-
25 ant Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps”.

1 (ii) The heading of section 5016 of such
2 title, and the item relating to such section in
3 the table of sections at the beginning of chapter
4 503 of such title, are each amended by insert-
5 ing “and Marine Corps” after “of the Navy”,
6 with the matter inserted in each case to be in
7 the same typeface and typestyle as the matter
8 amended.

9 (c) OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW AND OTHER REF-
10 ERENCES.—

11 (1) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 37,
12 United States Code, is amended by striking “De-
13 partment of the Navy” and “Secretary of the Navy”
14 each place they appear and inserting “Department
15 of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Secretary of
16 the Navy and Marine Corps”, respectively.

17 (2) OTHER REFERENCES.—Any reference in
18 any law other than in title 10 or title 37, United
19 States Code, or in any regulation, document, record,
20 or other paper of the United States, to the Depart-
21 ment of the Navy shall be considered to be a ref-
22 erence to the Department of the Navy and Marine
23 Corps. Any such reference to an office specified in
24 subsection (a)(2) shall be considered to be a ref-
25 erence to that office as redesignated by that section.

1 (d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amend-
2 ments made by this section shall take effect on the first
3 day of the first month beginning more than 60 days after
4 the date of the enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 902 [Log 53899]. ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR DI-**
2 **RECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVAL-**
3 **UATION.**

4 (a) ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITY.—Section 139 of
5 title 10, United States Code, is amended—

6 (1) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), (e),
7 (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k) as subsections (d), (e),
8 (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l), respectively; and

9 (2) by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-
10 lowing new subsection (c):

11 “(c) The Director shall consider the potential for in-
12 creases in program cost estimates or delays in schedule
13 estimates in the implementation of policies, procedures,
14 and activities related to operational test and evaluation
15 and shall take appropriate action to ensure that oper-
16 ational test and evaluation activities do not unnecessarily
17 increase program costs or impede program schedules.”.

18 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section
19 196(c)(1)(A)(ii) of such title is amended by striking “sec-
20 tion 139(i)” and inserting “section 139(k)”.

1 **SEC. 903 [Log 53229]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE**
2 **REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS OF ACTIVITIES**
3 **FOR NONGOVERNMENTAL PERSONNEL AT**
4 **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REGIONAL CEN-**
5 **TERS FOR SECURITY STUDIES.**

6 Section 941(b)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National
7 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10
8 U.S.C. 184 note) is amended by striking “through 2014”
9 and inserting “through 2019”.

1 **SEC. 906 [Log 53483] COMBATANT COMMAND EFFICIENCY**

2 **PLAN.**

3 (a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense
4 shall develop a plan to combine the back office functions
5 of the headquarters of two or more combatant commands,
6 including the subordinate component commands.

7 (b) **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED.**—The plan re-
8 quired by subsection (a) shall include the following:

9 (1) A detailed discussion of combining or other-
10 wise sharing in whole or in part similar back office
11 functions between two or more combatant command
12 headquarters located in the same country.

13 (2) A detailed discussion of combining or other-
14 wise sharing in whole or in part similar back office
15 functions of the Joint Staff and some or all combat-
16 ant command headquarters.

17 (3) A detailed discussion of establishing a new
18 organization to manage similar back office functions
19 of two or more combatant command headquarters
20 located in the same country.

21 (4) A detailed discussion of the risks and capa-
22 bilities lost by implementing such consolidations and
23 efficiencies.

24 (5) A detailed discussion of how the efficiencies
25 and consolidations in assigned personnel and re-
26 sources are in support of the quadrennial defense re-

1 view and the strategic choices and management re-
2 view of the Department of Defense.

3 (6) Any other arrangements that the Secretary
4 considers appropriate.

5 (c) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 120 days
6 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
7 shall submit to the congressional defense committees a re-
8 port containing—

9 (1) a summary of the plan required by sub-
10 section (a); and

11 (2) the potential cost savings of any arrange-
12 ments the Secretary considers in conducting the
13 study.

14 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

15 (1) BACK OFFICE FUNCTIONS.—The term
16 “back office functions” means the administration
17 and support functions of a headquarters of a com-
18 batant command, including human resources or
19 other personnel functions, budgeting, and informa-
20 tion technology support.

21 (2) COMBATANT COMMAND.—The term “com-
22 batant command” means a combatant command es-
23 tablished pursuant to section 161 or 167 of title 10,
24 United States Code.

1 (e) LIMITATION.—Of the amounts authorized to be
2 appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of
3 Defense for operations and maintenance, defense-wide,
4 Joint Chiefs of Staff, as specified in the funding table for
5 section 4301, not more than 85 percent may be obligated
6 or expended until the Secretary of Defense, in coordina-
7 tion with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, pro-
8 vides the Committee on Armed Services of the House of
9 Representatives the briefing on combatant command head-
10 quarters personnel and resources requirements as directed
11 in the Report of the Committee on Armed Services on
12 H.R. 1960 of the 113th Congress (House Report 113–
13 102) under title X.

1 **SEC. 909 [Log 53422]. OFFICE OF NET ASSESSMENT.**

2 (a) **POLICY.**—It is the policy of the United States to
3 maintain an independent organization within the Depart-
4 ment of Defense to develop and coordinate net assess-
5 ments of the standing, trends, and future prospects of the
6 military capabilities and potential of the United States in
7 comparison with the military capabilities and potential of
8 other countries or groups of countries so as to identify
9 emerging or future threats or opportunities for the United
10 States.

11 (b) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—

12 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 4 of title 10, United
13 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the
14 following new section:

15 **“SEC. 145. OFFICE OF NET ASSESSMENT.**

16 “(a) **IN GENERAL.**—There is in the Office of the Sec-
17 retary of Defense an office known as the Office of Net
18 Assessment.

19 “(b) **HEAD.**—(1) The head of the Office of Net As-
20 sessment shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense.
21 The head shall be a member of the Senior Executive Serv-
22 ice.

23 “(2) The head of the Office of Net Assessment may
24 communicate views on matters within the responsibility of
25 the head directly to the Secretary without obtaining the

1 approval or concurrence of any other official within the
2 Department of Defense.

3 “(3) The head of the Office of Net Assessment shall
4 report directly to the Secretary.

5 “(4) The Office is subject to the authority, direction,
6 and control of the Secretary. The Secretary may not dele-
7 gate the responsibility to exercise such authority, direc-
8 tion, and control over the Office.

9 “(c) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Office of Net Assess-
10 ment shall develop and coordinate net assessments with
11 respect to the standing, trends, and future prospects of
12 the military capabilities and potential of the United States
13 in comparison with the military capabilities and potential
14 of other countries or groups of countries to identify emerg-
15 ing or future threats or opportunities for the United
16 States.

17 “(d) BUDGET.—In the budget materials submitted to
18 the President by the Secretary of Defense in connection
19 with the submittal to Congress, pursuant to section 1105
20 of title 31, of the budget for any fiscal year after fiscal
21 year 2014, the Secretary shall ensure that a separate,
22 dedicated program element is assigned for the Office of
23 Net Assessment.

24 “(e) NET ASSESSMENT DEFINED.—In this section,
25 the term ‘net assessment’ means the comparative analysis

1 of military, technological, political, economic, and other
2 factors governing the relative military capability of na-
3 tions.”.

4 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
5 tions at the beginning of chapter 4 of such title is
6 amended by adding at the end the following new
7 item:

“145. Office of Net Assessment.”.

1 **SEC. 912 [Log 53795]. REPEAL OF EXTENSION OF COMP-**
2 **TROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON INVENTORY.**

3 Section 803(c) of the National Defense Authorization
4 Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat.
5 2402), as amended by section 951(b) of the National De-
6 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law
7 113–66; 127 Stat. 839), is amended by striking “2013,
8 2014, and 2015” and inserting “and 2013”.

1 **Subtitle A—Financial Matters**

2 **SEC. 1001 [Log 53316]. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

3 (a) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—**

4 (1) **AUTHORITY.**—Upon determination by the
5 Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in
6 the national interest, the Secretary may transfer
7 amounts of authorizations made available to the De-
8 partment of Defense in this division for fiscal year
9 2015 between any such authorizations for that fiscal
10 year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of au-
11 thorizations so transferred shall be merged with and
12 be available for the same purposes as the authoriza-
13 tion to which transferred.

14 (2) **LIMITATION.**—Except as provided in para-
15 graph (3), the total amount of authorizations that
16 the Secretary may transfer under the authority of
17 this section may not exceed \$4,000,000,000.

18 (3) **EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN**
19 **MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.**—A trans-
20 fer of funds between military personnel authoriza-
21 tions under title IV shall not be counted toward the
22 dollar limitation in paragraph (2).

23 (b) **LIMITATIONS.**—The authority provided by sub-
24 section (a) to transfer authorizations—

1 (1) may only be used to provide authority for
2 items that have a higher priority than the items
3 from which authority is transferred; and

4 (2) may not be used to provide authority for an
5 item that has been denied authorization by Con-
6 gress.

7 (c) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.—A
8 transfer made from one account to another under the au-
9 thority of this section shall be deemed to increase the
10 amount authorized for the account to which the amount
11 is transferred by an amount equal to the amount trans-
12 ferred.

13 (d) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall
14 promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under
15 subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 1002 [Log 53406]. REPEAL OF LIMITATION ON INSPEC-**
2 **TOR GENERAL AUDITS OF CERTAIN FINAN-**
3 **CIAL STATEMENTS.**

4 Section 1008 of the National Defense Authorization
5 Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat.
6 1204; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended by striking sub-
7 section (d).

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

SEC. 1011 [Log 53230]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUP- PORT UNIFIED COUNTERDRUG AND COUNTERTERRORISM CAMPAIGN IN COLOM- BIA.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2042), as most recently amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “2014” and inserting “2015”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “2014” and inserting “2015”.

(b) NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON ASSISTANCE.—Not later than 15 days before providing assistance under section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (as amended by subsection (a)) using funds available for fiscal year 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notice setting forth the assistance to be provided, including the types of such assistance, the

- 1 budget for such assistance, and the anticipated completion
- 2 date and duration of the provision of such assistance.

1 **SEC. 1012 [Log 53231]. THREE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHOR-**
2 **ITY OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO PRO-**
3 **VIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR**
4 **COUNTERDRUG ACTIVITIES OF OTHER GOV-**
5 **ERNMENTAL AGENCIES.**

6 Subsection (a) of section 1004 of the National De-
7 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law
8 101–510;10 U.S.C. 374 note), as most recently amended
9 by section 1005 of the National Defense Authorization Act
10 for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), is amended
11 by striking “During fiscal years 2012 through 2014” and
12 inserting “During fiscal years 2014 through 2017”.

1 **SEC. 1013 [Log 53304]. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MEXICO**
2 **AND CENTRAL AMERICA.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
4 ings:

5 (1) The stability and security of Mexico and the
6 nations of Central America have a direct impact on
7 the stability and security of the United States.

8 (2) Over the past decade, a “balloon effect” has
9 pushed increased violence and instability into Cen-
10 tral America and Mexico from South America.

11 (3) Drug cartels and transnational criminal or-
12 ganizations have spread throughout the region, caus-
13 ing instability and lack of rule of law in many na-
14 tions.

15 (4) Illicit networks are used in a variety of ille-
16 gal activities including the movement of narcotics,
17 humans, weapons, and money.

18 (5) According to the United Nations Office on
19 Drugs and Crime, Honduras has the highest murder
20 rate in the world with 92 murders per 100,000 peo-
21 ple.

22 (6) Currently, Mexico is working to reduce vio-
23 lence created by transnational criminal organizations
24 and address issues spurred by the emergence of in-
25 ternal self defense groups.

1 (7) United States Northern Command and
2 United States Southern Command lead the efforts of
3 the Department of Defense in combating illicit net-
4 working in Mexico and Central America.

5 (8) To combat these destabilizing threats,
6 through a variety of authorities, the Department of
7 Defense advises, trains, educates, and equips vetted
8 troops in Mexico and many of the nations of Central
9 America to build their militaries and police forces,
10 with an emphasis on human rights and building
11 partnership capacity.

12 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
13 gress that—

14 (1) the Department of Defense should continue
15 to focus on combating illicit networking routes in
16 Mexico and Central America;

17 (2) United States Northern Command and
18 United States Southern Command should continue
19 to work together to combat the transnational nature
20 of these threats; and

21 (3) the Department of Defense should increase
22 its maritime, aerial and intelligence, surveillance,
23 and reconnaissance assets in the region in order to
24 reduce the amount of illicit networking flowing into
25 the United States.

[Page intentionally left blank]

1 **SEC. 1032 [Log 53550]. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO**
2 **CONSTRUCT OR MODIFY FACILITIES IN THE**
3 **UNITED STATES TO HOUSE DETAINEES**
4 **TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL**
5 **STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—No amounts authorized to be ap-
7 propriated or otherwise made available to the Department
8 of Defense may be used during the period beginning on
9 the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on De-
10 cember 31, 2015, to construct or modify any facility in
11 the United States, its territories, or possessions to house
12 any individual detained at Guantanamo for the purposes
13 of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the
14 control of the Department of Defense unless authorized
15 by Congress.

16 (b) EXCEPTION.—The prohibition in subsection (a)
17 shall not apply to any modification of facilities at United
18 States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

19 (c) INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO DE-
20 FINED.—In this section, the term “individual detained at
21 Guantanamo” means any individual located at United
22 States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of Octo-
23 ber 1, 2009, who—

24 (1) is not a citizen of the United States or a
25 member of the Armed Forces of the United States;
26 and

1 (2) is—

2 (A) in the custody or under the control of
3 the Department of Defense; or

4 (B) otherwise under detention at United
5 States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

1 **SEC. 1033 [Log 53551]. PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS**
2 **FOR THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDI-**
3 **VIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES**
4 **NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

5 No amounts authorized to be appropriated or other-
6 wise made available to the Department of Defense may
7 be used during the period beginning on the date of the
8 enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2015,
9 to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to
10 or within the United States, its territories, or possessions
11 of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed or any other detainee who—

12 (1) is not a United States citizen or a member
13 of the Armed Forces of the United States; and

14 (2) is or was held on or after January 20,
15 2009, at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo
16 Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense.

1 **SEC. 1046 [Log 54009]. SUBMITTAL OF PROCEDURES AND**
2 **REPORTS RELATING TO SENSITIVE MILITARY**
3 **OPERATIONS.**

4 Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this
5 Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for
6 the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Spe-
7 cial Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, not more than
8 75 percent may be obligated or expended until the Sec-
9 retary of Defense submits to the congressional defense
10 committees—

11 (1) the procedures required to be submitted by
12 section 130f(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code;
13 and

14 (2) the report required to be submitted under
15 section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization
16 Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127
17 Stat. 857).

1 **SEC. 1052 [Log 53678]. RESPONSE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF**
2 **DEFENSE TO COMPROMISES OF CLASSIFIED**
3 **INFORMATION.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
5 ings:

6 (1) Compromises of classified information cause
7 indiscriminate and long-lasting damage to United
8 States national security and often have a direct im-
9 pact on the safety of warfighters.

10 (2) In 2010, hundreds of thousands of classified
11 documents were illegally copied and disclosed across
12 the Internet.

13 (3) Classified information has been disclosed in
14 numerous public writings and manuscripts endan-
15 gering current operations.

16 (4) In 2013, nearly 1,700,000 files were
17 downloaded from United States Government infor-
18 mation systems, threatening the national security of
19 the United States and placing the lives of United
20 States personnel at extreme risk. The majority of
21 the information compromised relates to the capabili-
22 ties, operations, tactics, techniques, and procedures
23 of the Armed Forces of the United States, and is the
24 single greatest quantitative compromise in the his-
25 tory of the United States.

1 (5) The Department of Defense is taking steps
2 to mitigate the harm caused by these leaks.

3 (6) Congress must be kept apprised of the
4 progress of the mitigation efforts to ensure the pro-
5 tection of the national security of the United States.

6 (b) REPORTS REQUIRED.—

7 (1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 60 days
8 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
9 retary of Defense shall submit to the congressional
10 defense committees a report on actions taken by the
11 Secretary in response to significant compromises of
12 classified information. Such report shall include each
13 of the following:

14 (A) A description of any changes made to
15 Department of Defense policies or guidance re-
16 lating to significant compromises of classified
17 information, including regarding security clear-
18 ances for employees of the Department, infor-
19 mation technology, and personnel actions.

20 (B) An overview of the efforts made by
21 any task force responsible for the mitigation of
22 such compromises of classified information.

23 (C) A description of the resources of the
24 Department that have been dedicated to efforts
25 relating to such compromises.

1 (D) A description of the plan of the Sec-
2 retary to continue evaluating the damage
3 caused by, and to mitigate the damage from,
4 such compromises.

5 (E) A general description and estimate of
6 the anticipated costs associated with mitigating
7 such compromises.

8 (2) UPDATES TO REPORT.—During calendar
9 years 2015 through 2018, the Secretary shall submit
10 to the congressional defense committees semiannual
11 updates to the report required by paragraph (1).
12 Each such update shall include information regard-
13 ing any changes or progress with respect to the mat-
14 ters covered by such report.

1 **Subtitle G—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 1061 [Log 53267]. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMEND-**
3 **MENTS.**

4 (a) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES
5 CODE, TO REFLECT ENACTMENT OF TITLE 41, UNITED
6 STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended
7 as follows:

8 (1) Section 2013(a)(1) is amended by striking
9 “section 6101(b)–(d) of title 41” and inserting “sec-
10 tion 6101 of title 41”.

11 (2) Section 2302 is amended—

12 (A) in paragraph (7), by striking “section
13 4 of such Act” and inserting “such section”;
14 and

15 (B) in paragraph (9)(A)—

16 (i) by striking “section 26 of the Of-
17 fice of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41
18 U.S.C. 422)” and inserting “chapter 15 of
19 title 41”; and

20 (ii) by striking “such section” and in-
21 serting “such chapter”.

22 (3) Section 2306a(b)(3)(B) is amended by
23 striking “section 4(12)(C)(i) of the Office of Federal
24 Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)(C)(i))”
25 and inserting “section 103(3)(A) of title 41”.

1 (4) Section 2314 is amended by striking “Sec-
2 tions 6101(b)–(d)” and inserting “Sections 6101”.

3 (5) Section 2321(f)(2) is amended by striking
4 “section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement
5 Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))” and inserting “sec-
6 tion 104 of title 41”.

7 (6) Section 2359b(k)(4)(A) is amended by
8 striking “section 4 of the Office of Federal Procure-
9 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)” and inserting
10 “section 110 of title 41”.

11 (7) Section 2379 is amended—

12 (A) in subsections (a)(1)(A), (b)(2)(A),
13 and (c)(1)(B)(i), by striking “section 4(12) of
14 the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act
15 (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and inserting “section
16 103 of title 41”; and

17 (B) in subsections (b) and (c)(1), by strik-
18 ing “section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Pro-
19 curement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))” and
20 inserting “section 104 of title 41”.

21 (8) Section 2410m(b)(1) is amended—

22 (A) in subparagraph (A)(i), by striking
23 “section 7 of such Act” and inserting “section
24 7104(a) of such title”; and

1 (B) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking
2 “section 7 of the Contract Disputes Act of
3 1978” and inserting “section 7104(a) of title
4 41”.

5 (9) Section 2533(a) is amended by striking
6 “such Act” in the matter preceding paragraph (1)
7 and inserting “chapter 83 of such title”.

8 (10) Section 2533b is amended—

9 (A) in subsection (h)—

10 (i) in paragraph (1), by striking “sec-
11 tions 34 and 35 of the Office of Federal
12 Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 430
13 and 431)” and inserting “sections 1906
14 and 1907 of title 41”; and

15 (ii) in paragraph (2), by striking “sec-
16 tion 35(c) of the Office of Federal Pro-
17 curement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))”
18 and inserting “section 104 of title 41”;
19 and

20 (B) in subsection (m)—

21 (i) in paragraph (2), by striking “sec-
22 tion 4 of the Office of Federal Procure-
23 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)” and in-
24 serting “section 105 of title 41”;

1 (ii) in paragraph (3), by striking “sec-
2 tion 4 of the Office of Federal Procure-
3 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)” and in-
4 serting “section 131 of title 41”; and

5 (iii) in paragraph (5), by striking
6 “section 35(c) of the Office of Federal
7 Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C.
8 431(c))” and inserting “section 104 of title
9 41”.

10 (11) Section 2545(1) is amended by striking
11 “section 4(16) of the Office of Federal Procurement
12 Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(16))” and inserting “sec-
13 tion 131 of title 41”.

14 (12) Section 7312(f) is amended by striking
15 “Section 3709 of the Revised Statutes (41 U.S.C.
16 5)” and inserting “Section 6101 of title 41”.

17 (b) AMENDMENTS TO OTHER DEFENSE-RELATED
18 STATUTES TO REFLECT ENACTMENT OF TITLE 41,
19 UNITED STATES CODE.—

20 (1) The Ike Skelton National Defense Author-
21 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–
22 383) is amended as follows:

23 (A) Section 846(a) (10 U.S.C. 2534 note)
24 is amended—

1 (i) by striking “the Buy American Act
2 (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.)” and inserting
3 “chapter 83 of title 41, United States
4 Code”; and

5 (ii) by striking “that Act” and insert-
6 ing “that chapter”.

7 (B) Section 866 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is
8 amended—

9 (i) in subsection (b)(4)(A), by striking
10 “section 26 of the Office of Federal Pro-
11 curement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422)” and
12 inserting “chapter 15 of title 41, United
13 States Code”; and

14 (ii) in subsection (e)(2)(A), by strik-
15 ing “section 4(13) of the Office of Federal
16 Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C.
17 403(13))” and inserting “section 110 of
18 title 41, United States Code”.

19 (C) Section 893(f)(2) (10 U.S.C. 2302
20 note) is amended by striking “section 26 of the
21 Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41
22 U.S.C. 422)” and inserting “chapter 15 of title
23 41, United States Code”.

1 (2) The National Defense Authorization Act for
2 Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) is amended
3 as follows:

4 (A) Section 805(c)(1) (10 U.S.C. 2330
5 note) is amended—

6 (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking
7 “section 4(12)(E) of the Office of Federal
8 Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C.
9 403(12)(E))” and inserting “section
10 103(5) of title 41, United States Code”;
11 and

12 (ii) in subparagraph (C)(i), by strik-
13 ing “section 4(12)(F) of the Office of Fed-
14 eral Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C.
15 403(12)(F))” and inserting “section
16 103(6) of title 41, United States Code”.

17 (B) Section 821(b)(2) (10 U.S.C. 2304
18 note) is amended by striking “section 4(12) of
19 the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act
20 (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and inserting “section
21 103 of title 41, United States Code”.

22 (C) Section 847 (10 U.S.C. 1701 note) is
23 amended—

24 (i) in subsection (a)(5), by striking
25 “section 27(e) of the Office of Federal

1 Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C.
2 423(e))” and inserting “section 2105 of
3 title 41, United States Code”;

4 (ii) in subsection (c)(1), by striking
5 “section 4(16) of the Office of Federal
6 Procurement Policy Act” and inserting
7 “section 131 of title 41, United States
8 Code”; and

9 (iii) in subsection (d)(1), by striking
10 “section 27 of the Office of Federal Pro-
11 curement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 423)” and
12 inserting “chapter 21 of title 41, United
13 States Code”.

14 (D) Section 862 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is
15 amended—

16 (i) in subsection (b)(1), by striking
17 “section 25 of the Office of Federal Pro-
18 curement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421)” and
19 inserting “section 1303 of title 41, United
20 States Code”; and

21 (ii) in subsection (d)(1), by striking
22 “section 6(j) of the Office of Federal Pro-
23 curement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 405(j))”
24 and inserting “section 1126 of title 41,
25 United States Code”.

1 (3) The John Warner National Defense Author-
2 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–
3 364) is amended as follows:

4 (A) Section 832(d)(3) (10 U.S.C. 2302
5 note) is amended by striking “section 8(b) of
6 the Service Contract Act of 1965 (41 U.S.C.
7 357(b))” and inserting “section 6701(3) of title
8 41, United States Code”.

9 (B) Section 852(b)(2)(A)(ii) (10 U.S.C.
10 2324 note) is amended by striking “section
11 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Pol-
12 icy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and inserting
13 “section 103 of title 41, United States Code”.

14 (4) Section 8118 of the Department of Defense
15 Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–287; 10
16 U.S.C. 2533a note), is amended by striking “section
17 34 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act
18 (41 U.S.C. 430)” and inserting “section 1906 of
19 title 41, United States Code”.

20 (5) The National Defense Authorization Act for
21 Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) is amended
22 as follows:

23 (A) Section 812(b)(2) (10 U.S.C. 2501
24 note) is amended by striking “section
25 6(d)(4)(A) of the Office of Federal Procure-

1 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 405(d)(4)(A))” and
2 inserting “section 1122(a)(4)(A) of title 41,
3 United States Code”.

4 (B) Subsection (c) of section 1601 (10
5 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended—

6 (i) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking
7 “section 32A of the Office of Federal Pro-
8 curement Policy Act, as added by section
9 1443 of this Act” and inserting “section
10 1903 of title 41, United States Code”; and

11 (ii) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking
12 “Subsections (a) and (b) of section 7 of
13 the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 (41 U.S.C.
14 57(a) and (b))” and inserting “Section
15 8703(a) of title 41, United States Code”.

16 (6) Section 8025(c) of the Department of De-
17 fense Appropriations Act, 2004 (Public Law 108–
18 87; 10 U.S.C. 2410d note), is amended by striking
19 “the Javits-Wagner-O’Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48)”
20 and inserting “chapter 85 of title 41, United States
21 Code”.

22 (7) Section 817(e)(1)(B) of the Bob Stump Na-
23 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
24 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 2306a note)
25 is amended by striking “section 26(f)(5)(B) of the

1 Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C.
2 422(f)(5)(B))” and inserting “section 1502(b)(3)(B)
3 of title 41, United States Code”.

4 (8) Section 801(f)(1) of the National Defense
5 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law
6 107–107; 10 U.S.C. 2330 note) is amended by strik-
7 ing “section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procure-
8 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3))” and inserting
9 “section 1702(c) of title 41, United States Code”.

10 (9) Section 803(d) of the Strom Thurmond Na-
11 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
12 1999 (Public Law 105–261; 10 U.S.C. 2306a note)
13 is amended by striking “subsection (b)(1)(B) of sec-
14 tion 304A of the Federal Property and Administra-
15 tive Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 254b)” and in-
16 serting “section 3503(a)(2) of title 41, United
17 States Code”.

18 (10) Section 848(e)(1) of the National Defense
19 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law
20 105–85; 10 U.S.C. 2304 note) is amended by strik-
21 ing “section 32 of the Office of Federal Procure-
22 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 428)” and inserting
23 “section 1902 of title 41, United States Code”.

24 (11) Section 722(b)(2) of the National Defense
25 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law

1 104–201; 10 U.S.C. 1073 note) is amended by strik-
2 ing “section 25(c) of the Office of Federal Procure-
3 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c))” and inserting
4 “section 1303(a) of title 41, United States Code”.

5 (12) Section 3412(k) of the National Defense
6 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law
7 104–106, 10 U.S.C. 7420 note) is amended by strik-
8 ing “section 303(c) of the Federal Property and Ad-
9 ministrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C.
10 253(c))” and inserting “section 3304(a) of title 41,
11 United States Code”.

12 (13) Section 845 of the National Defense Au-
13 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law
14 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 2371 note) is amended—

15 (A) in subsection (a)(2)(A), by striking
16 “section 16(c) of the Office of Federal Procure-
17 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(c))” and in-
18 serting “section 1702(c) of title 41, United
19 States Code,”;

20 (B) in subsection (d)(1)(B)(ii), by striking
21 “section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procure-
22 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3))” and in-
23 serting “section 1702(c) of title 41, United
24 States Code”;

1 (C) in subsection (e)(2)(A), by striking
2 “section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procure-
3 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and in-
4 serting “section 103 of title 41, United States
5 Code”; and

6 (D) in subsection (h), by striking “section
7 27 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy
8 Act (41 U.S.C. 423)” and inserting “chapter
9 21 of title 41, United States Code”.

10 (14) Section 326(c)(2) of the National Defense
11 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law
12 102–484; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by strik-
13 ing “section 25(c) of the Office of Federal Procure-
14 ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c))” and inserting
15 “section 1303(a) of title 41, United States Code”.

16 (15) Section 806 of the National Defense Au-
17 thorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993
18 (Public Law 102–190; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is
19 amended—

20 (A) in subsection (b), by striking “section
21 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Pol-
22 icy Act” and inserting “section 103 of title 41,
23 United States Code”; and

24 (B) in subsection (c)—

1 (i) by striking “section 25(a) of the
2 Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act”
3 and inserting “section 1302(a) of title 41,
4 United States Code”; and

5 (ii) by striking “section 25(c)(1) of
6 the Office of Federal Procurement Policy
7 Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c)(1))” and inserting
8 “section 1303(a)(1) of such title 41”.

9 (16) Section 831 of the National Defense Au-
10 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law
11 101–510, 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

12 (A) by designating the subsection after
13 subsection (k), relating to definitions, as sub-
14 section (l); and

15 (B) in paragraph (8) of that subsection, by
16 striking “the first section of the Act of June
17 25, 1938 (41 U.S.C. 46; popularly known as
18 the ‘Wagner-O’Day Act’)” and inserting “sec-
19 tion 8502 of title 41, United States Code”.

20 (c) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES
21 CODE, TO REFLECT RECLASSIFICATION OF PROVISIONS
22 OF LAW CODIFIED IN TITLE 50, UNITED STATES
23 CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as fol-
24 lows:

1 (1) Sections 113(b), 125(a), and 155(d) are
2 amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401)” and insert-
3 ing “(50 U.S.C. 3002)”.

4 (2) Sections 113(e)(2), 117(a)(1), 118(b)(1),
5 118a(b)(1), 153(b)(1)(C)(i), 231(b)(1), 231a(c)(1),
6 and 2501(a)(1)(A) are amended by striking “(50
7 U.S.C. 404a)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3043)”.

8 (3) Sections 167(g), 421(c), and 2557(c) are
9 amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 413 et seq.)” and
10 inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3091 et seq.)”.

11 (4) Section 201(b)(1) is amended by striking
12 “(50 U.S.C. 403–6(b))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
13 3041(b))”.

14 (5) Section 429 is amended—

15 (A) in subsection (a), by striking “Section
16 102A of the National Security Act of 1947 (50
17 U.S.C. 403–1)” and inserting “section 102A of
18 the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C.
19 3024)”;

20 (B) in subsection (e), by striking “(50
21 U.S.C. 401a(4))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
22 3003(4))”.

23 (6) Section 442(d) is amended by striking “(50
24 U.S.C. 404e(a))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
25 3045(a))”.

1 (7) Section 444 is amended—

2 (A) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “(50
3 U.S.C. 403o)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
4 3515)”; and

5 (B) in subsection (e)(2)(B), by striking
6 “(50 U.S.C. 403a et seq.)” and inserting “(50
7 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.)”.

8 (8) Section 457 is amended—

9 (A) in subsection (a), by striking “(50
10 U.S.C. 431)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
11 3141)”; and

12 (B) in subsection (c), by striking “(50
13 U.S.C. 431(b))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
14 3141(b))”.

15 (9) Sections 462, 1599a(a), and 1623(a) are
16 amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 402 note)” and in-
17 serting “(50 U.S.C. 3614)”.

18 (10) Sections 491(c)(3), 494(d)(1), 496(a)(1),
19 2409(e)(1) are amended by striking “(50 U.S.C.
20 401a(4))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3003(4))”.

21 (11) Section 1605(a)(2) is amended by striking
22 “(50 U.S.C. 403r)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
23 3518)”.

1 (12) Section 2723(d)(2) is amended by striking
2 “(50 U.S.C. 413)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C.
3 3091)”.

4 (d) AMENDMENTS TO OTHER DEFENSE-RELATED
5 STATUTES TO REFLECT RECLASSIFICATION OF PROVI-
6 SIONS OF LAW CODIFIED IN TITLE 50, UNITED STATES
7 CODE.—

8 (1) The following provisions of law are amended
9 by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401a(4))” and inserting
10 “(50 U.S.C. 3003(4))”:

11 (A) Section 911(3) of the Ike Skelton Na-
12 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
13 Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C.
14 2271 note).

15 (B) Sections 801(b)(3) and 911(e)(2) of
16 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fis-
17 cal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C.
18 2304 note; 2271 note).

19 (C) Section 812(e) of the National Defense
20 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public
21 Law 108–136; 10 U.S.C. 2501 note).

22 (2) Section 901(d) of the Bob Stump National
23 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003
24 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 137 note) is

1 amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401 et seq.)” and
2 inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.)”.

3 (e) DATE OF ENACTMENT REFERENCES.—Title 10,
4 United States Code, is amended as follows:

5 (1) Section 1218(d)(3) is amended by striking
6 “on the date that is five years after the date of the
7 enactment of the National Defense Authorization
8 Act for Fiscal Year 2010” and inserting “on Octo-
9 ber 28, 2014”.

10 (2) Section 1566a(a) is amended by striking
11 “Not later than 180 days after the date of the en-
12 actment of the National Defense Authorization Act
13 for Fiscal Year 2010 and under” and inserting
14 “Under”.

15 (3) Section 2275(d) is amended—

16 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “before
17 the date of the enactment of the National De-
18 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013”
19 and inserting “before January 2, 2013”; and

20 (B) in paragraph (2), by striking “on or
21 after the date of the enactment of the National
22 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
23 2013” and inserting “on or after January 2,
24 2013”.

1 (4) Section 2601a(e) is amended by striking
2 “after the date of the enactment of the National De-
3 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012” and
4 inserting “after December 31, 2011,”.

5 (5) Section 6328(c) is amended by striking “on
6 or after the date of the enactment of the National
7 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010”
8 and inserting “on or after October 28, 2009,”.

9 (f) OTHER AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED
10 STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended
11 as follows:

12 (1) The table of sections at the beginning of
13 chapter 3 is amended by striking the item relating
14 to section 130f and inserting the following new item:
 “130f. Congressional notification of sensitive military operations.”.

15 (2) The table of sections at the beginning of
16 chapter 7 is amended by inserting a period at the
17 end of the item relating to section 189.

18 (3) Section 189(c)(1) is amended by striking
19 “139c” and inserting “2430(a)”.

20 (4) Section 407(a)(3)(A) is amended by striking
21 the comma after “as applicable”.

22 (5) Section 429 is amended—

23 (A) in subsection (a), by striking “Section”
24 in the second sentence and inserting “section”;
25 and

1 (B) in subsection (c), by striking “act”
2 and inserting “law”.

3 (6) Section 674(b) is amended by striking
4 “afer” and inserting “after”.

5 (7) Section 949i(b) is amended by striking “,”
6 and inserting a comma.

7 (8) Section 950b(B)(2)(A) is amended by strik-
8 ing “give” and inserting “given”.

9 (9) Section 1040(a)(1) is amended by striking
10 “..” and inserting a period.

11 (10) Section 1044(d)(2) is amended by striking
12 “..” and inserting a period.

13 (11) Section 1074m(a)(2) is amended by strik-
14 ing “subparagraph” in the matter preceding sub-
15 paragraph (A) and inserting “subparagraphs”.

16 (12) Section 1154(a)(2)(A)(ii) is amended by
17 striking “U.S.C.1411” and inserting “U.S.C. 1411”.

18 (13) Section 2222(g)(3) is amended by striking
19 “(A)” after “(3)”.

20 (14) Section 2335(d) is amended—

21 (A) by designating the last sentence of
22 paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

23 (B) in paragraph (3), as so designated—

1 (i) by inserting before “each of” the
2 following paragraph heading: “OTHER
3 TERMS.—”.

4 (ii) by striking “the term” and insert-
5 ing “that term”; and

6 (iii) by striking “Federal Campaign”
7 and inserting “Federal Election Cam-
8 paign”.

9 (15) Section 2430(c)(2) is amended by striking
10 “section 2366a(a)(4)” and inserting “section
11 2366a(a)(6)”.

12 (16) Section 2601a is amended—

13 (A) in subsection (a)(1), by striking
14 “issue” and inserting “prescribe”; and

15 (B) in subsection (d), by striking “issued”
16 and inserting “prescribed”.

17 (17) Section 2853(c)(1)(A) is amended by strik-
18 ing “can be still be” and inserting “can still be”.

19 (18) Section 2866(a)(4)(A) is amended by
20 striking “repayed” and inserting “repaid”.

21 (19) Section 2884(c) is amended by striking
22 “on evaluation” in the matter preceding paragraph
23 (1) and inserting “an evaluation”.

24 (20) Section 7292(d)(2) is amended by striking
25 “section 1024(a)” and inserting “section 1018(a)”.

1 (g) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
2 FISCAL YEAR 2014.—Effective as of December 23, 2013,
3 and as if included therein as enacted, the National De-
4 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law
5 113–66) is amended as follows:

6 (1) Section 2712 (127 Stat. 1004) is repealed.

7 (2) Section 2809(a) (127 Stat. 1013) is amend-
8 ed by striking “subjection” and inserting “sub-
9 section”.

10 (3) Section 2966 (127 Stat. 1042) is amended
11 in the section heading by striking “**TITLE**” and in-
12 serting “**ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION**”.

13 (4) Section 2971(a) (127 Stat. 1044) is amend-
14 ed—

15 (A) by striking “the map” and inserting
16 “the maps”; and

17 (B) by striking “the mineral leasing laws,
18 and the geothermal leasing laws” and inserting
19 “and the mineral leasing laws”.

20 (5) Section 2972(d)(1) (127 Stat. 1045) is
21 amended—

22 (A) in subparagraph (A), by inserting
23 “public” before “land”; and

24 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “pub-
25 lic”.

1 (6) Section 2977(c)(3) (127 Stat. 1047) is
2 amended by striking “; and” and inserting a period.

3 (h) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
4 FISCAL YEAR 2013.—Effective as of January 2, 2013,
5 and as if included therein as enacted, section 604(b)(1)
6 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1774) is amended
8 by striking “on the date of the enactment of the National
9 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013” and in-
10 serting “on January 2, 2013,”.

11 (i) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS
12 MADE BY THIS ACT.—For purposes of applying amend-
13 ments made by provisions of this Act other than this sec-
14 tion, the amendments made by this section shall be treated
15 as having been enacted immediately before any such
16 amendments by other provisions of this Act.

1 **SEC. 1063 [Log 53399]. SALE OR DONATION OF EXCESS PER-**
2 **SONAL PROPERTY FOR BORDER SECURITY**
3 **ACTIVITIES.**

4 Section 2576a of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended—

6 (1) in subsection (a)—

7 (A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking
8 “counter-drug and counter-terrorism activities”
9 and inserting “counterdrug, counterterrorism,
10 and border security activities”

11 (B) in paragraph (2), by striking “the At-
12 torney General and the Director of National
13 Drug Control Policy” and inserting “the Attor-
14 ney General, the Director of National Drug
15 Control Policy, and the Secretary of Homeland
16 Security, as appropriate.”; and

17 (2) in subsection (d), by striking “counter-drug
18 and counter-terrorism activities” and inserting
19 “counterdrug, counterterrorism, or border security
20 activities”.

1 **SEC. 1067 [Log 53981]. RESUBMISSION OF 2014 QUADREN-**
2 **NIAL DEFENSE REVIEW.**

3 (a) REQUIREMENT TO RESUBMIT 2014 QDR .—Not
4 later than October 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense, in
5 consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of
6 Staff, shall, in accordance with this section, resubmit to
7 the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the
8 House of Representatives the report on the 2014 quadren-
9 nial defense review that was submitted to such committees
10 as required by section 118(d) of title 10, United States
11 Code,

12 (b) MATTERS COVERED.—The resubmitted report
13 shall fully address the elements required in subsections
14 (a), (b)(3), and (b)(4) of section 118 of such title, which
15 specifically include the following:

16 (1) An articulation of a defense program for the
17 next 20 years, consistent with the national defense
18 strategy of the United States determined and ex-
19 pressed in the 2014 quadrennial defense review.

20 (2) An identification of (A) the budget plan
21 that would be required to provide sufficient re-
22 sources to execute successfully the full range of mis-
23 sions called for in that national defense strategy at
24 a low-to-moderate level of risk, and (B) any addi-

1 tional resources (beyond those programmed in the
2 current future-years defense program) required to
3 achieve such a level of risk.

4 (3) Recommendations that are not constrained
5 to comply with and are fully independent of the
6 budget submitted to Congress by the President pur-
7 suant to section 1105 of title 31, United States
8 Code.

9 (c) LIMITATION ON FUNDS.—Of the amounts author-
10 ized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made
11 available for fiscal year 2015 for the Office of the Under
12 Secretary of Defense for Policy, not more than 75 percent
13 may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of De-
14 fense resubmits to the congressional defense committees
15 the 2014 quadrennial defense report in accordance with
16 this section.

- Sec. 1225. [Log 53343] Report on non-compliance by the Russian Federation of its obligations under the INF Treaty.
- Sec. 1226. [Log 53961] Sense of Congress regarding Russian aggression toward Ukraine.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

- Sec. 1231. [Log 53193] Extension of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism.
- Sec. 1232. [Log 53908] One-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.
- Sec. 1233. [Log 53255] Modification of national security planning guidance to deny safe havens to al-Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates.
- Sec. 1234. [Log 53486] Strategic framework for United States security force assistance and cooperation in the European and Eurasian regions.
- Sec. 1235. [Log 53256] Report on “New Normal” and general mission requirements of United States Africa Command.
- Sec. 1236. [Log 53751] Prohibition on integration of certain missile defense systems.
- Sec. 1237. [Log 53778] Enhanced authority to acquire goods and services of Djibouti in support of Department of Defense activities in United States Africa Command area of responsibility.
- Sec. 1238. [Log 53986] Report on goals and objectives guiding military engagement with Burma.
- Sec. 1239. [Log 53254] Sense of Congress on United States presence and cooperation in the Arabian Gulf region to deter Iran.
- Sec. 1240. [Log 53242] Sense of Congress on modernization of defense capabilities of Poland.

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

SEC. 1201. [LOG 53938] ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF GLOBAL SECURITY CONTINGENCY FUND.

(a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Subsection (i) of section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1625; 22 U.S.C. 2151 note) is amended by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2016”.

(b) EXPIRATION.—Subsection (p) of such section, as amended by section 1202(e) of the National Defense Au-

1 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–
2 66; 127 Stat. 894), is further amended—

3 (1) by striking “September 30, 2015” and in-
4 serting “September 30, 2016”; and

5 (2) by striking “fiscal years 2012 through
6 2015” and inserting “fiscal years 2012 through
7 2016”.

1 **SEC. 1202. [LOG 53762] NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON CERTAIN**
2 **ASSISTANCE UNDER AUTHORITY TO CON-**
3 **DUCT ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE THE CAPA-**
4 **BILITY OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO RE-**
5 **SPOND TO INCIDENTS INVOLVING WEAPONS**
6 **OF MASS DESTRUCTION.**

7 Section 1204(e) of the National Defense Authoriza-
8 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127
9 Stat. 896; 10 U.S.C. 401 note) is amended by inserting
10 after “congressional defense committees” the following:
11 “and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate
12 and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of
13 Representatives”.

1 **SEC. 1203. [LOG 53939] ENHANCED AUTHORITY FOR PROVI-**
2 **SION OF SUPPORT TO FOREIGN MILITARY LI-**
3 **AISON OFFICERS OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES**
4 **WHILE ASSIGNED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF**
5 **DEFENSE.**

6 (a) **ELIGIBILITY.**—Subsection (a) of section 1051a of
7 title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “in-
8 volved in a military operation” and all that follows and
9 inserting “while such liaison officer is assigned tempo-
10 rarily to the headquarters of a combatant command, com-
11 ponent command, or subordinate operational command of
12 the United States.”.

13 (b) **LIMITATIONS.**—Such section, as so amended, is
14 further amended—

15 (1) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-
16 section (f); and

17 (2) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
18 lowing new subsection (d):

19 “(d) **LIMITATIONS.**—The number of liaison officers
20 supported under subsection (b)(1) may not exceed 60 at
21 any one time, and the amount of unreimbursed support
22 for any such liaison officer under that subsection in any
23 fiscal year may not exceed \$200,000 (in fiscal year 2014
24 constant dollars).”.

25 (c) **SECRETARY OF STATE CONCURRENCE.**—Such
26 section, as so amended, is further amended by inserting

1 after subsection (d), as added by subsection (b)(2) of this
2 section, the following new subsection (e):

3 “(e) SECRETARY OF STATE CONCURRENCE.—The
4 authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide adminis-
5 trative services and support under subsection (a) for the
6 performance of duties by a liaison officer of another nation
7 may be exercised only with respect to a liaison officer of
8 another nation whose assignment as described in that sub-
9 section is accepted by the Secretary of Defense with the
10 concurrence of the Secretary of State.”.

11 (d) DEFINITION.—Subsection (f) of such section, as
12 redesignated by subsection (d)(1) of this section, is further
13 amended by inserting “training programs conducted to fa-
14 miliarize, orient, or certify liaison officers regarding
15 unique aspects of the assignments of the liaison officers,”
16 after “police protection,”.

17 (e) ANNUAL REPORT.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later January 31, 2016,
19 January 31, 2017, and January 31, 2018, the Sec-
20 retary of Defense shall submit to the congressional
21 defense committees a report that includes a sum-
22 mary of the expenses, by command and associated
23 countries, incurred by the United States for those li-
24 aison officers of a developing country in connection
25 with the assignment of that officer as described in

1 subsection (a) of section 1051(a) of title 10, United
2 States Code, as amended by subsection (a) of this
3 section.

4 (2) DEFINITION.—The report required by para-
5 graph (1) shall also include the definition of and cri-
6 teria established to designate a country as a “devel-
7 oping country” for purposes of such paragraph.

8 (3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
9 (1) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but
10 may contain a classified annex.

1 **SEC. 1204. [LOG 53196] ANNUAL REPORT ON HUMAN**
2 **RIGHTS VETTING AND VERIFICATION PROCE-**
3 **DURES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

4 (a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense,
5 in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit
6 to the appropriate congressional committees for each of
7 the fiscal years 2015 through 2019 a report on human
8 rights vetting and verification procedures used to comply
9 with the requirements of section 8057 of the Consolidated
10 Appropriations Act, 2014 (Public Law 113–76) or any
11 successor requirements.

12 (b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report re-
13 quired by subsection (a) shall include the following:

14 (1) An accounting and description of all train-
15 ing, equipment, or other assistance that was ap-
16 proved or provided to foreign security forces for the
17 prior fiscal year for which such vetting and
18 verification procedures were required, itemized by
19 country and event.

20 (2) An accounting and description of all train-
21 ing, equipment, or other assistance that was not ap-
22 proved or provided to foreign security forces for the
23 prior fiscal year by reason of not complying with
24 such vetting and verification procedures, itemized by
25 country and event, including the reasons for such
26 non-compliance.

1 (3) A description of any human rights, rule of
2 law training, or other assistance that was provided
3 to foreign security forces described in paragraph (2)
4 for the prior fiscal year for purposes of seeking to
5 comply with such vetting and verification procedures
6 in the future, itemized by country and event.

7 (4) A description of any interagency processes
8 that were used to evaluate compliance with the re-
9 quirements of section 8057 of the Consolidated Ap-
10 propriations Act, 2014 or any successor require-
11 ments.

12 (5) In the event the Secretary of Defense exer-
13 cises the authority under subsection (b) or (c) of
14 section 8057 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act,
15 2014 or any successor authority, a justification for
16 the exercise of such authority and an explanation of
17 the specific benefits derived from the exercise of
18 such authority.

19 (6) Any additional items the Secretary of De-
20 fense determines to be appropriate.

21 (c) SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—The report required by sub-
23 section (a) shall be submitted to the appropriate
24 congressional committees at the same time as the

1 budget of the President is submitted to Congress
2 under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

3 (2) FORM.—The report shall be submitted in
4 unclassified form and may include a classified annex
5 if necessary.

6 (d) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “appro-
7 priate congressional committees” means—

8 (1) the congressional defense committees; and

9 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
10 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
11 House of Representatives.

1 **Subtitle B—Matters Relating to**
2 **Afghanistan and Pakistan**

3 **SEC. 1211. [LOG 53262] EXTENSION OF COMMANDERS’**
4 **EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM IN AF-**
5 **GHANISTAN.**

6 (a) ONE YEAR EXTENSION.—Section 1201 of the
7 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
8 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as most recently
9 amended by section 1211 of the National Defense Author-
10 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127
11 Stat. 904), is further amended by striking “fiscal year
12 2014” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal year
13 2015”.

14 (b) FUNDS AVAILABLE DURING FISCAL YEAR
15 2015.—Subsection (a) of such section, as so amended, is
16 further amended by striking “for operation and mainte-
17 nance” and inserting “by section 1503 of the National De-
18 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”.

1 **SEC. 1212. [LOG 53260] EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR RE-**
2 **IMBURSEMENT OF CERTAIN COALITION NA-**
3 **TIONS FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED TO UNITED**
4 **STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

5 (a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a) of
6 section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act
7 for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat.
8 393), as most recently amended by section 1213 of the
9 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014
10 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 905), is further amended
11 by striking “fiscal year 2014 for overseas contingency op-
12 erations” and inserting “by section 1503 of the National
13 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”.

14 (b) EXTENSION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENT RELAT-
15 ING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN FOR SUPPORT
16 PROVIDED BY PAKISTAN.—Section 1232(b)(6) of the Na-
17 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008
18 (122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section
19 1213(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for
20 Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 906),
21 is further amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and
22 inserting “September 30, 2015”.

23 (c) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT
24 OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.—
25 Subsection (d) of section 1227 of the National Defense

1 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (126 Stat. 2000)

2 is amended—

3 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “IN

4 FISCAL YEAR 2013”; and

5 (2) in paragraph (1), by striking “Effective as

6 of the date of the enactment of this Act,” and all

7 that follows through “remain available for obliga-

8 tion” and inserting “No amounts authorized to be

9 appropriated for the Department of Defense for fis-

10 cal year 2015 or any prior fiscal year”.

1 **SEC. 1213. [LOG 53875] EXTENSION OF CERTAIN AUTHORI-**
2 **TIES FOR SUPPORT OF FOREIGN FORCES**
3 **SUPPORTING OR PARTICIPATING WITH THE**
4 **UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES.**

5 (a) LOGISTICAL SUPPORT FOR COALITION FORCES
6 SUPPORTING UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS IN
7 AFGHANISTAN.—Section 1234 of the National Defense
8 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–
9 181; 122 Stat. 394), as most recently amended by section
10 1217(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for
11 Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 909),
12 is further amended—

13 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “fiscal year
14 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”;

15 (2) in subsection (d), by striking “December
16 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”; and

17 (3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking “December
18 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

19 (b) USE OF ACQUISITION AND CROSS-SERVICING
20 AGREEMENTS TO LEND CERTAIN MILITARY EQUIPMENT
21 TO CERTAIN FOREIGN FORCES FOR PERSONNEL PROTEC-
22 TION AND SURVIVABILITY.—Section 1202(e) of the John
23 Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
24 Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2413), as
25 most recently amended by section 1217(b) of the National
26 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public

1 Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 909), is further amended by strik-
2 ing “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31,
3 2015”.

1 **SEC. 1214. [LOG 53253] REPORT ON PROGRESS TOWARD SE-**
2 **CURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN**
3 **UNDER OPERATION RESOLUTE SUPPORT.**

4 (a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than April 1,
5 2015, and every 180 days thereafter, the Secretary of De-
6 fense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall
7 submit to the appropriate congressional committees a re-
8 port on progress toward security and stability in Afghani-
9 stan under the North Atlantic Treaty Organization's
10 (NATO) Operation Resolute Support.

11 (b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED: STRATEGIC DIREC-
12 TION OF UNITED STATES ACTIVITIES RELATING TO SE-
13 CURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN UNDER OPER-
14 ATION RESOLUTE SUPPORT.—The report required under
15 subsection (a) shall include a description of the mission
16 and a comprehensive strategy of the United States for se-
17 curity and stability in Afghanistan during Operation Reso-
18 lute Support, including any changes to the mission and
19 strategy over time. The description of such strategy shall
20 consist of a general overview and a separate detailed sec-
21 tion for each of the following:

22 (1) NATO.—The status of the train, advise,
23 and assist mission under NATO's Operation Reso-
24 lute Support.

25 (2) ANSF.—A description of the following:

1 (A) The strategy and budget, with defined
2 objectives, for activities relating to strength-
3 ening and sustaining the resources, capabilities,
4 and effectiveness of the Afghanistan National
5 Army (ANA) and the Afghanistan National Po-
6 lice (ANP) of the Afghanistan National Secu-
7 rity Forces (ANSF), with the goal of ensuring
8 that a strong and fully-capable ANSF is able to
9 independently and effectively conduct operations
10 and maintain security and stability in Afghani-
11 stan by the end of Operation Resolute Support.

12 (B) Any actions of the United States and
13 the Government of Afghanistan to achieve the
14 following goals relating to sustaining the capac-
15 ity of the ANSF and the results of such ac-
16 tions:

17 (i) Improve and sustain ANSF re-
18 cruitment and retention, including through
19 vetting and salaries for the ANSF.

20 (ii) Improve and sustain ANSF train-
21 ing and mentoring.

22 (iii) Strengthen the partnership be-
23 tween the Government of the United
24 States and the Government of Afghani-
25 stan.

1 (iv) Ensure international commit-
2 ments to support the ANSF.

3 (3) NATO BASES IN AFGHANISTAN.—A de-
4 scription of the following:

5 (A) The access arrangements, the specific
6 locations, and the force protection requirements
7 for bases that the United States has access to
8 in Afghanistan.

9 (B) A summary of attacks against NATO
10 bases or facilities and any challenges to force
11 protection, such as “green-on-blue” attacks.

12 (4) PUBLIC CORRUPTION AND RULE OF LAW.—
13 A description of any actions, and the results of such
14 actions, by the United States, NATO, and the Gov-
15 ernment of Afghanistan to fight public corruption
16 and strengthen governance and the rule of law at
17 the local, provincial, and national levels.

18 (5) REGIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.—A descrip-
19 tion of any actions by the Government of Afghani-
20 stan to increase cooperation with countries geo-
21 graphically located around Afghanistan’s border,
22 with a particular focus on improving security and
23 stability in the Afghanistan-Pakistan border areas,
24 and the status of such actions.

1 (c) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED: PERFORMANCE IN-
2 DICATORS, MEASURES OF PROGRESS, AND ANY
3 UNFULFILLED REQUIREMENTS TOWARD SUSTAINABLE
4 LONG-TERM SECURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN
5 UNDER OPERATION RESOLUTE SUPPORT.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—The report required under
7 subsection (a) shall set forth a comprehensive set of
8 performance indicators, measures of progress, and
9 any unfulfilled requirements toward sustainable
10 long-term security and stability in Afghanistan, as
11 specified in paragraph (2), and shall include per-
12 formance standards and goals, together with a no-
13 tional timetable for achieving such goals.

14 (2) PERFORMANCE INDICATORS, MEASURES OF
15 PROGRESS, AND ANY UNFULFILLED REQUIREMENTS
16 SPECIFIED.—The performance indicators, measures
17 of progress, and any unfulfilled requirements speci-
18 fied in this paragraph shall include, at a minimum,
19 the following:

20 (A) An assessment of NATO train, advise,
21 and assist mission requirements. Such assess-
22 ments shall include—

23 (i) indicators of the efficacy of the
24 train, advise, and assist mission, such as
25 number of engagements with the ANSF

1 per day, a description of the engagements
2 with the ANSF, and trends in the mar-
3 ginal improvements in the functional areas
4 of the ANSF support structure from the
5 tactical to the ministerial level;

6 (ii) contractor support requirements
7 for the train, advise, and assist mission
8 and for the ANSF; and

9 (iii) any unfulfilled requirements.

10 (B) For the ANA, and separately for the
11 ANP, an assessment and any changes over time
12 for the following:

13 (i) Recruitment and retention num-
14 bers, rates of absenteeism, rates and over-
15 all number of any desertions, ANSF vet-
16 ting procedures, and salary scale.

17 (ii) Numbers ANSF being trained and
18 the type of training and mentoring.

19 (iii) Operational readiness status of
20 ANSF units, including any changes to the
21 type, number, size, and organizational
22 structure of ANA and ANP units.

23 (iv) A description of any gaps in
24 ANSF capacity and capability.

1 (v) Effectiveness of ANA and ANP
2 senior officers and the ANA and ANP
3 chain of command.

4 (vi) An assessment of the extent to
5 which insurgents have infiltrated the ANA
6 and ANP.

7 (vii) An assessment of the ANSF's
8 ability to hold terrain in Afghanistan and
9 any posture changes in the ANSF such
10 that they no longer are providing coverage
11 of certain areas in Afghanistan that the
12 ANSF was providing coverage of prior to
13 the reporting period.

14 (C) An assessment of the relative strength
15 of the insurgency in Afghanistan and the extent
16 to which it is utilizing weapons or weapons-re-
17 lated materials from countries other than Af-
18 ghanistan.

19 (D) A description of all terrorist and insur-
20 gent groups operating in Afghanistan, including
21 the number, size, equipment strength, military
22 effectiveness, and sources of support.

23 (E) An assessment of security and sta-
24 bility, including terrorist and insurgent activity,
25 in Afghanistan-Pakistan border areas and in

1 Pakistan's Federally Administered Tribal Areas
2 from groups, including, al-Qaeda, the Haqqani
3 Network, and the Quetta Shura Taliban, and
4 any attacks on NATO supply lines.

5 (F) A description of the counterterrorism
6 mission and an assessment of the
7 counterterrorism campaign within Operation
8 Resolute Support, including—

9 (i) the ability of NATO and the
10 ANSF to detain individuals for intelligence
11 purposes and to prevent high-value detain-
12 ees from returning to the battlefield; and

13 (ii) an assessment of whether the Gov-
14 ernment of Afghanistan is partnering ef-
15 fectively and conducting operations based
16 on NATO intelligence information.

17 (G) An assessment of United States mili-
18 tary requirements for the NATO train, advise,
19 and assist mission, counterterrorism, and force
20 protection requirements under Operation Reso-
21 lute Support, including planned personnel rota-
22 tions and the associated time period of deploy-
23 ment for the 1-year period beginning on the
24 date of the submission of the report required
25 under subsection (a).

1 (d) FORM.—The report required under subsection (a)
2 shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include
3 a classified annex, if necessary.

4 (e) CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFINGS.—The Secretary of
5 Defense shall supplement the report required under sub-
6 section (a) with regular briefings to the appropriate con-
7 gressional committees on the subject matter of the report.

8 (f) THREE-MONTH EXTENSION OF REPORT ON
9 PROGRESS TOWARD SECURITY AND STABILITY IN AF-
10 GHANISTAN.—Section 1230(a) of the National Defense
11 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–
12 181; 122 Stat. 385), as most recently amended by section
13 1218(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for
14 Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1632),
15 is further amended by striking “the end of fiscal year
16 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

17 (g) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES
18 DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate con-
19 gressional committees” means—

- 20 (1) the congressional defense committees; and
21 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
22 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
23 House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1215. [LOG 53971] REQUIREMENT TO WITHHOLD DE-**
2 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE ASSISTANCE TO AF-**
3 **GHANISTAN IN AMOUNT EQUIVALENT TO 150**
4 **PERCENT OF ALL TAXES ASSESSED BY AF-**
5 **GHANISTAN TO EXTENT SUCH TAXES ARE**
6 **NOT REIMBURSED BY AFGHANISTAN.**

7 (a) REQUIREMENT TO WITHHOLD ASSISTANCE TO
8 AFGHANISTAN.—An amount equivalent to 150 percent of
9 the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2014 by the
10 Government of Afghanistan on all Department of Defense
11 assistance in violation of the status of forces agreement
12 between the United States and Afghanistan (entered in
13 force May 28, 2003) shall be withheld by the Secretary
14 of Defense from obligation from funds appropriated for
15 such assistance for fiscal year 2015 to the extent that the
16 Secretary of Defense certifies and reports in writing to
17 the appropriate congressional committees that such taxes
18 have not been reimbursed by the Government of Afghani-
19 stan to the Department of Defense or the grantee, con-
20 tractor, or subcontractor concerned.

21 (b) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense
22 may waive the requirement in subsection (a) if the Sec-
23 retary determines that such a waiver is necessary to
24 achieve United States goals in Afghanistan.

25 (c) REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 2015, the
26 Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate con-

1 gressional committees a report on the total taxes assessed
2 during fiscal year 2014 by the Government of Afghanistan
3 on any Department of Defense assistance.

4 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

5 (1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
6 TEES.—The term “appropriate congressional com-
7 mittees” means—

8 (A) the Committee on Armed Services and
9 the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Sen-
10 ate; and

11 (B) the Committee on Armed Services and
12 the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House
13 of Representatives.

14 (2) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ASSISTANCE.—
15 The term “Department of Defense assistance”
16 means funds provided in a fiscal year to Afghanistan
17 by the Department of Defense, either directly or
18 through grantees, contractors, or subcontractors.

19 (e) TERMINATION.—This section shall terminate at
20 the close of the date on which the Secretary of Defense
21 submits to the appropriate congressional committees a no-
22 tification that the United States and Afghanistan have
23 signed a bilateral security agreement and such agreement
24 has entered into force.

1 **SEC. 1216. [LOG 53631] UNITED STATES PLAN FOR SUS-**
2 **TAINING THE AFGHANISTAN NATIONAL SE-**
3 **CURITY FORCES THROUGH THE END OF FIS-**
4 **CAL YEAR 2018.**

5 (a) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after
6 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of
7 Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall
8 submit to the appropriate congressional committees a re-
9 port that contains a detailed plan for sustaining the Af-
10 ghanistan National Army (ANA) and the Afghanistan Na-
11 tional Police (ANP) of the Afghanistan National Security
12 Forces (ANSF) through the end of fiscal year 2018, with
13 the objective of ensuring that a strong and fully-capable
14 ANSF will be able to independently and effectively con-
15 duct operations and maintain security and stability in Af-
16 ghanistan.

17 (b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The plan con-
18 tained in the report required under subsection (a) shall
19 include a description of the following matters:

20 (1) A comprehensive and effective strategy and
21 budget, with defined objectives.

22 (2) A description of the commitment for con-
23 tributions from the North Atlantic Treaty Organiza-
24 tion (NATO) and non-NATO nations, including the
25 plan to achieve such commitments for the ANSF.

1 (3) A mechanism for tracking funding, equip-
2 ment, training, and services provided for the ANSF
3 by the United States, countries participating in
4 NATO, and other coalition forces that are not part
5 of Operation Resolute Support.

6 (4) Any actions to assist the Government of Af-
7 ghanistan or on its behalf to achieve the following
8 goals and the results of such actions:

9 (A) Improve and sustain effective Afghan
10 security institutions with fully capable senior
11 leadership and staff, including logistics, intel-
12 ligence, medical, and recruiting units.

13 (B) Any additional train and equip efforts,
14 including for the Afghan Air Force, as nec-
15 essary, and Afghan Special Mission Wing, such
16 that these entities are fully-capable of con-
17 ducting operations independently and in suffi-
18 cient numbers.

19 (C) Establish strong ANSF-readiness as-
20 sessment tools and metrics.

21 (D) Improve and sustain strong, profes-
22 sional ANSF officers at the junior-, mid-, and
23 senior-levels

1 (E) Further strong ANSF communication
2 and control between central command and re-
3 gions, provinces, and districts.

4 (F) Develop and improve mechanisms for
5 incorporating lessons learned and best practices
6 into ANSF operations.

7 (G) Improve ANSF oversight mechanisms,
8 including a strong record-keeping system to
9 track ANSF equipment and personnel.

10 (c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DE-
11 FINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congres-
12 sional committees” means—

13 (1) the congressional defense committees; and
14 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
15 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
16 House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1217. [LOG 53251] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON UNITED**
2 **STATES MILITARY COMMITMENT TO OPER-**
3 **ATION RESOLUTE SUPPORT IN AFGHANI-**
4 **STAN.**

5 It is the sense of Congress that—

6 (1) the United States continues to have vital
7 national security interests in ensuring that Afghani-
8 stan remains a stable, sovereign country and that
9 groups like Al Qaeda, the Haqqani Network, and the
10 Quetta Shura Taliban are not able to use Afghani-
11 stan as a safe haven from which to launch attacks;

12 (2) the United States should have a residual
13 presence in Afghanistan to train, advise, and assist
14 the ANSF, conduct counterterrorism operations, and
15 support force protection requirements in order to
16 maintain the gains achieved in Afghanistan;

17 (3) it is in the interests of both the United
18 States and Afghanistan to sign the Bilateral Secu-
19 rity Agreement as soon as practicable after the new
20 President of Afghanistan is sworn in;

21 (4) the United States should provide financial,
22 advisory, and other necessary support to the ANSF,
23 at the authorized end-strength of 352,000 personnel,
24 through 2018;

25 (5) the train, advise, and assist mission, fol-
26 lowing the end of the NATO mission on December

1 31, 2014, should be able to assist the ANSF in all
2 parts of Afghanistan;

3 (6) uncertainty with the signing of the Bilateral
4 Security Agreement with Afghanistan is threatening
5 the gains achieved by the United States and coalition
6 forces and the United States' enduring vital national
7 security interests in Afghanistan and the region;
8

9 (7) the President should announce the United
10 States residual presence for Operation Resolute Support
11 to reassure the people of Afghanistan and to
12 provide a tangible statement of support for the future
13 of Afghanistan;

14 (8) the United States should aggressively work
15 with NATO and the Government of Afghanistan to
16 achieve a status of forces agreement for NATO
17 forces in support of the post-2014 mission; and

18 (9) NATO member countries pledged their support
19 and long-term commitment to Afghanistan at the Lisbon,
20 Chicago, and Tokyo conferences and should honor their
21 commitments to Afghanistan and the ANSF.
22

1 **SEC. 1218. [LOG 54065] EXTENSION OF AFGHAN SPECIAL**
2 **IMMIGRANT PROGRAM.**

3 Section 602(b)(3) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act
4 of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) is amended by adding at
5 the end the following:

6 “(E) FISCAL YEAR 2015.—

7 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as pro-
8 vided in subparagraph (D), for fiscal year
9 2015, the total number of principal aliens
10 who may be provided special immigrant
11 status under this section may not exceed
12 1,100. For purposes of status provided
13 under this subparagraph—

14 “(I) the period during which an
15 alien must have been employed in ac-
16 cordance with paragraph (2)(A)(ii)
17 must terminate on or before Decem-
18 ber 31, 2015;

19 “(II) the principal alien seeking
20 special immigrant status under this
21 subparagraph shall apply to the Chief
22 of Mission in accordance with para-
23 graph (2)(D) not later than Sep-
24 tember 30, 2015; and

1 “(III) the authority to provide
2 such status shall terminate on Sep-
3 tember 30, 2016.

4 “(ii) CONSTRUCTION.—Clause (i)
5 shall not be construed to affect numerical
6 limitations, or the terms for provision of
7 status, under subparagraph (D).”.

1 **Subtitle C—Matters Relating to the**
2 **Russian Federation**

3 **SEC. 1221. [LOG 53954] LIMITATION ON MILITARY CONTACT**
4 **AND COOPERATION BETWEEN THE UNITED**
5 **STATES AND THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**

6 (a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to
7 be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year
8 2015 for the Department of Defense may be used for any
9 bilateral military-to-military contact or cooperation be-
10 tween the Governments of the United States and the Rus-
11 sian Federation until the Secretary of Defense, in con-
12 sultation with the Secretary of State, certifies to the ap-
13 propriate congressional committees that—

14 (1) the armed forces of the Russian Federation
15 are no longer illegally occupying Ukrainian territory;

16 (2) the Russian Federation is respecting the
17 sovereignty of all Ukrainian territory;

18 (3) the Russian Federation is no longer taking
19 actions that are inconsistent with the INF Treaty;
20 and

21 (4) the Russian Federation is in compliance
22 with the CFE Treaty and has lifted its suspension
23 of Russian observance of its treaty obligations.

24 (b) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive
25 the limitation in subsection (a) if—

1 (1) the Secretary of Defense, in coordination
2 with the Secretary of State, submits to the appro-
3 priate congressional committees—

4 (A) a notification that such a waiver is in
5 the national security interest of the United
6 States and a description of the national security
7 interest covered by the waiver; and

8 (B) a report explaining why the Secretary
9 of Defense cannot make the certification under
10 subsection (a); and

11 (2) a period of 30 days has elapsed following
12 the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits
13 the information in the report under subparagraph
14 (B).

15 (c) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN MILITARY BASES.—
16 The certification requirement specified in paragraph (1)
17 of subsection (a) shall not apply to military bases of the
18 Russian Federation in Ukraine’s Crimean peninsula oper-
19 ating in accordance with its 1997 agreement on the Status
20 and Conditions of the Black Sea Fleet Stationing on the
21 Territory of Ukraine.

22 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

23 (1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
24 TEES.—The term “appropriate congressional com-
25 mittees” means—

1 (A) the Committee on Armed Services and
2 the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Sen-
3 ate; and

4 (B) the Committee on Armed Services and
5 the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House
6 of Representatives.

7 (2) BILATERAL MILITARY-TO-MILITARY CON-
8 TACT OR COOPERATION.—The term “bilateral mili-
9 tary-to-military contact or cooperation”—

10 (A) means—

11 (i) reciprocal visits and meetings by
12 high-ranking delegations;

13 (ii) information sharing, policy con-
14 sultations, security dialogues or other
15 forms of consultative discussions;

16 (iii) exchanges of military instructors,
17 training personnel, and students;

18 (iv) exchanges of information;

19 (v) defense planning; and

20 (vi) military training or exercises; but

21 (B) does not include any contact or co-
22 operation that is in support of United States
23 stability operations.

24 (3) CFE TREATY.—The term “CFE Treaty”
25 means the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in

1 Europe, signed at Paris November 19, 1990, and
2 entered into force July 17, 1992.

3 (4) INF TREATY.—The term “INF Treaty”
4 means the Treaty Between the United States of
5 America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
6 on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and
7 Shorter-Range Missiles, commonly referred to as the
8 Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty,
9 signed at Washington December 8, 1987, and en-
10 tered into force June 1, 1988.

11 (e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section takes effect on
12 the date of the enactment of this Act and applies with
13 respect to funds described in subsection (a) that are unob-
14 ligated as of such date of enactment.

1 **SEC. 1225. [LOG 53343] REPORT ON NON-COMPLIANCE BY**
2 **THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION OF ITS OBLIGA-**
3 **TIONS UNDER THE INF TREATY.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

5 (1) the Russian Federation is in material
6 breach of its obligations under the Treaty Between
7 the United States of America and the Union of So-
8 viet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their
9 Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles,
10 commonly referred to as the Intermediate-Range
11 Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, signed at Washington
12 December 8, 1987, and entered into force June 1,
13 1988; and

14 (2) such behavior poses a threat to the United
15 States, its deployed forces, and its allies.

16 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
17 gress that—

18 (1) the President should hold the Russian Fed-
19 eration accountable for being in material breach of
20 its obligations under the INF Treaty;

21 (2) the President should demand the Russian
22 Federation completely and verifiably eliminate the
23 military systems that constitute the material breach
24 of its obligations under the INF Treaty;

25 (3) the President should seriously consider not
26 engaging in further reductions of United States nu-

1 clear forces generally and should seriously consider
2 not engaging in nuclear arms reduction negotiations
3 with the Russian Federation specifically until such
4 complete and verifiable elimination of the military
5 systems has occurred; and

6 (4) the President, in consultation with United
7 States allies, should consider whether it is in the na-
8 tional security interests of the United States to uni-
9 laterally remain a party to the INF Treaty if the
10 Russian Federation is still in material breach of the
11 INF Treaty beginning one year after the date of the
12 enactment of this Act.

13 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date
14 of the enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter,
15 the President shall submit to the appropriate congres-
16 sional committees an unclassified report that includes the
17 following:

18 (1) The status of the President's efforts, in co-
19 operation with United States allies, to hold the Rus-
20 sian Federation accountable for being in material
21 breach of its obligations under the INF Treaty and
22 obtain the complete and verifiable elimination of its
23 military systems that constitute the material breach
24 of its obligations under the INF Treaty.

1 (2) The President’s assessment as to whether it
2 remains in the national security interests of the
3 United States to remain a party to the INF Treaty,
4 and other related treaties and agreements, while the
5 Russian Federation is in material breach of its obli-
6 gations under the INF Treaty.

7 (d) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—
8 In this section, the term “appropriate congressional com-
9 mittees” means—

- 10 (1) the congressional defense committees;
11 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations and
12 the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;
13 and
14 (3) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the
15 Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the
16 House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1226. [LOG 53961] SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING**
2 **RUSSIAN AGGRESSION TOWARD UKRAINE.**

3 It is the sense of the Congress that—

4 (1) the continuing and long-standing pattern
5 and practice by the Government of the Russian Fed-
6 eration of physical, diplomatic, and economic aggres-
7 sion toward neighboring countries is clearly intended
8 to exert undue influence on the free will of sovereign
9 nations and peoples to determine their own future;

10 (2) the Russian military build-up and aggres-
11 sive posture on the eastern border of Ukraine rep-
12 resent a deliberate intent to intimidate Ukraine and
13 to force its citizens to submit to Russian control;

14 (3) the Russian Federation should immediately
15 cease all improper and illegal activities in Ukraine;

16 (4) the 1994 Budapest Memorandum on Secu-
17 rity Assurances, which was executed jointly with the
18 Russian Federation, Ukraine, and the United King-
19 dom, represents a commitment to respect the inde-
20 pendence, sovereignty, and territorial integrity and
21 borders of Ukraine, and Russian actions clearly vio-
22 late the commitment made by the Russian Federa-
23 tion in that memorandum;

24 (5) the security cooperation with the Ukrainian
25 military by the United States military is an impor-

1 tant opportunity to support the continued
2 professionalization of the Ukrainian military;

3 (6) an enhanced military presence and readi-
4 ness posture of the United States military in Europe
5 is key to deterring further Russian aggression and
6 assuring allies and partners; and

7 (7) the treaty commitments under Article 5 of
8 the North Atlantic Treaty signed at Washington,
9 April 4, 1949, and entered into force August 24,
10 1949, are important and a cornerstone to inter-
11 national security.

1 **SEC. 1233. [LOG 53255] MODIFICATION OF NATIONAL SECU-**
2 **RITY PLANNING GUIDANCE TO DENY SAFE**
3 **HAVENS TO AL-QAEDA AND ITS VIOLENT EX-**
4 **TREMIST AFFILIATES.**

5 (a) MODIFICATION.—Section 1032(b) of the National
6 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public
7 Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1571; 50 U.S.C. 3043 note) is
8 amended—

9 (1) in paragraph (2)—

10 (A) by redesignating subparagraph (C),
11 (D), and (E) as subparagraph (D), (E), and
12 (F), respectively;

13 (B) by inserting after subparagraph (B)
14 the following:

15 “(C) For each specified geographic area, a
16 description of the following:

17 “(i) The feasibility of conducting mul-
18 tilateral programs to train and equip the
19 military forces of relevant countries in the
20 area.

21 “(ii) The authority and funding that
22 would be required to support such pro-
23 grams.

24 “(iii) How such programs would be
25 implemented.

1 “(iv) How such programs would sup-
2 port the national security priorities and in-
3 terests of the United States and com-
4 plement other efforts of the United States
5 Government in the area and in other speci-
6 fied geographic areas.”; and

7 (C) in subparagraph (F) (as redesignated),
8 by striking “subparagraph (C)” and inserting
9 “subparagraph (D)”; and
10 (2) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “paragraph
11 (2)(C)” and inserting “paragraph (2)(D)”.

12 (b) REPORT.—Section 1032(b) of the National De-
13 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law
14 112–81; 125 Stat. 1571; 50 U.S.C. 3043 note), as amend-
15 ed by subsection (a), is further amended—

16 (1) by redesignating paragraph (4) as para-
17 graph (5); and

18 (2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the fol-
19 lowing:

20 “(4) REPORT.—

21 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than Octo-
22 ber 1, 2014, the President shall submit to the
23 appropriate congressional committees a report
24 that contains the national security planning

1 guidance required under paragraph (1), includ-
2 ing any updates thereto.

3 “(B) FORM.—The report may include a
4 classified annex as determined to be necessary
5 by the President.

6 “(C) DEFINITION.—In this paragraph, the
7 term ‘appropriate congressional committees’
8 means—

9 “(i) the congressional defense commit-
10 tees; and

11 “(ii) the Committee on Foreign Rela-
12 tions of the Senate and the Committee on
13 Foreign Affairs of the House of Represent-
14 atives.”.

1 **SEC. 1234. [LOG 53486] STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR**
2 **UNITED STATES SECURITY FORCE ASSIST-**
3 **ANCE AND COOPERATION IN THE EUROPEAN**
4 **AND EURASIAN REGIONS.**

5 (a) STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, in
7 coordination with the Secretary of State, shall de-
8 velop a strategic framework for United States secu-
9 rity force assistance and cooperation in the Euro-
10 pean and Eurasian regions.

11 (2) ELEMENTS.—The strategic framework re-
12 quired by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

13 (A) An evaluation of the extent to which
14 the threat to security and stability in the Euro-
15 pean and Eurasian regions is a threat to the
16 national security of the United States and the
17 security interests of the North Atlantic Treaty
18 Organization alliance.

19 (B) An identification of the primary objec-
20 tives, priorities, and desired end-states of
21 United States security force assistance and co-
22 operation programs in such regions and of the
23 resources required to achieve such objectives,
24 priorities, and end states.

25 (C) A methodology for assessing the effec-
26 tiveness of United States security force assist-

1 ance and cooperation programs in such regions
2 in making progress towards such objectives, pri-
3 orities, and end-states, including an identifica-
4 tion of key benchmarks for such progress.

5 (D) Criteria for bilateral and multilateral
6 partnerships in such regions.

7 (b) REPORT.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days
9 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
10 retary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary
11 of State, shall submit to the appropriate congres-
12 sional committees a report on the strategic frame-
13 work required by subsection (a).

14 (2) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
15 (1) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but
16 may include a classified annex.

17 (3) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term
18 “appropriate congressional committees” means—

19 (A) the Committee on Armed Services and
20 the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Sen-
21 ate; and

22 (B) the Committee on Armed Services and
23 the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House
24 of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1235. [LOG 53256] REPORT ON “NEW NORMAL” AND**
2 **GENERAL MISSION REQUIREMENTS OF**
3 **UNITED STATES AFRICA COMMAND.**

4 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
5 gress that—

6 (1) the United States Africa Command should
7 have sufficient assigned military forces; intelligence,
8 surveillance, and reconnaissance assets; crisis re-
9 sponse forces; and enablers to support the crisis re-
10 sponse forces to meet the “New Normal” and gen-
11 eral mission requirements in the area of responsi-
12 bility of the United States Africa Command;

13 (2) with the current force posture and structure
14 of the United States Africa Command, the United
15 States is accepting a high level of risk in defending
16 United States posts that are “high risk, high threat”
17 posts;

18 (3) the United States should posture forces for-
19 ward and achieve the associated basing and access
20 agreements to support such forces across the Con-
21 tinent of Africa in order to meet the “New Normal”
22 and general mission requirements in the area of re-
23 sponsibility of the United States Africa Command;

24 (4) the Department of Defense should consider
25 reassigning to the United States Africa Command

1 enabler assets currently assigned to, and shared
2 with, the United States European Command; and

3 (5) the United States Africa Command requires
4 more intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance
5 assets to meet the “New Normal” and general mis-
6 sion requirements in its area of responsibility.

7 (b) REPORT.—Not later than January 15, 2015, the
8 Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary
9 of State and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff,
10 shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees
11 a report on the extent to which the “New Normal” re-
12 quirements have changed the force posture and structure
13 required of the United States Africa Command to meet
14 the “New Normal” and general mission requirements in
15 its area of responsibility.

16 (c) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection
17 (b) shall include the following:

18 (1) A detailed description of the “New Normal”
19 and general mission requirements in the area of re-
20 sponsibility of the United States Africa Command.

21 (2) A description of any changes required for
22 the United States Africa Command to meet the
23 “New Normal” and general mission requirements in
24 its area of responsibility, including the gaps or
25 shortfalls in capability, size, posture, agreements,

1 basing, and enabler support of all crisis response
2 forces and associated assets to access and defend
3 posts that are “high risk, high threat” posts.

4 (3) An assessment of how the United States Af-
5 rica Command could employ permanently assigned
6 military forces to support all mission requirements
7 of the United States Africa Command.

8 (4) An estimate of the annual intelligence, sur-
9 veillance, and reconnaissance requirements of the
10 United States Africa Command and the shortfall, if
11 any, in meeting such requirements in fiscal year
12 2015.

13 (d) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES
14 DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate con-
15 gressional committees” means—

16 (1) the congressional defense committees; and

17 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
18 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
19 House of Representatives.

20 (e) FORM.—The report required by subsection (b)
21 may include a classified annex.

1 **SEC. 1237. [LOG 53778] ENHANCED AUTHORITY TO AC-**
2 **QUIRE GOODS AND SERVICES OF DJIBOUTI**
3 **IN SUPPORT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**
4 **ACTIVITIES IN UNITED STATES AFRICA COM-**
5 **MAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.**

6 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
7 gress that—

8 (1) the United States forces should continue to
9 be forward postured in Africa and in the Middle
10 East;

11 (2) Djibouti is in a strategic location to support
12 United States vital national security interests in the
13 region;

14 (3) the United States should take definitive
15 steps to maintain its basing access and agreements
16 with the Government of Djibouti to support United
17 States vital national security interests in the region;

18 (4) the United States should devise and imple-
19 ment a comprehensive governmental approach to en-
20 gaging with the Government of Djibouti to reinforce
21 the strategic partnership between the United States
22 and Djibouti; and

23 (5) the Secretary of State and the Adminis-
24 trator of the United States Agency for International
25 Development, in conjunction with the Secretary of
26 Defense, should take concrete steps to advance and

1 strengthen the relationship between United States
2 and the Government of Djibouti.

3 (b) AUTHORITY.—In the case of a good or service to
4 be acquired in direct support of covered activities for
5 which the Secretary of Defense makes a determination de-
6 scribed in subsection (c), the Secretary may conduct a pro-
7 curement in which—

8 (1) competition is limited to goods of Djibouti
9 or services of Djibouti; or

10 (2) a preference is provided for goods of
11 Djibouti or services of Djibouti.

12 (c) DETERMINATION.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—A determination described in
14 this subsection is a determination by the Secretary
15 of either of the following:

16 (A) That the good or service concerned is
17 to be used only in support of covered activities.

18 (B) That it is vital to the national security
19 interests of the United States to limit competi-
20 tion or provide a preference as described in sub-
21 section (b) because such limitation or pref-
22 erence is necessary—

23 (i) to reduce—

24 (I) United States transportation
25 costs; or

1 (II) delivery times in support of
2 covered activities; or

3 (ii) to promote regional security, sta-
4 bility, and economic prosperity in Africa.

5 (C) That the good or service is of equiva-
6 lent quality of a good or service that would have
7 otherwise been acquired.

8 (2) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT.—A determina-
9 tion under paragraph (1)(B) shall not be effective
10 for purposes of a limitation or preference under sub-
11 section (b) unless the Secretary also determines that
12 the limitation or preference will not adversely af-
13 fect—

14 (A) United States military operations or
15 stability operations in the United States Africa
16 Command area of responsibility; or

17 (B) the United States industrial base.

18 (d) REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT.—In exercising the
19 authority under subsection (b) to procure goods or services
20 in support of covered activities, the Secretary of De-
21 fense—

22 (1) in the case of the procurement of services,
23 shall ensure that the procurement is conducted in
24 accordance with the management structure imple-

1 mented pursuant to section 2330(a) of title 10,
2 United States Code;

3 (2) shall ensure that such goods or services are
4 identified and reported under a single, joint Depart-
5 ment of Defense-wide system for the management
6 and accountability of contractors accompanying
7 United States forces operating overseas or in contin-
8 gency operations (such as the synchronized
9 predeployment and operational tracker (SPOT) sys-
10 tem); and

11 (3) shall ensure that the United States Africa
12 Command has sufficiently trained staff and ade-
13 quate resources to conduct oversight of procure-
14 ments carried out pursuant to subsection (b), includ-
15 ing oversight to detect and deter fraud, waste, and
16 abuse.

17 (e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

18 (1) COVERED ACTIVITIES.—The term “covered
19 activities” means Department of Defense activities
20 in the United States Africa Command area of re-
21 sponsibility.

22 (2) GOOD OF DJIBOUTI.—The term “good of
23 Djibouti” means a good wholly the growth, product,
24 or manufacture of Djibouti.

1 (3) SERVICE OF DJIBOUTI.—The term “service
2 of Djibouti” means a service performed by a person
3 that—

4 (A)(i) is operating primarily in Djibouti; or
5 (ii) is making a significant contribution to
6 the economy of Djibouti through payment of
7 taxes or use of products, materials, or labor of
8 Djibouti, as determined by the Secretary of
9 State; and

10 (B) is properly licensed or registered by
11 authorities of the Government of Djibouti, as
12 determined by the Secretary of State.

13 (f) TERMINATION.—The authority and requirements
14 of this section expire at the close of September 30, 2018.

1 **SEC. 1238. [LOG 53986] REPORT ON GOALS AND OBJEC-**
2 **TIVES GUIDING MILITARY ENGAGEMENT**
3 **WITH BURMA.**

4 (a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than December
5 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with
6 the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate
7 congressional committees a report on the goals and objec-
8 tives guiding military-to-military engagement between the
9 United States and the Union of Burma.

10 (b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report re-
11 quired under subsection (a) shall include—

12 (1) a description of the specific goals and objec-
13 tives of the United States that military-to-military
14 engagement between the United States and Burma
15 would facilitate;

16 (2) a description of how the United States
17 measures progress toward such goals and objectives,
18 and the implications of failing to achieve such goals
19 and objectives;

20 (3) a description of the specific military-to-mili-
21 tary engagement activities between the United
22 States and Burma conducted during the period be-
23 ginning on March 1, 2011, and ending on the close
24 of the day before the date of the submission of the
25 report, and of any planned military-to-military en-
26 gagement activities between the United States and

1 Burma that will be conducted during the period be-
2 ginning on the date of the submission of the report
3 and ending on the close of February 29, 2020, in-
4 cluding descriptions of associated goals and objec-
5 tives, estimated costs, timeframes, and United
6 States military organizations or personnel involved;

7 (4) a description and assessment of the polit-
8 ical, military, economic, and civil society reforms
9 being undertaken by the Government of Burma, in-
10 cluding protections for ethnic and religious minori-
11 ties and internally displaced populations; and

12 (5) a description and assessment of relation-
13 ships of the Government of Burma with unlawful or
14 sanctioned entities.

15 (c) FORM.—The report required under subsection (a)
16 shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include
17 a classified annex, if necessary.

18 (d) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES
19 DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate con-
20 gressional committees” means—

21 (1) the congressional defense committees; and

22 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
23 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
24 House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1239. [LOG 53254] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON UNITED**
2 **STATES PRESENCE AND COOPERATION IN**
3 **THE ARABIAN GULF REGION TO DETER IRAN.**

4 It is the sense of Congress that—

5 (1) the United States should maintain a robust
6 forward presence and posture in order to support
7 United States allies and partners in the Arabian
8 Gulf region, including Gulf Cooperation Council
9 (GCC) countries and Israel, and to deter Iran;

10 (2) the United States should seek ways to sup-
11 port the security posture of GCC countries in the
12 Arabian Gulf region to deter Iran;

13 (3) key strategic United States bases in the
14 Arabian Gulf region that are used to deter Iran and
15 would be used for any military operations in the
16 Arabian Gulf region are entirely financed by funds
17 for overseas contingency operations which is an
18 unsustainable approach;

19 (4) such key strategic United States bases in
20 the Arabian Gulf region should be funded through
21 the base budget of the Department of Defense;

22 (5) the United States does not have status of
23 forces agreements and defense agreements with key
24 GCC allies, which would support the defense of the
25 Arabian Gulf region and would deter Iran, and the

1 United States should seek to complete these agree-
2 ments immediately;

3 (6) the interim agreement with Iran relating to
4 Iran's nuclear program does not address key aspects
5 of Iran's nuclear program, including the possible
6 military dimensions of Iran's nuclear program;

7 (7) a comprehensive agreement with Iran relat-
8 ing to Iran's nuclear program should address past
9 and present issues of concern of the United States,
10 the International Atomic Energy Agency, and the
11 United Nations, including the possible military di-
12 mensions of Iran's nuclear program;

13 (8) the United States should continue to put
14 significant pressure on Iran's network of organiza-
15 tions that conduct malign activities in the Arabian
16 Gulf region, and around the globe, even while the
17 United States engages in negotiations with Iran re-
18 lating to Iran's nuclear program; and

19 (9) the United States Government should not
20 enter into a contract with any person or entity that
21 is determined to have violated United States sanc-
22 tions laws with respect to contracting with the Gov-
23 ernment of Iran and should encourage United States
24 allies, partners, and other countries to maintain the
25 same contracting standard.

1 **SEC. 1301. [Log 53227] SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE**
2 **THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS AND FUNDS.**

3 (a) SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT RE-
4 Duction PROGRAMS.—For purposes of section 301 and
5 other provisions of this Act, Cooperative Threat Reduction
6 programs are the programs specified in section 1501 of
7 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
8 1997 (50 U.S.C. 2362 note).

9 (b) FISCAL YEAR 2015 COOPERATIVE THREAT RE-
10 Duction FUNDS DEFINED.—As used in this title, the
11 term “fiscal year 2015 Cooperative Threat Reduction
12 funds” means the funds appropriated pursuant to the au-
13 thorization of appropriations in section 301 and made
14 available by the funding table in section 4301 for Coopera-
15 tive Threat Reduction programs.

16 (c) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated
17 pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section
18 301 and made available by the funding table in section
19 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs shall be
20 available for obligation for fiscal years 2015, 2016, and
21 2017.

1 **SEC. 1302. [Log 53228] FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.**

2 (a) FUNDING FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES.—Of the
3 \$365,108,000 authorized to be appropriated to the De-
4 partment of Defense for fiscal year 2015 in section 301
5 and made available by the funding table in section 4301
6 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, the following
7 amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:

8 (1) For strategic offensive arms elimination,
9 \$1,000,000.

10 (2) For chemical weapons destruction,
11 \$15,720,000.

12 (3) For global nuclear security, \$17,703,000.

13 (4) For cooperative biological engagement,
14 \$254,342,000.

15 (5) For proliferation prevention, \$46,124,000.

16 (6) For threat reduction engagement,
17 \$2,375,000.

18 (7) For activities designated as Other Assess-
19 ments/Administrative Costs, \$27,844,000.

20 (b) REPORT ON OBLIGATION OR EXPENDITURE OF
21 FUNDS FOR OTHER PURPOSES.—No fiscal year 2015 Co-
22 operative Threat Reduction funds may be obligated or ex-
23 pended for a purpose other than a purpose listed in para-
24 graphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) until 15 days
25 after the date that the Secretary of Defense submits to
26 Congress a report on the purpose for which the funds will

1 be obligated or expended and the amount of funds to be
2 obligated or expended. Nothing in the preceding sentence
3 shall be construed as authorizing the obligation or expend-
4 iture of fiscal year 2015 Cooperative Threat Reduction
5 funds for a purpose for which the obligation or expendi-
6 ture of such funds is specifically prohibited under this title
7 or any other provision of law.

8 (c) LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VARY INDIVIDUAL
9 AMOUNTS.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), in
11 any case in which the Secretary of Defense deter-
12 mines that it is necessary to do so in the national
13 interest, the Secretary may obligate amounts appro-
14 priated for fiscal year 2015 for a purpose listed in
15 paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) in ex-
16 cess of the specific amount authorized for that pur-
17 pose.

18 (2) NOTICE-AND-WAIT REQUIRED.—An obliga-
19 tion of funds for a purpose stated in paragraphs (1)
20 through (7) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific
21 amount authorized for such purpose may be made
22 using the authority provided in paragraph (1) only
23 after—

24 (A) the Secretary submits to Congress no-
25 tification of the intent to do so together with a

1 complete discussion of the justification for
2 doing so; and
3 (B) 15 days have elapsed following the
4 date of the notification.

1 **Subtitle A—Military Programs**

2 **SEC. 1401 [Log 53317]. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
5 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
6 providing capital for working capital and revolving funds,
7 as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1402 [Log 53319]. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS**

2 **DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Depart-
5 ment of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not oth-
6 erwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions
7 Destruction, Defense, as specified in the funding table in
8 section 4501.

9 (b) USE.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated
10 under subsection (a) are authorized for—

11 (1) the destruction of lethal chemical Agents
12 and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of
13 the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986
14 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and

15 (2) the destruction of chemical warfare materiel
16 of the United States that is not covered by section
17 1412 of such Act.

1 **SEC. 1403 [Log 53320]. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-**
2 **DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for ex-
5 penses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction
6 and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in
7 the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1404 [Log 53321]. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for ex-
4 penses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the
5 Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as speci-
6 fied in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1405 [Log 53323]. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2015 for the Defense Health Program, as spec-
4 ified in the funding table in section 4501, for use of the
5 Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the De-
6 partment of Defense in providing for the health of eligible
7 beneficiaries.

1 **Subtitle B—National Defense**
2 **Stockpile**

3 **SEC. 1411 [Log 53871]. REVISIONS TO PREVIOUSLY AUTHOR-**
4 **IZED DISPOSALS FROM THE NATIONAL DE-**
5 **FENSE STOCKPILE.**

6 (a) FISCAL YEAR 1999 DISPOSAL AUTHORITY.—Sec-
7 tion 3303(a)(7) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense
8 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–
9 261; 50 U.S.C. 98d note), as most recently amended by
10 section 1412(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense
11 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–
12 417; 122 Stat. 4649), is further amended by striking
13 “1,386,000,000 by the end of fiscal year 2016” and in-
14 serting “\$1,436,000,000 by the end of fiscal year 2019”.

15 (b) FISCAL YEAR 2000 DISPOSAL AUTHORITY.—Sec-
16 tion 3402(b)(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act
17 for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65; 50 U.S.C. 98d
18 note), as most recently amended by section 1412 of the
19 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
20 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1654), is further amended
21 by striking “\$830,000,000 by the end of fiscal year 2016”
22 and inserting “\$850,000,000 by the end of 2019”.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 1501 [Log 53324]. PURPOSE.**

4 The purpose of this subtitle is to authorize appropria-
5 tions for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015
6 to provide additional funds for overseas contingency oper-
7 ations being carried out by the Armed Forces.

1 **SEC. 1502 [Log 53832]. PROCUREMENT.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2015 for procurement accounts for the Army,
4 the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and De-
5 fense-wide activities in the amount of \$6,180,000,000.

1 **SEC. 1503 [Log 53834]. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and
6 maintenance in the amount of \$64,675,000,000.

1 **SEC. 1504 [Log 53835]. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military per-
6 sonnel in the amount of \$7,140,000,000.

1 **SEC. 1505 [Log 53836]. OTHER APPROPRIATIONS.**

2 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
3 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Depart-
4 ment of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not oth-
5 erwise provided for, for the Other Authorizations in the
6 amount of \$1,450,000,000.

7 (b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “Other
8 Authorizations” means the Defense Health Program,
9 Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-
10 wide, and National Guard and Reserve Equipment.

1 **Subtitle B—Financial Matters**

2 **SEC. 1511 [Log 53839]. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AU-**
3 **THORIZATIONS.**

4 The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this
5 title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to
6 be appropriated by this Act.

1 **SEC. 1512 [Log 53840]. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

2 (a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—

3 (1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the
4 Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in
5 the national interest, the Secretary may transfer
6 amounts of authorizations made available to the De-
7 partment of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2015
8 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year
9 (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authoriza-
10 tions so transferred shall be merged with and be
11 available for the same purposes as the authorization
12 to which transferred.

13 (2) LIMITATIONS.—The total amount of author-
14 izations that the Secretary may transfer under the
15 authority of this subsection may not exceed
16 \$3,000,000,000.

17 (b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Transfers under this
18 section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions
19 as transfers under section 1001.

20 (c) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The transfer author-
21 ity provided by this section is in addition to the transfer
22 authority provided under section 1001.

1 **Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports,**
2 **and Other Matters**

3 **SEC. 1521 [Log 53259]. CONTINUATION OF EXISTING LIMITA-**
4 **TIONS ON THE USE OF FUNDS IN THE AF-**
5 **GHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.**

6 Funds available to the Department of Defense for the
7 Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2015
8 shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections
9 (b) through (g) of section 1513 of the National Defense
10 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–
11 181; 122 Stat. 428), as amended by section 1531(b) of
12 the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for
13 Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4424).

Strike section 1602 contained in the report of the Subcommittee on Strategic Forces and insert the following new section 1602:

1 **SEC. 1602 [Log 53430]. EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VE-**
2 **HICLE NOTIFICATION.**

3 (a) NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary of the Air Force
4 shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees
5 notice of each change to the evolved expendable launch
6 vehicle acquisition plan and schedule from the plan and
7 schedule included in the budget submitted by the Presi-
8 dent under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code,
9 for fiscal year 2015. Such notification shall include—

- 10 (1) an identification of the change;
11 (2) a national security rationale for the change;
12 (3) the impact of the change on the evolved ex-
13 pendable launch vehicle block buy contract;
14 (4) the impact of the change on the opportuni-
15 ties for competition for certified evolved expendable
16 launch vehicle launch providers; and
17 (5) the costs or savings of the change.

18 (b) APPLICABILITY.—The requirement under sub-
19 section (a) shall apply to fiscal years 2015, 2016, and
20 2017.

1 (c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—

2 In this section, the term “appropriate congressional com-
3 mittees” means—

4 (1) the congressional defense committees; and

5 (2) with respect to a change to the evolved ex-
6 pendable launch vehicle acquisition schedule for an
7 intelligence-related launch, the Permanent Select
8 Committee on Intelligence of the House of Rep-
9 resentatives and the Select Committee on Intel-
10 ligence of the Senate.



1 **SEC. 1603 [Log 53431]. SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS RE-**
2 **SPONSIBILITIES OF EXECUTIVE AGENT FOR**
3 **SPACE.**

4 The Secretary of Defense shall, not later than 180
5 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, revise
6 Department of Defense directives and guidance to require
7 the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space to
8 ensure that in developing space strategies, architectures,
9 and programs for satellite communications, the Executive
10 Agent shall—

11 (1) conduct strategic planning to ensure the
12 Department of Defense is effectively and efficiently
13 meeting the satellite communications requirements
14 of the military departments and commanders of the
15 combatant commands;

16 (2) coordinate with the secretaries of the mili-
17 tary departments and the heads of Defense Agencies
18 to eliminate duplication of effort and to ensure that
19 resources are used to achieve the maximum effort in
20 related satellite communication science and tech-
21 nology; research, development, test and evaluation;
22 production; and operations and sustainment;

23 (3) coordinate with the Under Secretary of De-
24 fense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and
25 the Chief Information Officer of the Department to
26 ensure that effective and efficient acquisition ap-

1 proaches are being used to acquire military and com-
2 mercial satellite communications for the Depart-
3 ment, including space, ground, and user terminal in-
4 tegration; and

5 (4) coordinate with the chairman of the Joint
6 Requirements Oversight Council to develop a process
7 to identify the current and projected satellite com-
8 munications requirements of the Department.

1 **SEC. 1605.[Log 53287] PILOT PROGRAM FOR ACQUISITION**
2 **OF COMMERCIAL SATELLITE COMMUNICA-**
3 **TION SERVICES.**

4 (a) PILOT PROGRAM.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense
6 may develop and carry out a pilot program to deter-
7 mine the feasibility and advisability of expanding the
8 use of working capital funds by the Secretary to ef-
9 fectively and efficiently acquire commercial satellite
10 capabilities to meet the requirements of the military
11 departments, Defense Agencies, and combatant com-
12 manders.

13 (2) FUNDING.—Of the funds authorized to be
14 appropriated for any of fiscal years 2015 through
15 2020 for the Department of Defense for the acquisi-
16 tion of commercial satellite communications, not
17 more than \$50,000,000 may be obligated or ex-
18 pended for such pilot program during such a fiscal
19 year.

20 (3) CERTAIN AUTHORITIES.—In carrying out
21 the pilot program under paragraph (1), the Sec-
22 retary may not use the authorities provided in sec-
23 tions 2208(k) and 2210(b) of title 10, United States
24 Code.

1 (b) GOALS.—In developing and carrying out the pilot
2 program under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall en-
3 sure that the pilot program—

4 (1) provides a cost effective and strategic meth-
5 od to acquire commercial satellite services;

6 (2) incentives private-sector participation and
7 investment in technologies to meet future require-
8 ments of the Department of Defense with respect to
9 commercial satellite services;

10 (3) takes into account the potential for a surge
11 or other change in the demand of the Department
12 for commercial satellite communications access in re-
13 sponse to global or regional events; and

14 (4) ensures the ability of the Secretary to con-
15 trol and account for the cost of programs and work
16 performed under the pilot program.

17 (c) DURATION.—If the Secretary commences the
18 pilot program under subsection (a)(1), the pilot program
19 shall terminate on October 1, 2020.

20 (d) REPORTS.—

21 (1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 150 days
22 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
23 retary shall submit to the congressional defense com-
24 mittees a report that includes a plan and schedule

1 to carry out the pilot program under subsection
2 (a)(1).

3 (2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than December
4 1, 2020, the Secretary shall submit to the congres-
5 sional defense committees a report on the pilot pro-
6 gram under subsection (a)(1). The report shall in-
7 clude—

8 (A) an assessment of expanding the use of
9 working capital funds to effectively and effi-
10 ciently acquire commercial satellite capabilities
11 to meet the requirements of the military depart-
12 ments, Defense Agencies, and combatant com-
13 manders; and

14 (B) a description of—

15 (i) any contract entered into under
16 the pilot program, the funding used under
17 such contract, and the efficiencies realized
18 under such contract;

19 (ii) the advantages and challenges of
20 using working capital funds as described in
21 subparagraph (A);

22 (iii) any additional authorities the
23 Secretary determines necessary to acquire
24 commercial satellite capabilities as de-
25 scribed in subsection (a)(1); and

- 1 (iv) any recommendations of the Sec-
- 2 retary with respect to improving or extend-
- 3 ing the pilot program.

1 **SEC. 1615 [Log 53437]. AIR FORCE INTELLIGENCE ORGANI-**
2 **ZATION.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) The Air Force National Air and Space In-
5 telligence Center provides essential national exper-
6 tise on foreign aerospace system capabilities, includ-
7 ing cyber, space systems, missiles, and aircraft.

8 (2) The Air Force National Air and Space In-
9 telligence Center is organizationally aligned to the
10 Headquarters Air Staff, through the Air Force Intel-
11 ligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Agency

12 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
13 gress that—

14 (1) the Air Force National Air and Space Intel-
15 ligence Center provides indispensable intelligence
16 support to a variety of customers, including the Air
17 Force, the Department of Defense, the intelligence
18 community, and national policymakers; and

19 (2) to maintain operational effectiveness, the
20 Air Force organizational reporting structure of the
21 Air Force National Air and Space Intelligence Cen-
22 ter should remain organizationally aligned to the
23 Headquarters Air Staff with reporting through the
24 Vice Chief of Staff.

25 (c) PLAN.—Not later than 90 days after the date of
26 the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force

1 shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the
2 Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House
3 of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intel-
4 ligence of the Senate a strategic plan for the intelligence
5 organization of the Air Force, including maintaining the
6 National Air and Space Intelligence Center alignment to
7 the Headquarters Air Staff.

1 **SEC. 1616 [Log 53552]. PROHIBITION ON NATIONAL INTEL-**
2 **LIGENCE PROGRAM CONSOLIDATION.**

3 (a) PROHIBITION.—No amounts authorized to be ap-
4 propriated or otherwise made available to the Department
5 of Defense may be used during the period beginning on
6 the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on De-
7 cember 31, 2015, to execute—

8 (1) the separation of the National Intelligence
9 Program budget from the Department of Defense
10 budget;

11 (2) the consolidation of the National Intel-
12 ligence Program budget within the Department of
13 Defense budget; or

14 (3) the establishment of a new appropriations
15 account or appropriations account structure for the
16 National Intelligence Program budget.

17 (b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

18 (1) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM.—The
19 term “National Intelligence Program” has the mean-
20 ing given the term in section 3 of the National Secu-
21 rity Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

22 (2) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM BUDG-
23 ET.—The term “National Intelligence Program
24 budget” means the portions of the Department of
25 Defense budget designated as part of the National
26 Intelligence Program.

In the table in subsection (b) of section 2101 of the report of the Subcommittee on Readiness, relating to authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects outside the United States, in the item relating to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, strike “\$23,800,000” in the amount column and insert “\$92,300,000”.



1 **Subtitle A—Military Construction**
2 **Program and Military Family**
3 **Housing Changes**

4 **SEC. 2801 [Log 53222]. PREVENTION OF CIRCUMVENTION OF**
5 **MILITARY CONSTRUCTION LAWS.**

6 Subsection (a) of section 2802 of title 10, United
7 States Code, is amended to read as follows:

8 “(a) Except as otherwise provided by this chapter,
9 the Secretary concerned may carry out only such military
10 construction projects, land acquisitions, and defense ac-
11 cess road projects (as described under section 210 of title
12 23) as are specifically authorized in a Military Construc-
13 tion Authorization Act.”.

1 **SEC. 2815 [Log 53979]. SPECIAL EASEMENT ACQUISITION AU-**
2 **THORITY, PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FACILITY,**
3 **BARKING SANDS, KAUAI, HAWAII.**

4 (a) EASEMENT ACQUISITION AUTHORITY.—The Sec-
5 retary of the Navy may use the authority provided by sec-
6 tions 2664 and 2684a of title 10, United States Code, to
7 enter into agreements with or acquire from willing sellers
8 easements and other interests in real property in the vicin-
9 ity of the Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands,
10 Kauai, Hawaii, for the purpose of—

11 (1) limiting encroachments on military training,
12 testing, and operations at that installation; or

13 (2) facilitating such training, testing, and oper-
14 ations.

15 (b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the ac-
16 quisition of an easement or other interest in real property
17 under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy may not
18 pay an amount in excess of the fair market value of the
19 interest to be acquired.

20 (c) CONDITIONS ON USE OF AUTHORITY.—

21 (1) NO USE OF CONDEMNATION.—An easement
22 or other interest in real property may be acquired
23 under subsection (a) only from a willing seller.

24 (2) NO ACQUISITION OF COMPLETE TITLE.—
25 Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit
26 the Secretary of the Navy to use this section as au-

1 thority to acquire all right, title, and interest in and
2 to real property in the vicinity of the Pacific Missile
3 Range Facility, Barking Sands.

4 (d) VICINITY DEFINED.—In this section, the term
5 “vicinity” means the area within 30 miles of the bound-
6 aries of the Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands.

1 **Subtitle D—Land Conveyances**

2 **SEC. 2841 [Log 53309]. LAND CONVEYANCE, MT. SOLEDAD**
3 **VETERANS MEMORIAL, LA JOLLA, CALI-**
4 **FORNIA.**

5 (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of
6 Defense may convey, without consideration, to the Mount
7 Soledad Memorial Association, Inc. (in this section re-
8 ferred to as the “Association”), all right, title, and interest
9 of the United States in and to the Mt. Soledad Veterans
10 Memorial in La Jolla, California, for the purpose of per-
11 mitting the Association to maintain the property for public
12 purposes. Upon conveyance of all right, title, and interest
13 of the United States in and to the property under this
14 subsection, the United States severs all involvement with
15 the property and, notwithstanding the condition imposed
16 by subsection (c), does not retain a reversionary interest
17 for the enforcement of such condition.

18 (b) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

19 (1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of
20 Defense shall require the Association to cover costs
21 (except costs for environmental remediation of the
22 property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to re-
23 imburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the
24 Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under sub-
25 section (a), including survey costs, costs for environ-

1 mental documentation, and any other administrative
2 costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are col-
3 lected from the Association in advance of the Sec-
4 retary incurring the actual costs, and the amount
5 collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the
6 Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary
7 shall refund the excess amount to the Association.

8 (2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—

9 Amounts received as reimbursement under para-
10 graph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account
11 that was used to cover those costs incurred by the
12 Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts
13 so credited shall be merged with amounts in such
14 fund or account, and shall be available for the same
15 purposes, and subject to the same conditions and
16 limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

17 (c) CONDITIONS ON CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance
18 of the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial under subsection
19 (a) shall be subject to the condition that a memorial shall
20 be maintained and used as a veterans memorial in per-
21 petuity.

22 (d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The legal descrip-
23 tion of the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial is provided in
24 section 2(d) of Public Law 109–272 (120 Stat. 771; 16
25 U.S.C. 431 note).

1 (e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The
2 Secretary of Defense may require such additional terms
3 and conditions in connection with the conveyance under
4 subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to
5 protect the interests of the United States.

1 **SEC. 2847 [Log 53604]. LAND CONVEYANCE, HANFORD SITE,**
2 **WASHINGTON.**

3 (a) CONVEYANCE REQUIRED.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31,
5 2014, the Secretary of Energy shall convey to the
6 Community Reuse Organization of the Hanford Site
7 (in this section referred to as the “Organization”)
8 all right, title, and interest of the United States in
9 and to two parcels of real property, including any
10 improvements thereon, consisting of approximately
11 1,341 acres and 300 acres, respectively, of the Han-
12 ford Reservation, as requested by the Organization
13 on May 31, 2011, and October 13, 2011, and as de-
14 picted within the proposed boundaries on the map ti-
15 tled “Attachment 2–Revised Map” included in the
16 October 13, 2011, letter.

17 (2) MODIFICATION OF CONVEYANCE.—Upon
18 the agreement of the Secretary and the Organiza-
19 tion, the Secretary may adjust the boundaries of one
20 or both of the parcels specified for conveyance under
21 paragraph (1).

22 (b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the con-
23 veyance under subsection (a), the Organization shall pay
24 to the United States an amount equal to the estimated
25 fair market value of the conveyed real property, as deter-
26 mined by the Secretary of Energy, except that the Sec-

1 retary may convey the property without consideration or
2 for consideration below the estimated fair market value
3 of the property if the Organization—

4 (1) agrees that the net proceeds from any sale
5 or lease of the property (or any portion thereof) re-
6 ceived by the Organization during at least the seven-
7 year period beginning on the date of such convey-
8 ance will be used to support the economic redevelop-
9 ment of, or related to, the Hanford Site; and

10 (2) executes the agreement for such conveyance
11 and accepts control of the real property within a rea-
12 sonable time.

13 (c) EXPEDITED NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.—Ex-
14 cept as provided in subsection (d)(2), the enactment of
15 this section shall be construed to satisfy any notice to Con-
16 gress otherwise required for the land conveyance required
17 by this section.

18 (d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy
20 may require such additional terms and conditions in
21 connection with the conveyance under subsection (a)
22 as the Secretary deems necessary to protect the in-
23 terests of the United States.

24 (2) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—If the
25 Secretary uses the authority provided by paragraph

1 (1) to impose a term or condition on the conveyance,
2 the Secretary shall submit to Congress written no-
3 tice of the term or condition and the reason for im-
4 posing the term or condition.

1 **Subtitle A—National Security**
2 **Programs Authorizations**

3 **SEC. 3101. [Log 53237] NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY AD-**
4 **MINISTRATION.**

5 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
6 are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Depart-
7 ment of Energy for fiscal year 2015 for the activities of
8 the National Nuclear Security Administration in carrying
9 out programs as specified in the funding table in section
10 4701.

11 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.—
12 From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available
13 for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy
14 may carry out new plant projects for the National Nuclear
15 Security Administration as follows:

16 Project 15-D-613, Emergency Operations Cen-
17 ter, Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge,
18 Tennessee, \$2,000,000.

19 Project 15-D-612, Emergency Operations Cen-
20 ter, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Cali-
21 fornia, \$2,000,000.

22 Project 15-D-611, Emergency Operations Cen-
23 ter, Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico,
24 \$4,000,000.

1 Project 15-D-302, TA-55 Reinvestment Project
2 Phase III, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Al-
3 amos, New Mexico, \$16,062,000.

4 Project 15-D-301, High Explosive Science and
5 Engineering Facility, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas,
6 \$11,800,000.

7 Project 15-D-904, NRF Overpack Storage Ex-
8 pansion 3, Naval Reactors Facility, Idaho,
9 \$400,000.

10 Project 15-D-903, KL Fire System Upgrade,
11 Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Schenectady, New
12 York, \$600,000.

13 Project 15-D-902, KS Engineroom Team
14 Trainer Facility, Kesselring Site, West Milton, New
15 York, \$1,500,000.

16 Project 15-D-901, KS Central Office and Pro-
17 totype Staff Building, Kesselring Site, West Milton,
18 New York, \$24,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3102.[Log 53325] DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN-**
2 **UP.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Depart-
5 ment of Energy for fiscal year 2015 for defense environ-
6 mental cleanup activities in carrying out programs as
7 specified in the funding table in section 4701.

8 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.—
9 From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available
10 for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy
11 may carry out, for defense environmental cleanup activi-
12 ties, the following new plant projects:

13 Project 15–D–401, KW Basin Sludge Removal
14 Project, Hanford, Washington, \$26,290,000.

15 Project 15–D–402, Saltstone Disposal Unit #6,
16 Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina,
17 \$34,642,000.

18 Project 15–D–405, Sludge Processing Facility
19 Build Out, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, \$4,200,000.

20 Project 15–D–406, Hexavalent Chromium
21 Pump and Treatment Remedy Project, Los Alamos
22 National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico,
23 \$28,600,000.

24 Project 15–D–409, Low Activity Waste
25 Pretreatment System, Hanford, Washington,
26 \$23,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3103.[Log 53327] OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to
3 the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2015 for other
4 defense activities in carrying out programs as specified in
5 the funding table in section 4701.

1 **SEC. 3104.[Log 53328] ENERGY SECURITY AND ASSURANCE.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to
3 the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2015 for energy
4 security and assurance programs necessary for national
5 security as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

1 **SEC. 3118.[Log 53921] DISPOSITION OF WEAPONS-USABLE**
2 **PLUTONIUM.**

3 (a) MIXED OXIDE FUEL FABRICATION FACILITY.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds described in
5 paragraph (2), the Secretary of Energy shall carry
6 out construction and program support activities re-
7 lating to the MOX facility.

8 (2) FUNDS DESCRIBED.—The funds described
9 in this paragraph are the following:

10 (A) Funds authorized to be appropriated
11 by this Act or otherwise made available for fis-
12 cal year 2015 for the National Nuclear Security
13 Administration for the MOX facility for con-
14 struction and program support activities.

15 (B) Funds authorized to be appropriated
16 for a fiscal year prior to fiscal year 2015 for
17 the National Nuclear Security Administration
18 for the MOX facility for construction and pro-
19 gram support activities that are unobligated as
20 of the date of the enactment of this Act.

21 (b) STUDY.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after
23 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
24 shall seek to enter into a contract with a federally
25 funded research and development center to conduct
26 a study to assess and validate the analysis of the

1 Secretary of Energy with respect to surplus weapon-
2 grade plutonium options.

3 (2) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 180 days
4 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the fed-
5 erally funded research and development center con-
6 ducting the study under paragraph (1) shall submit
7 to the Secretary the study, including any findings
8 and recommendations.

9 (c) REPORT.—

10 (1) PLAN.—Not later than 270 days after the
11 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
12 shall submit to the congressional defense committees
13 a report on the study conducted under subsection
14 (b)(1).

15 (2) ELEMENTS INCLUDED.—The report under
16 paragraph (1) shall include the following:

17 (A) The study conducted by the federally
18 funded research and development center under
19 subsection (b)(1), without change.

20 (B) Identification of the alternatives to the
21 MOX facility considered by the Secretary, in-
22 cluding a life-cycle cost analysis for each such
23 alternative.

1 (C) Identification of the portions of such
2 life cycle cost analyses that are common to all
3 such alternatives.

4 (D) Discussion on continuation of the
5 MOX facility, including a future funding profile
6 or a detailed discussion of selected alternatives
7 determined appropriate by the Secretary for
8 such discussion.

9 (E) Discussion of the issues regarding im-
10 plementation of such selected alternatives, in-
11 cluding all regulatory and public acceptance
12 issues, including interactions with affected
13 States.

14 (F) Explanation of how the alternatives to
15 the MOX facility conform with the Plutonium
16 Disposition Agreement, and if an alternative
17 does not so conform, what measures must be
18 taken to ensure conformance.

19 (G) Identification of steps the Secretary
20 would have to take to close out all MOX facility
21 related activities, as well as the associated cost.

22 (H) Any other matters the Secretary deter-
23 mines appropriate.

24 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) The term “MOX facility” means the mixed-
2 oxide fuel fabrication facility at the Savannah River
3 Site, Aiken, South Carolina.

4 (2) The term “Plutonium Disposition Agree-
5 ment” means the Agreement Between the Govern-
6 ment of the United States of America and the Gov-
7 ernment of the Russian Federation Concerning the
8 Management and Disposition of Plutonium Des-
9 ignated As No Longer Required for Defense Pur-
10 poses and Related Cooperation, as amended.

11 (3) The term “program support activities”
12 means activities that support the design, long-lead
13 equipment procurement, and site preparation of the
14 MOX facility.

1 **SEC. 3121.[Log 53923] LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR NON-PROLIFERATION ACTIVI-**
3 **TIES BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND**
4 **THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**

5 (a) LIMITATION.—None of the funds authorized to
6 be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available
7 for fiscal year 2015 for the National Nuclear Security Ad-
8 ministration may be used for any contact, cooperation, or
9 transfer of technology between the United States and the
10 Russian Federation until the Secretary of Energy, in con-
11 sultation with the Secretary of State and the Secretary
12 of Defense, certifies to the appropriate congressional com-
13 mittees that—

14 (1) the armed forces of the Russian Federation
15 are no longer illegally occupying Ukrainian territory;

16 (2) the Russian Federation is respecting the
17 sovereignty of all Ukrainian territory;

18 (3) the Russian Federation is no longer acting
19 inconsistently with the INF Treaty; and

20 (4) the Russian Federation is in compliance
21 with the CFE Treaty and has lifted its suspension
22 of Russian observance of its treaty obligations.

23 (b) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Energy may waive
24 the limitation in subsection (a) if—

25 (1) the Secretary of Energy, in coordination
26 with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of De-

1 fense, submits to the appropriate congressional com-
2 mittees—

3 (A) a notification that such a waiver is in
4 the national security interests of the United
5 States and a description of the national security
6 interests covered by the waiver; and

7 (B) a report explaining why the Secretary
8 of Energy cannot make a certification for such
9 under subsection (a); and

10 (2) a period of 30 days has elapsed following
11 the date on which the Secretary submits the infor-
12 mation in the report under subparagraph (B).

13 (c) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN MILITARY BASES.—
14 The certification requirement specified in paragraph (1)
15 of subsection (a) shall not apply to military bases of the
16 Russian Federation in Ukraine’s Crimean peninsula oper-
17 ating in accordance with its 1997 agreement on the Status
18 and Conditions of the Black Sea Fleet Stationing on the
19 Territory of Ukraine.

20 (d) APPLICATION.—The limitation in subsection (a)
21 applies with respect to funds described in such subsection
22 that are unobligated as of the date of the enactment of
23 this Act.

24 (e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) The term “appropriate congressional com-
2 mittees” means the following:

3 (A) The congressional defense committees.

4 (B) The Committee on Foreign Relations
5 of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign
6 Affairs of the House of Representatives.

7 (2) The term “CFE Treaty” means the Treaty
8 on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe, signed at
9 Paris November 19, 1990, and entered into force
10 July 17, 1992.

11 (3) The term “INF Treaty” means the Treaty
12 Between the United States of America and the
13 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elim-
14 ination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-
15 Range Missiles, commonly referred to as the Inter-
16 mediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, signed
17 at Washington December 8, 1987, and entered into
18 force June 1, 1988.

1 **SEC. 3502 [Log 53687]. SPECIAL RULE FOR DD-17.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—A vessel of the Navy transported
3 in DD-17 (formerly known as USN-YFD-17) in the wa-
4 ters of the State of Alabama shall not be treated as mer-
5 chandise for purposes of section 55102 of title 46, United
6 States Code.

7 (b) LIMITATION.—If DD-17 (formerly known as
8 USN-YFD-17) is sold after the date of the enactment of
9 this Act, subsection (a) shall cease to have effect unless
10 the purchaser of DD-17 is an eligible owner described in
11 section 12103(b) of title 46, United States Code.

1 **DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES**

2 **SEC. 4001 [Log53332]. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN** 3 **FUNDING TABLES.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever a funding table in this
5 division specifies a dollar amount authorized for a project,
6 program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the
7 specified dollar amount for the project, program, or activ-
8 ity is hereby authorized, subject to the availability of ap-
9 propriations.

10 (b) MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.—A decision to com-
11 mit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity
12 on the basis of a dollar amount authorized pursuant to
13 subsection (a) shall—

14 (1) be based on merit-based selection proce-
15 dures in accordance with the requirements of sec-
16 tions 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States
17 Code, or on competitive procedures; and

18 (2) comply with other applicable provisions of
19 law.

20 (c) RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND PROGRAM-
21 MING AUTHORITY.—An amount specified in the funding
22 tables in this division may be transferred or repro-
23 grammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority

1 provided by another provision of this Act or by other law.
2 The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in
3 such funding tables shall not count against a ceiling on
4 such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 or
5 section 1522 of this Act or any other provision of law,
6 unless such transfer or reprogramming would move funds
7 between appropriation accounts.

8 (d) APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—This
9 section applies to any classified annex that accompanies
10 this Act.

11 (e) ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.—No
12 oral or written communication concerning any amount
13 specified in the funding tables in this division shall super-
14 sede the requirements of this section.

TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
FIXED WING			
002	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT	13,617	13,617
003	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)	185,090	185,090
004	MQ-1 UAV	190,581	239,581
	Extended Range Modifications Per Army UFR		[49,000]
005	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	3,964	3,964
ROTARY			
006	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	416,617	416,617
007	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN	494,009	494,009
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	157,338	157,338
012	UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP)	1,237,001	1,237,001
013	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	132,138	132,138
014	CH-47 HELICOPTER	892,504	892,504
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	102,361	102,361
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT			
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD (MIP)	26,913	26,913
018	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	14,182	14,182
019	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)	131,892	131,892
020	AH-64 MODS	181,869	181,869
021	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP)	32,092	32,092
022	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS	15,029	15,029
023	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	76,515	76,515
025	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN	114,182	114,182
026	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE	115,795	115,795
027	GATM ROLLUP	54,277	54,277
028	RQ-7 UAV MODS	125,380	125,380
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS			
029	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	66,450	98,850
	Army Requested Realignment		[32,400]
030	SURVIVABILITY CM	0	7,800
	Army Requested Realignment		[7,800]
031	CMWS	107,364	60,364
	Army Requested Reduction		[-47,000]
OTHER SUPPORT			
032	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,847	6,847
033	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	29,231	29,231
034	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	48,081	48,081
035	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	127,232	127,232
036	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	1,203	1,203
037	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	2,931	2,931
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,102,685	5,144,885

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM		
002	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD)	110,300	110,300
003	MSE MISSILE	384,605	384,605
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM		
004	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	4,452	4,452
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS		
005	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	77,668	77,668
006	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	50,368	50,368
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	19,984	19,984
008	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	127,145	127,145
009	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	21,274	21,274
	MODIFICATIONS		
012	PATRIOT MODS	131,838	131,838
013	STINGER MODS	1,355	1,355
014	AVENGER MODS	5,611	5,611
015	ITAS/TOW MODS	19,676	19,676
016	MLRS MODS	10,380	10,380
017	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	6,008	6,008
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
018	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	36,930	36,930
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
019	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	3,657	3,657
020	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,522	1,522
021	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,710	4,710
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,017,483	1,017,483
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
001	STRYKER VEHICLE	385,110	385,110
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
002	STRYKER (MOD)	39,683	89,683
	Unfunded Requirement-Fourth DVH Brigade Set		[50,000]
003	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	26,759	26,759
004	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	107,506	107,506
005	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	45,411	45,411
006	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM)	247,400	247,400
007	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	50,451	50,451
008	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)	2,473	2,473
009	ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE	36,583	36,583
010	M88 FOV MODS	1,975	73,975
	Unfunded Requirement-Industrial Base Initiative		[72,000]
011	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	49,462	49,462
012	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	237,023	237,023
013	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	0	120,000
	Industrial base initiative		[120,000]
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
014	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)	6,478	6,478

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES			
016	MORTAR SYSTEMS	5,012	5,012
017	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	28,390	28,390
018	COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM	148	148
019	CARBINE	29,366	20,616
	Army Requested Realignment		[-8,750]
021	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	8,409	8,409
022	HANDGUN	3,957	1,957
	Funding Ahead of Need		[-2,000]
MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH			
024	M777 MODS	18,166	18,166
025	M4 CARBINE MODS	3,446	6,446
	Army Requested Realignment		[3,000]
026	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	25,296	25,296
027	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	5,546	5,546
028	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	4,635	2,635
	Army Requested Realignment		[-2,000]
029	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS	4,079	4,079
030	M119 MODIFICATIONS	72,718	72,718
031	M16 RIFLE MODS	1,952	0
	Army Requested Realignment		[-1,952]
032	MORTAR MODIFICATION	8,903	8,903
033	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	2,089	2,089
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES			
034	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	2,005	2,005
035	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)	8,911	8,911
036	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	414	414
037	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	1,682	1,682
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	1,471,438	1,701,736
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY			
SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION			
001	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	34,943	34,943
002	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	12,418	12,418
003	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	9,655	8,155
	Funding Ahead of Need		[-1,500]
004	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	29,304	29,304
006	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	8,181	8,181
007	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	52,667	52,667
008	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	40,904	40,904
MORTAR AMMUNITION			
009	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	41,742	41,742
010	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	42,433	42,433
011	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	39,365	39,365
TANK AMMUNITION			
012	CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES	101,900	101,900
ARTILLERY AMMUNITION			
013	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES	37,455	37,455

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
014	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	47,023	47,023
015	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982	35,672	35,672
016	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL Precision Guided Kits Schedule Delay	94,010	74,010 [-20,000]
	ROCKETS		
019	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	945	945
020	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	27,286	27,286
	OTHER AMMUNITION		
021	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	22,899	22,899
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	22,751	22,751
023	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	7,082	7,082
024	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	11,638	11,638
	MISCELLANEOUS		
025	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	3,594	3,594
027	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	5,430	5,430
028	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO)	8,337	8,337
029	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	14,906	14,906
030	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	14,349	14,349
031	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	111	111
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		
032	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES Unjustified Request	148,092	146,192 [-1,900]
033	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION	113,881	113,881
034	ARMS INITIATIVE	2,504	2,504
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	1,031,477	1,008,077
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	7,987	7,987
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	160	160
004	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	164,615	164,615
005	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) Additional FMTVs – Industrial Base initiative	0	50,000 [50,000]
006	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP	8,415	8,415
007	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) Additional HEMTT ESP Vehicles-Industrial Base initiative	28,425	78,425 [50,000]
008	PLS ESP	89,263	89,263
013	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS	38,226	38,226
014	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP Early to Need	91,173	83,173 [-8,000]
015	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS	14,731	14,731
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES		
016	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	175	175
017	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	1,338	1,338
018	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	11,101	11,101
	COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS		
019	WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK Unobligated balances	763,087	638,087 [-125,000]

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
020	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	21,157	21,157
021	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY	7,915	7,915
022	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	5,440	5,440
	COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
023	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	118,085	118,085
024	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	13,999	13,999
025	SHF TERM	6,494	6,494
026	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	1,635	1,635
027	SMART-T (SPACE)	13,554	13,554
028	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS	18,899	18,899
029	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	2,849	2,849
030	ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC)	100,000	100,000
	COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS		
033	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	175,711	125,711
	Unobligated Balances		[-50,000]
034	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR)	9,692	4,692
	Unobligated Balances		[-5,000]
035	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	17,136	17,136
037	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2	22,099	22,099
038	TRACTOR DESK	3,724	3,724
039	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	969	969
040	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS	294	294
041	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	24,354	24,354
042	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE	17,445	17,445
043	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	1,028	1,028
044	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	22,614	22,614
	COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM		
046	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE	1,519	1,519
047	ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	12,478	12,478
	INFORMATION SECURITY		
050	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	2,113	2,113
051	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	69,646	69,646
	COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS		
052	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	28,913	28,913
	COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS		
053	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	97,091	97,091
054	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)	246	246
055	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	5,362	5,362
056	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	79,965	79,965
	ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)		
060	JTT/CIBS-M	870	870
061	PROPHET GROUND	55,896	55,896
063	DCGS-A (MIP)	128,207	128,207
064	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	5,286	5,286
065	TROJAN (MIP)	12,614	12,614
066	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	3,901	3,901
067	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)	7,392	7,392
	ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
068	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	24,828	24,828
070	AIR VIGILANCE (AV)	7,000	7,000
072	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	1,285	1,285
	ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)		
075	SENTINEL MODS	44,305	44,305
076	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	160,901	160,901
078	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	18,520	18,520
080	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS	68,296	68,296
081	FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FWS)	49,205	34,205
	Early to Need		[-15,000]
082	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	4,896	4,896
083	PROFILER	3,115	3,115
084	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)	4,186	4,186
085	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	97,892	87,892
	Schedule Delay		[-10,000]
086	JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM (JETS)	27,450	27,450
087	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR)	14,085	14,085
088	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	29,040	29,040
089	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	209,050	159,050
	Excessive LRIP/Concurrency Costs		[-50,000]
	ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS		
092	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	13,823	13,823
095	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS	27,374	27,374
097	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)	2,508	2,508
099	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	21,524	21,524
100	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	95,455	95,455
101	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY (GCSS-A)	118,600	118,600
102	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPP)	32,970	32,970
104	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	10,113	10,113
	ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION		
105	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	9,015	9,015
106	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	155,223	155,223
107	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEMS FAM	16,581	16,581
108	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP)	65,252	65,252
110	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	17,631	17,631
	ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)		
112	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	5,437	5,437
	ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT		
113	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	426	426
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
114A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,707	3,707
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT		
115	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)	937	937
116	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS)	1,930	1,930
117	CBRN DEFENSE	17,468	17,468
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT		
119	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	5,442	5,442
120	COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP	11,013	11,013

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT		
121	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS) Early to Need	37,649	33,249 [-4,400]
122	HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS)	18,545	18,545
123	ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS)	4,701	4,701
124	EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION	6,346	6,346
125	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)	15,856	15,856
126	REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS	4,485	4,485
127	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	4,938	4,938
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
128	HEATERS AND ECU'S	9,235	9,235
130	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	1,677	1,677
131	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	16,728	16,728
132	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	84,761	84,761
134	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	15,179	15,179
135	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	28,194	28,194
137	FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS	41,967	41,967
138	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)	20,090	20,090
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT		
139	QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT	1,435	1,435
140	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER	40,692	40,692
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT		
141	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	46,957	46,957
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT		
142	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	23,758	23,758
143	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	2,789	2,789
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT		
144	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	5,827	5,827
145	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	14,926	14,926
147	COMPACTOR	4,348	4,348
148	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	4,938	4,938
149	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	34,071	34,071
150	ALL TERRAIN CRANES	4,938	4,938
151	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	667	667
153	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP	14,924	14,924
154	CONST EQUIP ESP	15,933	15,933
155	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	6,749	6,749
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT		
156	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP	10,509	10,509
157	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	2,166	2,166
	GENERATORS		
158	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP Cost Savings from New Contract	115,190	105,190 [-10,000]
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
160	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	14,327	14,327
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
161	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	65,062	65,062
162	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	101,295	101,295

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
163	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER	13,406	13,406
164	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER	14,440	14,440
165	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	10,165	10,165
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)		
166	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	5,726	5,726
167	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	37,482	37,482
168	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	16,061	16,061
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
170	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,380	2,380
171	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)	30,686	30,686
172	BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT	1,008	1,008
173	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	98,559	83,559
	Early to need - watercraft C4ISR		[-15,000]
174	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)	1,697	1,697
175	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING	25,394	25,394
176	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3	12,975	12,975
	OPA2		
180	INITIAL SPARES - C&E	50,032	50,032
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	4,893,634	4,701,234
	JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND		
	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
004	OPERATIONS	115,058	0
	Transfer of JIEDDO to Overseas Contingency Operations		[-65,558]
	Unjustified Request		[-49,500]
	TOTAL, JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND	115,058	0
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT		
001	EA-18G	43,547	493,547
	Additional EA-18G aircraft		[450,000]
005	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV	610,652	610,652
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	29,400	29,400
007	JSF STOVL	1,200,410	1,200,410
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	143,885	143,885
009	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	1,487,000	1,487,000
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	45,920	45,920
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z)	778,757	778,757
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	80,926	80,926
013	MH-60S (MYP)	210,209	210,209
015	MH-60R (MYP)	933,882	880,482
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[-53,400]
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	106,686	106,686
017	P-8A POSEIDON	2,003,327	2,003,327
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	48,457	48,457
019	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	819,870	819,870
020	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	225,765	225,765
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
023	KC-130J	92,290	92,290

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
026	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	37,445	37,445
027	MQ-8 UAV	40,663	40,663
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
029	EA-6 SERIES	10,993	10,993
030	AEA SYSTEMS	34,768	34,768
031	AV-8 SERIES	65,472	65,472
032	ADVERSARY	8,418	8,418
033	F-18 SERIES	679,177	679,177
034	H-46 SERIES	480	480
036	H-53 SERIES	38,159	38,159
037	SH-60 SERIES	108,850	108,850
038	H-1 SERIES	45,033	45,033
039	EP-3 SERIES	32,890	50,890
	Obsolescence Issues		[5,000]
	SIGINT Architecture Modernization Common Configuration		[13,000]
040	P-3 SERIES	2,823	2,823
041	E-2 SERIES	21,208	21,208
042	TRAINER A/C SERIES	12,608	12,608
044	C-130 SERIES	40,378	40,378
045	FEWSG	640	640
046	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	4,635	4,635
047	E-6 SERIES	212,876	212,876
048	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	71,328	71,328
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	21,317	21,317
050	T-45 SERIES	90,052	90,052
051	POWER PLANT CHANGES	19,094	19,094
052	JPATS SERIES	1,085	1,085
054	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	155,644	155,644
055	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	157,531	157,531
056	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM	1,958	1,958
057	ID SYSTEMS	38,880	38,880
058	P-8 SERIES	29,797	29,797
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION	14,770	14,770
060	MQ-8 SERIES	8,741	8,741
061	RQ-7 SERIES	2,542	2,542
062	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	135,584	135,584
063	F-35 STOVL SERIES	285,968	285,968
064	F-35 CV SERIES	20,502	20,502
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,229,651	1,229,651
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES		
066	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	418,355	418,355
067	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	23,843	23,843
068	WAR CONSUMABLES	15,939	15,939
069	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	5,630	5,630
070	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	65,839	65,839
071	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	1,768	1,768

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	13,074,317	13,488,917
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
001	TRIDENT II MODS	1,190,455	1,190,455
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
002	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	5,671	5,671
	STRATEGIC MISSILES		
003	TOMAHAWK	194,258	276,258
	Minimum Sustaining Rate Increase		[82,000]
	TACTICAL MISSILES		
004	AMRAAM	32,165	22,165
	Program decrease		[-10,000]
005	SIDEWINDER	73,928	73,928
006	JSOW	130,759	130,759
007	STANDARD MISSILE	445,836	445,836
008	RAM	80,792	80,792
011	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM)	1,810	1,810
012	AERIAL TARGETS	48,046	48,046
013	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	3,295	3,295
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
014	ESSM	119,434	119,434
015	HARM MODS	111,739	111,739
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
016	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	2,531	2,531
017	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	208,700	199,700
	Excess to need		[-9,000]
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
018	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	73,211	73,211
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		
019	SSTD	6,562	6,562
020	MK-48 TORPEDO	14,153	14,153
021	ASW TARGETS	2,515	2,515
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		
022	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	98,928	98,928
023	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	46,893	46,893
024	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	6,966	6,966
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
025	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	52,670	52,670
026	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	3,795	3,795
	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		
027	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,692	3,692
	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
028	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	13,240	13,240
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
029	CIWS MODS	75,108	75,108
030	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	18,948	18,948
031	GUN MOUNT MODS	62,651	62,651

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
033	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	15,006	15,006
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
035	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	74,188	74,188
	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	3,217,945	3,280,945
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC		
	NAVY AMMUNITION		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	107,069	107,069
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	70,396	70,396
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	20,284	20,284
004	PRACTICE BOMBS	26,701	26,701
005	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	53,866	53,866
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	59,294	59,294
007	JATOS	2,766	2,766
008	LRLAP 6" LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE	113,092	113,092
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	35,702	35,702
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION	36,475	36,475
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	43,906	43,906
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	51,535	51,535
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	11,652	11,652
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,473	4,473
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	31,708	31,708
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	692	692
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES	13,630	13,630
018	60MM, ALL TYPES	2,261	2,261
019	81MM, ALL TYPES	1,496	1,496
020	120MM, ALL TYPES	14,855	14,855
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	4,000	4,000
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	16,853	16,853
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	14,772	14,772
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES	9,972	9,972
027	NON LETHALS	998	998
028	AMMO MODERNIZATION	12,319	12,319
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,178	11,178
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC	771,945	771,945
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		
	OTHER WARSHIPS		
001	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	1,300,000	1,300,000
002	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	3,553,254	3,553,254
003	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	2,330,325	2,330,325
004	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS	0	483,600
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[483,600]
006	DDG 1000	419,532	365,532
	DDG-1000		[-54,000]
007	DDG-51	2,671,415	2,671,415
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	134,039	134,039

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
009	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP Reduction of 1 LCS	1,427,049	977,049 [-450,000]
009A	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) Program requirement	0	100,000 [100,000]
AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS			
010	LPD-17 Incremental funding for LPD-28	12,565	812,565 [800,000]
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	29,093	29,093
015	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL	4,590	4,590
AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST			
016	MOORED TRAINING SHIP Moored Training Ship	737,268	517,268 [-220,000]
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	64,388	64,388
018	OUTFITTING	546,104	546,104
019	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR	123,233	123,233
020	LCAC SLEP	40,485	40,485
021	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS	1,007,285	1,007,285
TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		14,400,625	15,060,225
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT			
001	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE	7,822	7,822
002	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	2,155	2,155
003	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED) Hybrid Electric Drive	22,704	15,704 [-7,000]
GENERATORS			
004	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E Surface Combatant HM&E	29,120	22,120 [-7,000]
NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT			
005	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	45,431	45,431
PERISCOPES			
006	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP Submarine Periscopes and Imaging Equipment	60,970	52,670 [-8,300]
OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT			
007	DDG MOD	338,569	338,569
008	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	15,486	15,486
009	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	2,219	2,219
010	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	17,928	17,928
011	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM	22,025	22,025
012	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	12,607	12,607
013	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	16,492	16,492
014	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	74,129	74,129
015	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	36,206	36,206
016	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	37,352	37,352
017	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	49,095	49,095
018	ELECTRONIC DRY AIR	2,996	2,996
019	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	11,558	11,558
020	DSSP EQUIPMENT	5,518	5,518

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
022	LCAC	7,158	7,158
023	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	58,783	53,783
	Underwater EOD Programs		[-5,000]
024	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	68,748	68,748
025	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	2,937	2,937
026	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	8,385	8,385
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT		
027	REACTOR POWER UNITS	0	298,200
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[298,200]
028	REACTOR COMPONENTS	288,822	288,822
	OCEAN ENGINEERING		
029	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	10,572	10,572
	SMALL BOATS		
030	STANDARD BOATS	129,784	80,784
	Standard Boats		[-49,000]
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
031	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT	17,152	17,152
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT		
032	OPERATING FORCES IPE	39,409	39,409
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT		
033	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	118,129	118,129
034	LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT	37,413	37,413
035	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES	15,270	15,270
036	LCS ASW MISSION MODULES	2,729	2,729
037	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	44,208	44,208
038	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)	42,276	42,276
	SHIP SONARS		
040	SPQ-9B RADAR	28,007	28,007
041	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	79,802	79,802
042	SSN ACOUSTICS	165,655	165,655
043	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	9,487	9,487
044	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	11,621	11,621
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
046	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	24,221	24,221
047	SSTD	12,051	12,051
048	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	170,831	170,831
049	SURTASS	9,619	9,619
050	MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNSAISANCE FORCE	14,390	14,390
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT		
051	AN/SLQ-32	214,582	214,582
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT		
052	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	124,862	124,862
053	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)	164	164
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		
054	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	45,362	45,362
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
055	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	33,939	33,939
056	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	324	324

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
057	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	18,192	18,192
058	ATDLS	16,768	16,768
059	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	5,219	5,219
060	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	42,108	42,108
062	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	15,232	15,232
063	AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE	4,524	4,524
064	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	6,382	6,382
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
065	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	46,122	46,122
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
066	MATCALs	16,999	16,999
067	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	9,366	9,366
068	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	21,357	21,357
069	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	26,639	26,639
070	FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS	9,214	9,214
071	LANDING SYSTEMS	13,902	13,902
072	ID SYSTEMS	34,901	34,901
073	NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	13,950	13,950
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
074	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL	1,205	1,205
075	MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEM	3,447	3,447
076	TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS	16,766	16,766
077	DCGS-N	23,649	23,649
078	CANES	357,589	357,589
079	RADIAC	8,343	8,343
080	CANES-INTELL	65,015	65,015
081	GPETE	6,284	6,284
082	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	4,016	4,016
083	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	4,113	4,113
084	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	45,053	45,053
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS		
085	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS	14,410	14,410
086	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	20,830	20,830
088	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	14,145	14,145
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS		
089	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT	11,057	11,057
090	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	67,852	67,852
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
091	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	13,218	13,268
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[50]
092	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)	272,076	272,076
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS		
093	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	4,369	4,369
094	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	1,402	1,402
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		
095	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	110,766	110,766
096	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM	979	979
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
097	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	11,502	11,502
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT		
098	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	2,967	2,967
	SONOBUOYS		
100	SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES	182,946	182,946
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
101	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	47,944	47,944
103	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	76,683	76,683
106	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	12,575	12,875
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[300]
107	DCRS/DPL	1,415	1,415
109	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	23,152	23,152
114	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	52,555	52,555
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT		
115	SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT	5,572	5,572
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT		
118	SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	165,769	165,769
123	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	61,462	61,462
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
126	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	229,832	229,832
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
127	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	66,020	66,020
128	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,559	7,559
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
132	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	20,619	20,619
133	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,251	11,251
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE		
137	TRAINING DEVICE MODS	84,080	84,080
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
138	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	2,282	2,282
139	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	547	547
140	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	8,949	8,949
141	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	14,621	14,621
142	TACTICAL VEHICLES	957	957
143	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	8,187	8,187
144	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	2,942	2,942
145	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	17,592	17,592
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	1,177	1,177
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
147	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	10,937	10,937
148	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	10,374	10,374
149	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	5,668	5,668
150	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	90,921	90,921
	TRAINING DEVICES		
151	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	22,046	22,046
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
152	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	24,208	24,208
153	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	874	874

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
154	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,634	2,634
156	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	3,573	3,573
157	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	3,997	3,997
158	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	9,638	9,638
159	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	21,001	21,001
160	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	94,957	94,957
161	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	87,214	87,214
	OTHER		
164	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE	116,165	116,165
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
164A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	10,847	10,847
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
165	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	325,084	325,134
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[50]
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	5,975,828	6,198,128
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
001	AAV7A1 PIP	16,756	16,756
002	LAV PIP	77,736	77,736
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS		
003	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	5,742	642
	Per Marine Corps Excess to Need		[-5,100]
004	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	4,532	4,532
005	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	19,474	19,474
006	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION	7,250	7,250
	OTHER SUPPORT		
007	MODIFICATION KITS	21,909	21,909
008	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	3,208	3,208
	GUIDED MISSILES		
009	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	31,439	31,439
010	JAVELIN	343	343
011	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW	4,995	4,995
012	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)	1,589	1,589
	OTHER SUPPORT		
013	MODIFICATION KITS	5,134	5,134
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS		
014	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER	9,178	9,178
015	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (C	12,272	12,272
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		
016	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	30,591	30,591
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		
017	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,385	2,385
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)		
019	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	4,205	4,205
020	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	8,002	8,002
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
021	RADAR SYSTEMS	19,595	19,595

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
022	U	89,230	89,230
023	RQ-21 UAS	70,565	70,565
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
024	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	11,860	11,860
025	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	44,340	44,340
028	RQ-11 UAV	2,737	2,737
030	DCGS-MC	20,620	20,620
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
031	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	9,798	9,798
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)		
032	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN)	2,073	2,073
033	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	33,570	33,570
034	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	38,186	38,186
035	RADIO SYSTEMS	64,494	64,494
036	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	72,956	72,956
037	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	43,317	43,317
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
037A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,498	2,498
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES		
038	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	332	332
039	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	11,035	11,035
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
040	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) Early to Need	57,255	37,255 [-20,000]
041	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	938	938
044	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	7,500	7,500
045	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	10,179	10,179
	OTHER SUPPORT		
046	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,023	11,023
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		
047	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	994	994
048	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	1,256	1,256
049	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	3,750	3,750
050	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	8,985	8,985
051	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,418	4,418
052	EOD SYSTEMS	6,528	6,528
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
053	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	26,510	26,510
054	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE)	1,910	1,910
055	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	8,807	8,807
056	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	128	128
	GENERAL PROPERTY		
058	TRAINING DEVICES	3,412	3,412
059	CONTAINER FAMILY	1,662	1,662
060	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	3,669	3,669
	OTHER SUPPORT		
062	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,272	4,272
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
063	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	16,210	16,210
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	983,352	958,252
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE TACTICAL FORCES		
001	F-35	3,553,046	3,553,046
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	291,880	291,880
	TACTICAL AIRLIFT		
003	KC-46A TANKER	1,582,685	1,356,585
	LRIP 1 Ramp Rate		[-226,100]
	OTHER AIRLIFT		
004	C-130J	482,396	482,396
005	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	140,000	140,000
006	HC-130J	332,024	332,024
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	50,000	50,000
008	MC-130J	190,971	190,971
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	80,000	80,000
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT		
012	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	2,562	2,562
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
013	TARGET DRONES	98,576	98,576
016	RQ-4	54,475	44,475
	MPRTIP Sensor Trainer Reduction		[-10,000]
017	AC-130J	1	1
018	MQ-9	240,218	240,218
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT		
020	B-2A	23,865	23,865
021	B-1B	140,252	140,252
022	B-52	180,148	180,148
023	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	13,159	13,159
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT		
025	F-15	387,314	387,314
026	F-16	12,336	12,336
027	F-22A	180,207	180,207
028	F-35 MODIFICATIONS	187,646	187,646
029	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	28,500	28,500
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		
030	C-5	14,731	14,731
031	C-5M	331,466	281,466
	Program Execution Delay		[-50,000]
033	C-17A	127,494	127,494
034	C-21	264	264
035	C-32A	8,767	8,767
036	C-37A	18,457	18,457
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
038	GLIDER MODS	132	132
039	T-6	14,486	14,486
040	T-1	7,650	7,650

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
041	T-38	34,845	34,845
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
044	KC-10A (ATCA)	34,313	34,313
045	C-12	1,960	1,960
048	VC-25A MOD	1,072	1,072
049	C-40	7,292	7,292
050	C-130	35,869	109,671
	8.33kHz Radios		[-7,447]
	C-130 8-Bladed Propeller Upgrade		[30,000]
	C-130 AMP		[35,800]
	CVR/DVR		[-7,151]
	T-56 3.5 Engine Mod		[22,600]
051	C-130J MODS	7,919	7,919
052	C-135	63,568	63,568
053	COMPASS CALL MODS	57,828	57,828
054	RC-135	152,746	152,746
055	E-3	16,491	16,491
056	E-4	22,341	22,341
058	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	160,284	160,284
059	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	32,026	32,026
060	H-1	8,237	8,237
061	H-60	60,110	60,110
062	RQ-4 MODS	21,354	21,354
063	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	1,902	1,902
064	OTHER AIRCRAFT	32,106	32,106
065	MQ-1 MODS	4,755	1,555
	Program reduction		[-3,200]
066	MQ-9 MODS	155,445	155,445
069	CV-22 MODS	74,874	74,874
069A	EJECTION SEAT RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0	7,000
	Initial Aircraft Installation		[7,000]
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
070	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	466,562	466,562
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
071	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	22,470	22,470
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		
074	B-2A	44,793	44,793
075	B-52	5,249	5,249
077	C-17A	20,110	15,110
	Program Execution Delay		[-5,000]
078	CV-22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	16,931	16,931
080	C-135	4,414	4,414
081	F-15	1,122	1,122
082	F-16	10,994	10,994
083	F-22A	5,929	5,929
084	OTHER AIRCRAFT	27	27
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
085	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS	21,363	21,363
	WAR CONSUMABLES		
086	WAR CONSUMABLES	82,906	82,906
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		
087	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1,007,276	1,007,276
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
087A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	69,380	69,380
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	11,542,571	11,329,073
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC		
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC	80,187	80,187
	TACTICAL		
003	JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE	337,438	337,438
004	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	132,995	132,995
005	AMRAAM	329,600	329,600
006	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	33,878	33,878
007	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	70,578	70,578
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		
008	INDUSTR'L PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION	749	749
	CLASS IV		
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS	28,477	28,477
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK	276	276
011	AGM-88A HARM	297	297
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	16,083	16,083
013	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	6,924	6,924
	MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
014	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	87,366	87,366
	SPACE PROGRAMS		
015	ADVANCED EHF	298,890	298,890
016	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE)	38,971	35,971
	Unjustified growth		[-3,000]
017	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	235,397	235,397
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	57,000	57,000
019	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	16,201	16,201
020	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	52,090	52,090
021	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE)	87,000	87,000
022	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (INFRAST.)	750,143	750,143
023	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE)	630,903	765,903
	DMSP 20 Launch/Additional competition launch		[135,000]
024	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	450,884	450,884
	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		
028	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS	60,179	60,179
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
028A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	888,000	888,000
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	4,690,506	4,822,506
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
	ROCKETS		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
001	ROCKETS	4,696	4,696
	CARTRIDGES		
002	CARTRIDGES	133,271	133,271
	BOMBS		
003	PRACTICE BOMBS	31,998	31,998
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	148,614	148,614
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	101,400	101,400
	OTHER ITEMS		
006	CAD/PAD	29,989	29,989
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	6,925	6,925
008	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	494	494
009	MODIFICATIONS	1,610	1,610
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,237	4,237
	FLARES		
011	FLARES	86,101	86,101
	FUZES		
012	FUZES	103,417	103,417
	SMALL ARMS		
013	SMALL ARMS	24,648	24,648
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	677,400	677,400
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		
001	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	6,528	2,528
	Unjustified growth		[-4,000]
	CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES		
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	7,639	2,639
	Unjustified growth		[-5,000]
003	CAP VEHICLES	961	961
004	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,027	5,027
	Unjustified growth		[-6,000]
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES		
005	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	4,447	4,447
006	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	693	693
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		
007	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	10,152	10,152
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
008	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	15,108	5,108
	Unjustified growth		[-10,000]
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT		
009	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP	10,212	6,212
	Unjustified growth		[-4,000]
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	57,049	32,049
	Unjustified growth		[-25,000]
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)		
011	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	106,182	106,182
012	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	1,363	1,363
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
013	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	2,832	2,832
014	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT	32,329	32,329
016	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	15,649	15,649
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS		
017	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS	42,200	42,200
018	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	6,333	6,333
019	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM - FIXED	2,708	2,708
020	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENTS	50,033	40,033
	Unjustified growth		[-10,000]
021	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	16,348	16,348
022	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	139,984	139,984
023	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	20,101	20,101
026	INTEGRATED STRAT PLAN & ANALY NETWORK (ISPAN)	9,060	9,060
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS		
027	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	39,100	39,100
028	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS	19,010	19,010
029	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	11,462	11,462
030	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	37,426	37,426
031	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	26,634	26,634
032	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N	1,289	1,289
033	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	11,508	11,508
034	GCSS-AF FOS	3,670	3,670
035	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT SYSTEM	15,298	15,298
036	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM	9,565	9,565
037	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS	25,772	25,772
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS		
038	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	81,286	112,586
	Air Force requested program transfer from AFNET		[31,300]
039	AFNET	122,228	90,928
	Air Force requested program transfer to BITI		[-31,300]
041	USCENTCOM	16,342	16,342
	SPACE PROGRAMS		
042	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	60,230	60,230
043	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE	26,100	26,100
044	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	2,075	2,075
045	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE	4,656	4,656
046	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	54,630	54,630
047	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	69,713	69,713
048	MILSATCOM SPACE	41,355	41,355
049	SPACE MODS SPACE	31,722	31,722
050	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	61,603	61,603
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE		
051	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	50,335	50,335
053	RADIO EQUIPMENT	14,846	14,846
054	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	3,635	3,635
055	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	79,607	79,607
	MODIFICATIONS		
056	COMM ELECT MODS	105,398	105,398

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP		
057	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	12,577	12,577
058	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	31,209	31,209
	DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ		
059	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	7,670	7,670
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
060	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	14,125	14,125
061	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	16,744	16,744
062	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	2,495	2,495
063	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	10,573	10,573
064	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	5,462	5,462
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS		
066	DARP RC135	24,710	24,710
067	DCGS-AF	206,743	206,743
069	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	537,370	537,370
070	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.	77,898	77,898
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
070A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	13,990,196	13,990,196
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
072	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	32,813	32,813
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	16,566,018	16,502,018
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA		
001	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,594	1,594
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA		
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	4,325	4,325
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA		
003	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	17,268	17,268
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA		
008	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	10,491	10,491
010	TELEPORT PROGRAM	80,622	80,622
011	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	14,147	14,147
012	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	1,921	1,921
013	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK	80,144	80,144
015	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	8,755	8,755
016	WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY	33,737	33,737
017	SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE	32,544	32,544
018	JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT	13,300	13,300
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA		
020	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	7,436	7,436
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT		
021	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	11,640	11,640
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA		
022	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	1,269	1,269
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS		
024	VEHICLES	1,500	1,500
025	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	1,039	1,039
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
026	VEHICLES	50	50
027	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	7,639	7,639
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY		
028	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	68,880	68,880
029	THAAD	464,424	464,424
030	AEGIS BMD	435,430	435,430
031	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS	48,140	48,140
032	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III	225,774	225,774
034	IRON DOME	175,972	351,972
	Program Increase for Iron Dome		[176,000]
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA		
041	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	3,448	3,448
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		
042	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	43,708	43,708
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS		
044	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	10,783	10,783
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		
046	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	29,599	29,599
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
046A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	540,894	540,894
	AVIATION PROGRAMS		
047	MC-12	40,500	40,500
048	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	112,226	112,226
049	MH-60 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	3,021	3,021
050	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	48,200	48,200
052	MH-47 CHINOOK	22,230	22,230
053	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	6,397	6,397
054	CV-22 MODIFICATION	25,578	25,578
056	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	15,651	15,651
057	STUASLO	1,500	1,500
058	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE	145,929	145,929
059	AC/MC-130J	65,130	65,130
061	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	39,563	39,563
	SHIPBUILDING		
063	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	25,459	25,459
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		
065	ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M	144,336	144,336
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		
068	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	81,001	81,001
070	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	17,323	13,423
	Reduction of PED Ground Systems		[-3,900]
071	OTHER ITEMS <\$5M	84,852	84,852
072	COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	51,937	51,937
074	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	31,017	31,017
075	TACTICAL VEHICLES	63,134	63,134
076	WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M	192,448	192,448
078	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	19,984	19,984
081	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	5,044	5,044

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT			
(In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
082	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	38,126	38,126
088	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	243,849	243,849
	CBDP		
095	CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	170,137	170,137
096	CB PROTECTION & HAZARD MITIGATION	150,392	150,392
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	4,221,437	4,393,537
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	20,000	0
	Unjustified Request		[-20,000]
	TOTAL, JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	20,000	0
	PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS		
	PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS		
001	PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS	-265,685	0
	Denied Prior Year Rescission request		[265,685]
	TOTAL, PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS	-265,685	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS		
	UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS		
001	UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS	0	-265,685
	Undistributed FY15 reduction		[-265,685]
	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS	0	-265,685
TOTAL PROCUREMENT		89,508,034	90,790,676

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION.

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	13,464	13,464
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	238,167	238,167
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	69,808	69,808
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	102,737	102,737
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	424,176	424,176
APPLIED RESEARCH				
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	28,006	28,006
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	33,515	33,515
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP	16,358	16,358
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	63,433	63,433
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	18,502	18,502
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	46,194	46,194
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	28,528	28,528
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	27,435	27,435
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	72,883	72,883
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	85,597	85,597
015	0602622A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLO	3,971	3,971
016	0602623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	6,853	6,853
017	0602624A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	38,069	38,069
018	0602705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	56,435	56,435
019	0602709A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	38,445	38,445
020	0602712A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	25,939	25,939
021	0602716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	23,783	23,783
022	0602720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	15,659	15,659
023	0602782A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	33,817	33,817
024	0602783A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	10,764	10,764
025	0602784A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	63,311	63,311
026	0602785A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	23,295	23,295
027	0602786A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	25,751	25,751
028	0602787A	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	76,068	76,068
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	862,611	862,611
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
029	0603001A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	65,139	65,139
030	0603002A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,291	67,291
031	0603003A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	88,990	88,990
032	0603004A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,931	57,931
033	0603005A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOL	110,031	110,031
034	0603006A	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	6,883	6,883
035	0603007A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHN	13,580	13,580

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
036	0603008A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,871	44,871
037	0603009A	TRACTOR HIKE	7,492	7,492
038	0603015A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	16,749	16,749
039	0603020A	TRACTOR ROSE	14,483	14,483
041	0603125A	COMBATING TERRORISM - TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	24,270	24,270
042	0603130A	TRACTOR NAIL	3,440	3,440
043	0603131A	TRACTOR EGGS	2,406	2,406
044	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	26,057	26,057
045	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,957	44,957
046	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE	11,105	11,105
047	0603461A	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGR	181,609	181,609
048	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLO	13,074	13,074
049	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,321	7,321
050	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,138	44,138
051	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION	9,197	9,197
052	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	17,613	17,613
053	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TEC	39,164	39,164
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	917,791	917,791
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
054	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	12,797	12,797
055	0603308A	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	13,999	13,999
058	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	29,334	29,334
060	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	9,602	9,602
061	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV	8,953	8,953
062	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	3,052	3,052
063	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY - DEM/VAL	7,830	7,830
065	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,954	2,954
067	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV	13,386	13,386
069	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	23,659	23,659
070	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	6,830	9,830
		Army Requested Realignment - Caliber Config Study		[3,000]
072	0604100A	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	9,913	9,913
073	0604115A	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	74,740	74,740
074	0604120A	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT)	9,930	9,930
076	0604319A	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2-INTER	96,177	71,177
		Schedule Delay		[-25,000]
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PR	323,156	301,156
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
079	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	37,246	37,246
081	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	6,002	6,002
082	0604280A	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	9,832	9,832
083	0604290A	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR)	9,730	9,730
084	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	5,532	5,532
085	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE	19,929	19,929
086	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	27,884	34,586
		Army Requested Realignment		[6,702]
087	0604604A	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	210	210
088	0604611A	JAVELIN	4,166	4,166

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
089	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	12,913	12,913
090	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	16,764	16,764
091	0604641A	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV)	6,770	6,770
092	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV	65,333	65,333
093	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,335	1,335
094	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV	8,945	8,945
096	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE - EN	15,906	15,906
097	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	4,394	4,394
098	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	11,084	11,084
099	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - ENG DEV	10,027	10,027
100	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	42,430	42,430
101	0604798A	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	105,279	105,279
102	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV	15,006	15,006
103	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV	24,581	24,581
104	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG	4,433	4,433
105	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIP	30,397	30,397
106	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV	57,705	57,705
108	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOF	29,683	29,683
109	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	5,224	5,224
111	0604823A	FIREFINDER	37,492	37,492
112	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL	6,157	6,157
113	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS - EMD	1,912	1,912
116	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	69,761	69,761
117	0605018A	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPPS-A)	138,465	138,465
118	0605028A	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV)	92,353	92,353
119	0605030A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	8,440	8,440
120	0605031A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN)	17,999	17,999
121	0605035A	COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM)	145,409	145,409
122	0605350A	WIN-T INCREMENT 3 - FULL NETWORKING	113,210	113,210
123	0605380A	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS)	6,882	6,882
124	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	83,838	83,838
125	0605456A	PAC-3/MSE MISSILE	35,009	35,009
126	0605457A	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD)	142,584	142,584
127	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	49,160	49,160
128	0605626A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	17,748	17,748
129	0605766A	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP)	15,212	15,212
130	0605812A	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND M	45,718	45,718
131	0605830A	AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	10,041	10,041
132	0210609A	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM)	83,300	83,300
133	0303032A	TROJAN - RH12	983	983
134	0304270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	8,961	8,961
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	1,719,374	1,726,076
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
135	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	18,062	18,062
136	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	10,040	10,040
137	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	60,317	60,317
138	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,612	20,612
139	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	176,041	176,041

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
140	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	19,439	19,439
142	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	275,025	275,025
143	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	45,596	45,596
144	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	33,295	33,295
145	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	4,700	4,700
146	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	6,413	6,413
147	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	20,746	20,746
148	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	7,015	7,015
149	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	49,221	49,221
150	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	55,039	55,039
151	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG	1,125	1,125
152	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	64,169	64,169
153	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	32,319	32,319
154	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFET	49,052	49,052
155	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	2,612	2,612
156	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ - R&D	49,592	49,592
		SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,000,430	1,000,430
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
158	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	17,112	17,112
159	0607141A	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	3,654	3,654
160	0607664A	BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY (BEC)	1,332	1,332
161	0607865A	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	152,991	152,991
162	0102419A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	54,076	29,076
		Unobligated Balances		[-25,000]
163	0203726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	22,374	22,374
164	0203728A	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTE	24,371	24,371
165	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	295,177	321,177
		ECP Risk Mitigation		[26,000]
166	0203740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	45,092	45,092
167	0203744A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROG	264,887	264,887
168	0203752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	381	381
169	0203758A	DIGITIZATION	10,912	10,912
170	0203801A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	5,115	5,115
171	0203802A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	49,848	44,848
		Contract delay for ATACMS		[-5,000]
172	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD	22,691	22,691
173	0205402A	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE - OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV	4,364	4,364
174	0205410A	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	834	834
175	0205412A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY - OPERATIONAL SY	280	280
176	0205456A	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM	78,758	78,758
177	0205778A	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS)	45,377	45,377
178	0208053A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	10,209	10,209
181	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	12,525	12,525
182	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	14,175	14,175
183	0303141A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	4,527	4,527
184	0303142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	11,011	11,011
185	0303150A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	2,151	2,151
187	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	22,870	22,870

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
188	0305208A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	20,155	20,155
189	0305219A	MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS	46,472	46,472
191	0305233A	RQ-7 UAV	16,389	16,389
192	0307665A	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	1,974	1,974
193	0310349A	WIN-T INCREMENT 2 - INITIAL NETWORKING	3,249	3,249
194	0708045A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	76,225	76,225
194A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,802	4,802
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,346,360	1,342,360
		TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY	6,593,898	6,574,600
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	113,908	118,908
		DURIP Program Increase		[5,000]
002	0601152N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	18,734	18,734
003	0601153N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	443,697	443,697
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	576,339	581,339
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
004	0602114N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	95,753	95,753
005	0602123N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	139,496	139,496
006	0602131M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	45,831	45,831
007	0602235N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	43,541	43,541
008	0602236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	46,923	46,923
009	0602271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	107,872	107,872
010	0602435N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	45,388	65,388
		Service Life extension for the AGOR ships		[20,000]
011	0602651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	5,887	5,887
012	0602747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	86,880	86,880
013	0602750N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH	170,786	170,786
014	0602782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	32,526	32,526
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	820,883	840,883
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
015	0603114N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,734	37,734
016	0603123N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	25,831	25,831
017	0603271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	64,623	64,623
018	0603640M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	128,397	128,397
019	0603651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,506	11,506
020	0603673N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	256,144	256,144
021	0603729N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,838	4,838
022	0603747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	9,985	9,985
023	0603758N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	53,956	53,956
024	0603782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOL	2,000	2,000
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	595,014	595,014
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
025	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	40,429	40,429
026	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	4,325	4,325
027	0603237N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	2,991	2,991
028	0603251N	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	12,651	12,651

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
029	0603254N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7,782	7,782
030	0603261N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	5,275	5,275
031	0603382N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,646	1,646
032	0603502N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	100,349	100,349
033	0603506N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	52,781	52,781
034	0603512N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	5,959	5,959
035	0603525N	PILOT FISH	148,865	148,865
036	0603527N	RETRACT LARCH	25,365	25,365
037	0603536N	RETRACT JUNIPER	80,477	80,477
038	0603542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	669	669
039	0603553N	SURFACE ASW	1,060	1,060
040	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	70,551	70,551
041	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	8,044	8,044
042	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	17,864	17,864
043	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	23,716	23,716
044	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	499,961	499,961
045	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	21,026	21,026
046	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE	542,700	542,700
047	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	88,734	88,734
048	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	20,881	20,881
049	0603595N	OHIO REPLACEMENT	849,277	849,277
050	0603596N	LCS MISSION MODULES	196,948	196,948
051	0603597N	AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST (ATRT)	8,115	8,115
052	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	7,603	7,603
053	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	105,749	190,849
		Acceleration of the ACV Increment 1.1 Program		[85,100]
054	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	1,342	1,342
055	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	21,399	21,399
056	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	43,578	43,578
057	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	7,764	7,764
058	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	13,200	13,200
059	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	69,415	69,415
060	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	2,588	2,588
061	0603734N	CHALK CORAL	176,301	176,301
062	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	3,873	3,873
063	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE	376,028	376,028
064	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA	272,096	272,096
065	0603751N	RETRACT ELM	42,233	42,233
066	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN	46,504	46,504
067	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES	25,109	25,109
068	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	9,659	9,659
069	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	318	318
070	0603851M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING	40,912	40,912
071	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS - DEM	54,896	27,896
		Program delay		[-27,000]
073	0603925N	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	58,696	58,696
074	0604112N	GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER (CVN 7	43,613	43,613
075	0604122N	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)	21,110	21,110

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
076	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (5,657	5,657
077	0604279N	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION	8,033	8,033
078	0604454N	LX (R)	36,859	36,859
079	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARF	15,227	15,227
081	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/E	22,393	22,393
082	0604786N	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPME	202,939	202,939
083	0605812M	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND M	11,450	11,450
084	0303354N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP	6,495	6,495
085	0304270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT - MIP	332	332
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PR	4,591,812	4,649,912
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
086	0603208N	TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT	25,153	25,153
087	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	46,154	46,154
088	0604214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV	25,372	25,372
089	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	53,712	53,712
090	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	11,434	11,434
091	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	2,164	2,164
092	0604221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	1,710	1,710
093	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	9,094	9,094
094	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	70,248	70,248
095	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	193,200	193,200
096	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES	44,115	44,115
097	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	23,227	23,227
098	0604262N	V-22A	61,249	61,249
099	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	15,014	15,014
100	0604269N	EA-18	18,730	18,730
101	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	28,742	28,742
102	0604273N	EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	388,086	388,086
103	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	246,856	246,856
104	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	7,106	7,106
105	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	189,112	189,112
106	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	376	376
107	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	71,849	71,849
108	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	53,198	53,198
109	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM	38,941	38,941
110	0604376M	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC W	7,832	7,832
111	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL - COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS	15,263	15,263
112	0604404N	UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE	403,017	200,017
		Program Delay		[-203,000]
113	0604501N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	20,409	20,409
114	0604503N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	71,565	71,565
115	0604504N	AIR CONTROL	29,037	29,037
116	0604512N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	122,083	122,083
118	0604522N	ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM	144,706	144,706
119	0604558N	NEW DESIGN SSN	72,695	72,695
120	0604562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	38,985	38,985
121	0604567N	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E	48,470	48,470
122	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	3,935	3,935

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
123	0604580N	VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM)	132,602	132,602
124	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT	19,067	19,067
125	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	25,280	25,280
126	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,985	8,985
127	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTOR	7,669	7,669
128	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	4,400	4,400
129	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	56,889	56,889
130	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	96,937	96,937
131	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	134,564	134,564
132	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	200	200
133	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	8,287	8,287
134	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	29,504	29,504
135	0604800M	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD	513,021	513,021
136	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD	516,456	516,456
137	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,887	2,887
138	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	66,317	66,317
139	0605212N	CH-53K RDTE	573,187	573,187
140	0605220N	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC)	67,815	67,815
141	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	6,300	6,300
142	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	308,037	323,037
		Wideband Communication Development		[15,000]
143	0204202N	DDG-1000	202,522	202,522
144	0304231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM - MIP	1,011	1,011
145	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	10,357	10,357
146	0305124N	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	23,975	23,975
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	5,419,108	5,231,108
		MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
147	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	45,272	45,272
148	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	79,718	79,718
149	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	123,993	123,993
150	0605126N	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	4,960	4,960
151	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY	8,296	8,296
152	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	45,752	45,752
154	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	876	876
155	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	72,070	72,070
156	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,237	3,237
157	0605861N	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	73,033	73,033
158	0605863N	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	138,304	138,304
159	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	336,286	336,286
160	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	16,658	16,658
161	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	2,505	2,505
162	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	8,325	8,325
163	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	17,866	17,866
		SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	977,151	977,151
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
168	0604402N	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COM	35,949	35,949
169	0604766M	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS	215	215
170	0605525N	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY (COD) FOLLOW ON	8,873	8,873

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
172	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	96,943	96,943
173	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	30,057	30,057
174	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	4,509	4,509
175	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	13,676	13,676
176	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	12,480	12,480
177	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	76,216	76,216
179	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	27,281	27,281
180	0204228N	SURFACE SUPPORT	2,878	2,878
181	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER	32,385	32,385
182	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	39,371	39,371
183	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CR	4,609	4,609
184	0204460M	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR)	99,106	89,106
		Unjustified Cost Growth		[-10,000]
185	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	39,922	39,922
186	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,157	1,157
187	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	22,067	22,067
188	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT	17,420	17,420
189	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	151,208	151,208
190	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	26,366	26,366
191	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP	25,952	25,952
192	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	106,936	106,936
194	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	104,023	104,023
195	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	77,398	77,398
196	0206335M	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (CA	32,495	32,495
197	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYST	156,626	156,626
198	0206624M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	20,999	20,999
199	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)	14,179	14,179
200	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	47,258	47,258
201	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	10,210	10,210
206	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	41,829	41,829
207	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (C	22,780	22,780
208	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	23,053	23,053
209	0303150M	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	296	296
212	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (MET	359	359
213	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES	6,166	6,166
214	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,505	8,505
216	0305208M	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	11,613	11,613
217	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	18,146	18,146
218	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV	498,003	530,403
		Triton Sensor Development Acceleration		[32,400]
219	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV	47,294	47,294
220	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV	718	718
221	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV	851	851
222	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	4,813	4,813
223	0305239M	RQ-21A	8,192	8,192
224	0305241N	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	22,559	22,559
225	0305242M	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP)	2,000	2,000
226	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	4,719	4,719

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
227	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	21,168	21,168
228	0708011N	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	37,169	37,169
229	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	4,347	4,347
229A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,162,684	1,162,684
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	3,286,028	3,308,428
		TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY	16,266,335	16,183,835
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	314,482	314,482
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	127,079	127,079
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	12,929	12,929
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	454,490	454,490
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
004	0602102F	MATERIALS	105,680	105,680
005	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	105,747	105,747
006	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	81,957	81,957
007	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	172,550	369,550
		RD-180 Replacement		[220,000]
		Reduction for liquid engine combustion technologies and advanced liquid engine technologies		[-23,000]
008	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS	118,343	118,343
009	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	98,229	98,229
010	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	87,387	87,387
011	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	125,955	125,955
012	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	147,789	147,789
013	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	37,496	37,496
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,081,133	1,278,133
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
014	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	32,177	42,177
		Metals Affordability Initiative		[10,000]
015	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	15,800	15,800
016	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	34,420	34,420
017	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	91,062	91,062
018	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	124,236	124,236
019	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	47,602	47,602
020	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	69,026	69,026
021	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	14,031	14,031
022	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP	21,788	21,788
023	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	42,046	42,046
024	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	23,542	33,542
		Program increase		[10,000]
025	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	42,772	42,772
026	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTR	35,315	35,315
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	593,817	613,817
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
027	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,408	5,408
031	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	6,075	6,075

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
032	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	10,980	10,980
033	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,392	2,392
034	0603791F	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	833	833
035	0603830F	SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM	32,313	32,313
037	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE - DEM/VAL	30,885	30,885
039	0603859F	POLLUTION PREVENTION - DEM/VAL	1,798	1,798
040	0604015F	LONG RANGE STRIKE	913,728	913,728
042	0604317F	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,669	2,669
045	0604422F	WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON	39,901	5,001
		Realigned to DMSP-20 launch		[-34,900]
049	0604800F	F-35 - EMD	4,976	4,976
050	0604857F	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	0	30,000
		ORS Office and ORS-5 Competition Launch		[30,000]
051	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	59,004	59,004
054	0207110F	NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE	15,722	15,722
055	0207455F	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR)	88,825	88,825
056	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT)	156,659	156,659
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PR	1,372,168	1,367,268
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
059	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	13,324	13,324
060	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,965	1,965
061	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	39,110	39,110
062	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,926	3,926
063	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) - EMD	68,759	68,759
064	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	23,746	23,746
065	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	9,462	19,462
		Program increase		[10,000]
066	0604426F	SPACE FENCE	214,131	214,131
067	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	30,687	30,687
068	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	319,501	319,501
069	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	31,112	31,112
070	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS	2,543	2,543
071	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	46,340	46,340
072	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	8,854	8,854
073	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	10,129	10,129
075	0604800F	F-35 - EMD	563,037	563,037
078	0604932F	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	4,938	4,938
079	0604933F	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	59,826	59,826
080	0605030F	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	78	78
081	0605213F	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	173,647	173,647
082	0605214F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	5,332	5,332
083	0605221F	KC-46	776,937	776,937
084	0605223F	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	8,201	8,201
086	0605278F	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	7,497	7,497
087	0605431F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	314,378	314,378
088	0605432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	103,552	103,552
089	0605433F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	31,425	31,425
090	0605458F	AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2 RDT&E	85,938	85,938

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
091	0605931F	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	98,768	98,768
092	0101125F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	198,357	198,357
094	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	8,831	8,831
095	0307581F	NEXTGEN JSTARS	73,088	73,088
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	3,337,419	3,347,419
		MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
097	0604256F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	24,418	24,418
098	0604759F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	47,232	47,232
099	0605101F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	30,443	30,443
101	0605712F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	12,266	12,266
102	0605807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	689,509	689,509
103	0605860F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	34,364	34,364
104	0605864F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	21,161	21,161
105	0605976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION - TEST AND	46,955	46,955
106	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	32,965	32,965
107	0606017F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	13,850	13,850
108	0606116F	SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT	19,512	19,512
110	0606392F	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	181,727	181,727
111	0308602F	ENTEPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS)	4,938	4,938
112	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	18,644	18,644
113	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,425	1,425
114	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,790	3,790
114A	XXXXXXXF	EJECTION SEAT RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0	3,500
		Initial Aircraft Qualification		[3,500]
		SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,183,199	1,186,699
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
115	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL	299,760	299,760
116	0604445F	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	0	2,000
		Implementation of the Secretary's Cruise Missile Defense Program		[2,000]
118	0604618F	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,469	2,469
119	0605018F	AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS)	90,218	90,218
120	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	34,815	34,815
122	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS	55,457	55,457
123	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	450	450
124	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS	5,353	5,353
125	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS	131,580	102,180
		Flexible Strike Execution Delay		[-29,400]
126	0101213F	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	139,109	139,109
127	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM	35,603	35,603
128	0101314F	NIGHT FIST - USSTRATCOM	32	32
130	0102326F	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZA	1,522	1,522
131	0105921F	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM - SPACE ACTIVITIES	3,134	3,134
133	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV	170,396	170,396
136	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS	133,105	133,105
137	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS	261,969	261,969
138	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	14,831	14,831
139	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS	156,962	156,962
140	0207142F	F-35 SQUADRONS	43,666	43,666

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
141	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	29,739	29,739
142	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	82,195	82,195
144	0207171F	F-15 EPAWSS	68,944	53,444
		EPAWSS contract delays		[-15,500]
145	0207224F	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	5,095	5,095
146	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE - PARARESCUE	883	883
147	0207247F	AF TENCAP	5,812	15,812
		Program increase		[10,000]
148	0207249F	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,081	1,081
149	0207253F	COMPASS CALL	14,411	14,411
150	0207268F	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	109,664	109,664
151	0207325F	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	15,897	15,897
152	0207410F	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	41,066	41,066
153	0207412F	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	552	552
154	0207417F	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	180,804	180,804
155	0207418F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	3,754	3,754
157	0207431F	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	7,891	7,891
158	0207444F	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD	5,891	5,891
159	0207448F	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,782	1,782
161	0207452F	DCAPES	821	821
163	0207590F	SEEK EAGLE	23,844	23,844
164	0207601F	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	16,723	16,723
165	0207605F	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	5,956	5,956
166	0207697F	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	4,457	4,457
167	0208006F	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	60,679	60,679
169	0208059F	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES	67,057	67,057
170	0208087F	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	13,355	13,355
171	0208088F	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	5,576	5,576
179	0301400F	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	12,218	12,218
180	0302015F	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	28,778	28,778
181	0303131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET	81,035	81,035
182	0303140F	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	70,497	70,497
183	0303141F	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	692	692
185	0303601F	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	55,208	55,208
187	0304260F	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	106,786	106,786
190	0305099F	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	4,157	4,157
193	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	20,806	20,806
194	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE	25,102	25,102
195	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (23,516	23,516
196	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS	8,639	8,639
199	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	498	498
200	0305145F	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION	13,222	13,222
201	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	360	360
206	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,674	3,674
207	0305174F	SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOG	2,480	2,480
208	0305179F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS)	8,592	8,592
209	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	13,462	13,462
210	0305202F	DRAGON U-2	5,511	5,511

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
212	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS Per Air Force UFR	28,113	38,113 [10,000]
213	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	13,516	13,516
214	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	27,265	27,265
215	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	1,378	1,378
216	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV	244,514	244,514
217	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING	11,096	11,096
218	0305236F	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL)	36,137	36,137
219	0305238F	NATO AGS	232,851	232,851
220	0305240F	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	20,218	20,218
221	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	212,571	212,571
222	0305614F	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	73,779	73,779
223	0305881F	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	4,102	4,102
225	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	20,468	20,468
226	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	11,596	11,596
227	0306250F	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	4,938	4,938
228	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	1,212	1,212
230	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF)	38,773	38,773
231	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF)	83,773	83,773
232	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM	26,715	26,715
233	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	5,172	5,172
234	0401219F	KC-10S	2,714	2,714
235	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	27,784	27,784
236	0401318F	CV-22	38,719	38,719
237	0401319F	PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR)	11,006	11,006
238	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	8,405	8,405
239	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,407	1,407
241	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	109,685	109,685
242	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,209	16,209
243	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	987	987
244	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	126	126
245	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	2,603	2,603
246	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	1,589	1,589
247	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	5,026	5,026
248	0901226F	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	1,394	1,394
249	0901279F	FACILITIES OPERATION - ADMINISTRATIVE	3,798	3,798
250	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELO	107,314	107,314
250A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	11,441,120	11,363,920
		Classified program increase		[25,000]
		Classified program reduction		[-2,900]
		Classified program reduction		[-99,300]
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	15,717,666	15,617,566
		TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF	23,739,892	23,865,392
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE	37,778	37,778
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	312,146	312,146

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
003	0601110D8Z	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	44,564	34,564
		National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship program		[-10,000]
004	0601117E	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE	49,848	49,848
005	0601120D8Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	45,488	55,488
		Pre-Kindergarten to 12th Grade STEM Programs		[10,000]
006	0601228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORIT	24,412	24,412
007	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	48,261	48,261
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	562,497	562,497
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
008	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	20,065	20,065
009	0602115E	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	112,242	112,242
011	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	51,875	51,875
012	0602251D8Z	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORI	41,965	41,965
013	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	334,407	334,407
015	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	44,825	44,825
016	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	226,317	226,317
018	0602668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	15,000	15,000
020	0602702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	305,484	305,484
021	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	160,389	160,389
022	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	179,203	179,203
023	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	151,737	151,737
024	0602751D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARC	9,156	9,156
025	1160401BB	SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	39,750	39,750
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,692,415	1,692,415
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
026	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	26,688	26,688
027	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	8,682	8,682
028	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	69,675	89,675
		Program Emphasis for CT and Irregular Warfare Programs		[20,000]
029	0603133D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	30,000	24,000
		Program decrease		[-6,000]
030	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES - PROLIFERATION PRE	283,694	283,694
032	0603176C	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	8,470	8,470
033	0603177C	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	45,110	45,110
034	0603178C	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	14,068	27,416
		MDA DE Ballistic Missile Kill Capability Development		[13,348]
035	0603179C	ADVANCED C4ISR	15,329	15,329
036	0603180C	ADVANCED RESEARCH	16,584	16,584
037	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	19,335	19,335
038	0603264S	AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21) - T	2,544	2,544
039	0603274C	SPECIAL PROGRAM - MDA TECHNOLOGY	51,033	51,033
040	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	129,723	129,723
041	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	179,883	179,883
042	0603288D8Z	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS	12,000	12,000
043	0603289D8Z	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS	60,000	60,000
044	0603294C	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	25,639	25,639

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
045	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCE	132,674	132,674
046	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	10,965	10,965
047	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	131,960	121,960
		Program decrease		[-10,000]
052	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLO	91,095	91,095
053	0603699D8Z	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	33,706	33,706
054	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	16,836	16,836
055	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY	29,683	29,683
056	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	57,796	57,796
057	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUP	72,144	72,144
058	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	7,405	7,405
059	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	92,246	92,246
060	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	243,265	243,265
062	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	386,926	386,926
063	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	312,821	312,821
064	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP	10,692	10,692
065	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	15,776	15,776
066	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	69,319	64,319
		Program decrease		[-5,000]
068	0603832D8Z	DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	3,000	3,000
071	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	81,148	81,148
072	0604055D8Z	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT	31,800	31,800
073	0303310D8Z	CWMD SYSTEMS	46,066	46,066
074	1160402BB	SOF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	57,622	57,622
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,933,402	2,945,750
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES		
077	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPM	41,072	41,072
079	0603600D8Z	WALKOFF	90,558	90,558
080	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM	15,518	15,518
081	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PRO	51,462	51,462
082	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	299,598	299,598
083	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	1,003,768	1,043,768
		BMD program increase		[40,000]
084	0603884BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - DEM/VAL	179,236	179,236
085	0603884C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	392,893	392,893
086	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS	410,863	410,863
087	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA	310,261	310,261
088	0603892C	AEGIS BMD	929,208	929,208
089	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	31,346	31,346
090	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	6,389	6,389
091	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BAT	443,484	443,484
092	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	46,387	46,387
093	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (M	58,530	58,530
094	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH	16,199	16,199
095	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	64,409	64,409
096	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	96,803	268,803
		Program Increase for Israeli Cooperative Programs		[172,000]
097	0603914C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST	386,482	386,482

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
098	0603915C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS	485,294	485,294
099	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	10,194	10,194
100	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE	10,139	10,139
101	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	2,907	2,907
102	0604250D8Z	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	190,000	170,000
		Program decrease		[-20,000]
103	0604400D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SY	3,702	3,702
104	0604445J	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	53,000	53,000
107	0604787J	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	7,002	7,002
108	0604828J	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM	7,102	7,102
109	0604880C	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3)	123,444	123,444
110	0604881C	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	263,695	263,695
113	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	12,500	12,500
114	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	2,656	2,656
115	0305103C	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	961	961
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND	6,047,062	6,239,062
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION		
116	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPM	7,936	7,936
117	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	70,762	70,762
118	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD	345,883	345,883
119	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)	25,459	25,459
120	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTID	17,562	17,562
121	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	6,887	6,887
122	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	12,530	12,530
123	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	286	286
124	0605022D8Z	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM	3,244	3,244
125	0605027D8Z	OUS(D) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	6,500	6,500
126	0605070S	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTR	15,326	15,326
127	0605075D8Z	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION	19,351	19,351
128	0605080S	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI) - FINANCIAL SYSTEM	41,465	41,465
129	0605090S	DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS)	10,135	10,135
130	0605210D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES	9,546	9,546
131	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	14,241	14,241
132	0305304D8Z	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (E	3,660	3,660
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATIO	610,773	610,773
		MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
133	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	5,616	5,616
134	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	3,092	3,092
135	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPME	254,503	254,503
136	0604942D8Z	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	21,661	21,661
138	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	27,162	27,162
139	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	24,501	24,501
142	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATIO	43,176	43,176
145	0605142D8Z	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	44,246	44,246
146	0605151D8Z	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - OSD	2,665	2,665
147	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY	4,366	4,366
148	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	27,901	27,901
149	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	2,855	2,855

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
150	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	105,944	105,944
156	0605502KA	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH	400	400
159	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BU	1,634	1,634
160	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	12,105	12,105
161	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	50,389	50,389
162	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVAL	8,452	8,452
163	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	15,187	19,187
		Program increase		[4,000]
164	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ - R&D	71,362	71,362
165	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	4,100	4,100
166	0203345D8Z	DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI)	1,956	1,956
167	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	10,321	10,321
170	0303166J	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES	11,552	11,552
172	0305193D8Z	CYBER INTELLIGENCE	6,748	6,748
174	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFOR	44,005	44,005
175	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ - MDA	36,998	36,998
176	0901598D8W	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WHS	612	612
177A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	44,367	44,367
		SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	887,876	891,876
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT		
178	0604130V	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)	3,988	3,988
179	0605127T	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERS	1,750	1,750
180	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMAT	286	286
181	0607210D8Z	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	14,778	14,778
182	0607310D8Z	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,953	2,953
183	0607327T	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT I	10,350	10,350
184	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTE	28,496	28,496
185	0607828J	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	11,968	11,968
186	0208043J	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS)	1,842	1,842
187	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	63,558	63,558
189	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	3,931	3,931
193	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	924	924
194	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGR	9,657	9,657
195	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS - DCS	25,355	25,355
196	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET	12,671	12,671
197	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	222	222
198	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	32,698	32,698
199	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	11,304	11,304
200	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	125,854	145,854
		Accelerate SHARKSEER deployment		[20,000]
202	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	33,793	33,793
203	0303153K	DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION	13,423	13,423
204	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,774	3,774
205	0303260D8Z	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO)	951	951
206	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM	2,697	2,697
208	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	19,294	19,294
212	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	3,234	3,234
213	0305125D8Z	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	8,846	8,846

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
217	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	7,065	7,065
218	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY	23,984	23,984
221	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,286	5,286
224	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,400	3,400
229	0305327V	INSIDER THREAT	8,670	8,670
230	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,110	2,110
239	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	22,366	22,366
240	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	1,574	1,574
241	0902298J	MANAGEMENT HQ - OJCS	4,409	4,409
242	1105219BB	MQ-9 UAV	9,702	9,702
243	1105232BB	RQ-11 UAV	259	259
245	1160403BB	AVIATION SYSTEMS	164,233	164,233
247	1160405BB	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	9,490	9,490
248	1160408BB	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	75,253	75,253
252	1160431BB	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	24,661	24,661
253	1160432BB	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	20,908	20,908
259	1160480BB	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,672	3,672
262	1160483BB	MARITIME SYSTEMS	57,905	57,905
264	1160489BB	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	3,788	3,788
265	1160490BB	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	16,225	16,225
265A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,118,502	3,113,502
		Classified adjustment		[-5,000]
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	4,032,059	4,047,059
		TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW	16,766,084	16,989,432
		OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
001	0605118OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	74,583	74,583
002	0605131OTE	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION	45,142	45,142
003	0605814OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	48,013	53,013
		Information Assurance Testing and Exercises		[5,000]
		SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	167,738	172,738
		TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE	167,738	172,738
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	63,533,947	63,785,997

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	969,281	1,069,281
	Restore Critical Operations Tempo		[100,000]
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	61,990	61,990
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	450,987	450,487
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	545,773	543,773
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,057,453	1,046,453
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-10,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
060	AVIATION ASSETS	1,409,347	1,509,347
	Restore Critical Aviation Readiness		[100,000]
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,592,334	3,567,334
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-19,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-5,500]
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	411,388	411,388
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,001,232	1,100,732
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance		[100,000]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,428,972	7,346,972
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-27,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-55,000]
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	2,066,434	1,976,434
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-7,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-58,000]
	Transfer to Arlington National Cemetery		[-25,000]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	411,863	411,363
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	179,399	178,899
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
170	COMBATANT COMMANDS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	432,281	429,781
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	20,018,734	20,104,234
MOBILIZATION			

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
(In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	316,776	315,776
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	187,609	186,109
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	6,463	86,463
	Industrial Base Initiative-Body Armor		[80,000]
	SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION	510,848	588,348
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	124,766	123,766
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	51,968	51,468
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	43,735	43,735
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	456,563	456,063
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	886,529	876,029
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-8,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,000]
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	890,070	890,070
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	193,291	190,291
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	552,359	551,359
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	466,927	461,427
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-5,500]
300	EXAMINING	194,588	194,588
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	205,782	197,782
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-8,000]
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	150,571	149,071
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
330	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS	169,784	162,784
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-7,000]
	SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING	4,386,933	4,348,433
	ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES		
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	541,877	541,877
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	722,291	722,291
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	602,034	604,034
	Corrosion Mitigation Activities		[5,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
(In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	422,277	419,777
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,000]
390	ADMINISTRATION	405,442	404,942
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,624,742	1,622,742
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,500]
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	289,771	289,271
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	390,924	385,424
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-5,500]
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,118,540	1,117,040
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	241,234	239,734
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	243,509	242,509
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
460	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS	200,615	199,115
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
470	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS	462,591	462,091
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
480	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	27,375	27,375
520A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,030,411	1,029,411
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES	8,323,633	8,307,633
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
530	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-516,200
	Civilian personnel underexecution		[-80,000]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-48,900]
	Unobligated balances		[-387,300]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-516,200
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	33,240,148	32,832,448
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES		
	OPERATING FORCES		
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	15,200	15,200
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	502,664	532,164
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Restore Critical Operations Tempo		[30,000]
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	107,489	107,489
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	543,989	543,989
060	AVIATION ASSETS	72,963	72,963

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	360,082	358,082
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	72,491	72,491
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	58,873	93,873
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance		[35,000]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	388,961	386,461
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	228,597	219,097
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-9,000]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	39,590	39,590
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	2,390,899	2,441,399
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	10,608	10,608
140	ADMINISTRATION	18,587	18,587
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	6,681	6,681
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	9,192	9,192
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	54,602	54,102
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	99,670	99,170
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
180	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-38,700
	Unobligated balances		[-38,700]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-38,700
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES	2,490,569	2,501,869
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	MANEUVER UNITS	660,648	740,148
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Restore Critical Operations Tempo		[80,000]
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	165,942	165,942
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	733,800	733,800
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	83,084	83,084
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	22,005	22,005
060	AVIATION ASSETS	920,085	920,085
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	680,887	673,887
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-5,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,000]
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	69,726	69,726
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	138,263	136,263
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,500]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	804,517	792,017
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-10,000]
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	490,205	471,705
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-18,500]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	872,140	871,140
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	5,641,302	5,679,802
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	6,690	6,690
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	1,765	1,765
150	ADMINISTRATION	63,075	63,075
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	37,372	37,372
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	6,484	6,484
180	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	274,085	269,585
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-4,500]
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	389,471	384,971
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
190	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-72,400
	Unobligated balances		[-72,400]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-72,400
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG	6,030,773	5,992,373
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,947,202	5,002,202
	FHP Unit Level Maintenance		[56,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,647,943	1,659,443
	FHP Unit Level Maintenance		[12,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	37,050	37,050
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	96,139	95,639
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	363,763	362,763
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	814,770	935,870
	Aviation Depot Maintenance		[111,000]
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[10,100]
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	36,494	36,494
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS	350,641	473,141
	Aviation Logistics		[123,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,865,379	3,959,879
	Joint High Speed Vessel Operations		[10,000]
	CLF steaming days		[13,000]
	Corrosion Mitigation Activities		[5,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-5,500]
	T-AKEs to Full Operational Status		[72,000]
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	711,243	709,743
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,296,408	5,327,608
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[33,700]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,339,077	1,335,877
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[300]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-3,500]
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	708,634	706,634
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	91,599	91,099
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	207,038	206,538
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
160	WARFARE TACTICS	432,715	431,715
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	338,116	337,616
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	892,316	891,316
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	128,486	128,486
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,472	2,472
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	101,200	100,700
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	188,920	186,420
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]
230	CRUISE MISSILE	109,911	109,911
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,172,823	1,172,823
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	104,139	104,139
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	490,911	490,411
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	324,861	323,861
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
290	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	936,743	934,243
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
300	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	1,483,495	1,422,995
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-60,500]
310	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,398,667	4,364,167
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-34,500]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	31,619,155	31,941,255
	MOBILIZATION		
320	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	526,926	526,926
330	READY RESERVE FORCE	195	195
340	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,704	6,704
350	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	251,538	205,538
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[-46,000]
360	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS	124,323	124,323
370	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,323	2,323
380	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	20,333	20,333
	SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION	932,342	886,342
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
390	OFFICER ACQUISITION	156,214	155,714
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
400	RECRUIT TRAINING	8,863	8,963
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[100]
410	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	148,150	148,150
420	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	601,501	604,201
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[7,200]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-4,500]
430	FLIGHT TRAINING	8,239	8,239
440	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	164,214	165,362
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[1,000]
	Naval Sea Cadets		[1,148]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
450	TRAINING SUPPORT	182,619	183,019
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[900]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
460	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	230,589	230,089
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
470	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	115,595	114,095
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
480	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	79,606	79,106
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
490	JUNIOR ROTC	41,664	39,664
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
	SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING	1,737,254	1,736,602
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
500	ADMINISTRATION	858,871	852,871
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-6,000]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
510	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	12,807	12,807
520	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	119,863	119,863
530	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	356,113	353,013
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[900]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-4,000]
540	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	255,605	255,105
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
550	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	339,802	337,802
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
570	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	172,203	172,203
590	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	283,621	282,621
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
600	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,111,464	1,110,464
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
610	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	43,232	43,232
620	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	25,689	25,689
630	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	73,159	72,659
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
640	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	548,640	548,140
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
700	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	4,713	4,713
720A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	531,324	530,324
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	4,737,106	4,721,506
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
730	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-402,900
	Civilian personnel underexecution		[-80,000]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-74,200]
	Unobligated balances		[-248,700]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-402,900
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	39,025,857	38,882,805
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	905,744	944,044
	Corrosion Mitigation Activities		[5,000]
	Crisis Response Operations Unfunded Requirement		[33,800]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	921,543	920,543
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
(In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	229,058	280,058
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance		[51,000]
040	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	87,660	87,660
050	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	573,926	556,926
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-16,000]
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,983,118	1,977,618
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-4,000]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	4,701,049	4,766,849
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
070	RECRUIT TRAINING	18,227	18,227
080	OFFICER ACQUISITION	948	948
090	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	98,448	98,448
100	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	42,305	42,305
110	TRAINING SUPPORT	330,156	328,156
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,500]
120	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	161,752	161,752
130	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	19,137	18,637
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
140	JUNIOR ROTC	23,277	23,277
	SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING	694,250	691,750
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	36,359	36,359
160	ADMINISTRATION	362,608	352,508
	Marine Museum Unjustified Growth		[-9,100]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	70,515	70,515
180A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	44,706	44,706
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	514,188	504,088
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
190	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-109,900
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-28,400]
	Unobligated balances		[-81,500]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-109,900
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	5,909,487	5,852,787
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	565,842	573,742
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[7,900]
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	5,948	5,948
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	82,636	84,936
	CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[2,300]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	353	353
060	AVIATION LOGISTICS	7,007	7,007
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	8,190	8,190
080	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	556	556
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,571	4,571
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	14,472	14,472
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	119,056	119,056
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	1,852	1,852
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	25,354	25,354
140	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	48,271	46,271
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,000]
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	101,921	101,421
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	986,029	993,729
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
160	ADMINISTRATION	1,520	1,520
170	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	12,998	12,998
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,395	3,395
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,158	3,158
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	21,071	21,071
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
210	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-10,500
	Unobligated balances		[-10,500]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-10,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES	1,007,100	1,004,300
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	OPERATING FORCES	93,093	93,093
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	18,377	18,377
030	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	29,232	27,732
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,500]
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	106,447	105,447
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	247,149	244,649
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
050	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	914	914
060	ADMINISTRATION	11,831	11,831
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,688	8,688
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	21,433	21,433
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
080	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-100
	Unobligated balances		[-100]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-100
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE	268,582	265,982
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE		

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
OPERATING FORCES			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,163,457	3,256,557
	Corrosion Prevention		[5,000]
	Cyber Weapon System Ops		[50,000]
	Cyberspace Defense Weapon System and Cyber Mission Forces		[30,000]
	Nuclear Force Improvement Program - Security Forces		[8,600]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,694,339	1,686,339
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-8,000]
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	1,579,178	1,574,678
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,500]
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,119,522	6,111,522
	RC/OC-135 Contractor Logistics Support Unjustified Growth		[-8,000]
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,453,589	1,447,989
	Nuclear Force Improvement Program - Installation Surety		[3,400]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-9,000]
060	BASE SUPPORT	2,599,419	2,587,419
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-10,000]
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	908,790	905,290
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,000]
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	856,306	862,906
	Nuclear Force Improvement Program - ICBM Training Hardware		[9,600]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-3,000]
090	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	800,689	800,189
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
100	LAUNCH FACILITIES	282,710	282,710
110	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	397,818	397,318
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
120	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	871,840	884,440
	PACOM Prepositioned Munition Shortfall Mitigation		[19,100]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-6,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	237,348	237,348
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	20,965,005	21,034,705
MOBILIZATION			
140	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	1,968,810	1,966,310
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,500]
150	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	139,743	139,243
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
160	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,534,560	1,534,560

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
170	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	173,627	171,627
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,000]
180	BASE SUPPORT	688,801	686,301
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,000]
	SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION	4,505,541	4,498,041
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
190	OFFICER ACQUISITION	82,396	82,396
200	RECRUIT TRAINING	19,852	19,852
210	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	76,134	73,134
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-3,000]
220	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	212,226	208,726
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-3,500]
230	BASE SUPPORT	759,809	754,309
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-4,500]
240	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	356,157	356,157
250	FLIGHT TRAINING	697,594	694,594
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,500]
260	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	219,441	218,441
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
270	TRAINING SUPPORT	91,001	91,001
280	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	316,688	316,688
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	73,920	73,920
300	EXAMINING	3,121	3,121
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	181,718	174,218
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-7,500]
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	147,667	147,167
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
330	JUNIOR ROTC	63,250	60,250
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-3,000]
	SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING	3,300,974	3,273,974
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,003,513	1,044,013
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
	SDT Program		[41,000]
350	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	843,449	841,449
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
360	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	78,126	78,126
370	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	247,677	244,177
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-3,500]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
380	BASE SUPPORT	1,103,442	1,096,442
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-5,500]
390	ADMINISTRATION	597,234	596,234
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	506,840	506,840
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	892,256	889,256
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
420	CIVIL AIR PATROL	24,981	24,981
450	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	92,419	91,919
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
450A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,169,736	1,159,236
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-9,500]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
	SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	6,559,673	6,572,673
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
460	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-242,900
	Civilian personnel underexecution		[-80,000]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-51,900]
	Readiness Support		[221,500]
	Unobligated balances		[-332,500]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-242,900
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	35,331,193	35,136,493
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,719,467	1,719,467
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	211,132	211,132
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	530,301	530,301
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	85,672	84,672
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
050	BASE SUPPORT	367,966	365,466
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-2,500]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	2,914,538	2,911,038
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	59,899	59,899
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,509	14,509
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC)	20,345	20,345
090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP)	6,551	6,551
	SUBTOTAL, ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	101,304	101,304
	UNDISTRIBUTED		

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
110	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-13,400
	Unobligated balances		[-13,400]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-13,400
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE	3,015,842	2,998,942
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,367,729	3,366,729
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	718,295	717,295
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,528,695	1,528,695
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	137,604	133,604
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-4,000]
050	BASE SUPPORT	581,536	569,036
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-12,500]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	6,333,859	6,315,359
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	27,812	27,812
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	31,188	30,688
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	SUBTOTAL, ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES	59,000	58,500
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
080	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-800
	Unobligated balances		[-800]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-800
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG	6,392,859	6,373,059
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	462,107	460,607
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES	4,762,245	4,707,945
	MSV - USSOCOM Maritime Support Vessel		[-20,300]
	NCR - USSOCOM National Capitol Region Office		[-5,000]
	POTFF - Human Performance		[-23,300]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-26,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-5,000]
	RSCC - Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers		[-3,600]
	USSOCOM Flight Operations (Flight Hours)		[31,460]
	USSOCOM Joint Special Operations University		[-2,560]
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES	5,224,352	5,168,552
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
030	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	135,437	135,437
040	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	80,082	80,082

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
050	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/TRAINING AND RECRUITING	371,620	371,620
	SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING	587,139	587,139
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	119,888	140,888
	STARBASE		[21,000]
080	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	556,493	556,493
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,340,374	1,339,874
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
100	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	633,300	613,300
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-20,000]
110	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,263,678	1,258,678
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-4,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-1,000]
130	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	26,710	26,710
140	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	381,470	380,470
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
150	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	194,520	193,020
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,500]
160	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE	21,485	21,485
170	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	544,786	523,786
	Global Security Contingency Fund		[-30,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
	Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership For Peace		[10,000]
180	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	527,812	527,312
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	32,787	32,787
230	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,566,424	2,551,924
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-6,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-8,500]
240	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	416,644	415,144
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-500]
260	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	186,987	106,391
	Office of Economic Adjustment		[-80,596]
265	OFFICE OF NET ASSESSMENT	0	18,944
	Program Increase		[10,000]
	Transfer from line 270		[8,944]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,891,163	1,790,419
	BRAC 2015 Round Planning and Analyses		[-4,800]
	Corrosion Prevention Program Office		[5,000]
	DOD Rewards Program Underexecution		[-4,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-49,500]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-2,000]
	Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance		[-36,500]
	Transfer funding for Office of Net Assessment to new line 265		[-8,944]
280	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/ADMIN & SVC-WIDE ACTIVITIES	87,915	87,915
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	610,982	609,982
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-1,000]
290A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	13,983,323	13,987,323
	Classified adjustment		[10,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-6,000]
	SUBTOTAL, ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	25,386,741	25,182,845
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
300	UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-280,400
	Civilian personnel underexecution		[-75,000]
	Foreign Currency adjustments		[-17,500]
	Impact Aid		[25,000]
	Unobligated balances		[-212,900]
	SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	0	-280,400
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	31,198,232	30,658,136
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
010	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE	13,723	13,723
020	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID	100,000	104,500
	Humanitarian Mine Action		[5,000]
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
030	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	365,108	354,608
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-10,500]
040	ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD	212,875	209,375
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-3,500]
050	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	201,560	201,560
060	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	277,294	277,294
070	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	408,716	408,716
080	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	8,547	8,547
090	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	208,353	208,353
100	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	5,000	0
	Program decrease		[-5,000]
110	SUPPORT OF INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS, DEFENSE	10,000	5,200
	Reduction in contracts for Other Services		[-500]
	Unjustified program increase		[-4,300]

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
(In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	1,811,176	1,791,876
	TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	1,811,176	1,791,876
	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	165,721,818	164,291,070

TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
Military Personnel Appropriations	128,957,593	128,986,823
CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[48,000]
Foreign Currency adjustments		[-193,200]
Recalculation from CPI-1 to CPI		[534,900]
Unobligated balances		[-360,470]
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions	6,236,092	6,237,092
CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH)		[1,000]

TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program Title	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY		
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS	13,727	13,727
TOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY	13,727	13,727
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE		
SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS (MEDICAL/DENTAL)	61,717	61,717
TOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	61,717	61,717
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	44,293	44,293
TOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE	44,293	44,293
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA		
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,114,731	1,214,731
Working Capital Fund, DECA		[100,000]
TOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,114,731	1,214,731
CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION		
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	222,728	222,728
RDT&E	595,913	595,913
PROCUREMENT	10,227	10,227
TOTAL, CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION	828,868	828,868
DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF		
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	719,096	719,096
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM	101,591	101,591
TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF	820,687	820,687
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	310,830	310,830
PROCUREMENT	1,000	1,000
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	311,830	311,830
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
IN-HOUSE CARE	8,799,086	8,884,386
Implementation of Benefit Reform Proposal		[-30,000]
Restoration of MHS Modernization		[92,000]
USSOCOM Behavioral Health and Warrior Care Management Program		[23,300]
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	15,412,599	15,354,599
Implementation of Benefit Reform Proposal		[-58,000]
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,462,096	2,462,096
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,557,347	1,557,347
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	366,223	366,223
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	750,866	750,866
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	1,683,694	1,683,694

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS		
(In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program Title	FY 2015 Request	House Authorized
R&D RESEARCH	10,317	20,317
Surgical Critical Care Research		[10,000]
R&D EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	49,015	49,015
R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	226,410	226,410
R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	97,787	97,787
R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT	217,898	217,898
R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT	38,075	38,075
R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT	15,092	15,092
PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING	13,057	13,057
PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION	283,030	283,030
PROC THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM	3,145	3,145
PROC IEHR	9,181	9,181
UNDISTRIBUTED	-161,857	-586,557
Foreign Currency adjustments		[-13,100]
Unobligated balances		[-411,600]
TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	31,833,061	31,445,661
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	35,028,914	34,741,514

TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
	CALIFORNIA			
Army	Concord	Access Control Point	9,900	9,900
Army	Concord	General Purpose Maintenance Shop	5,300	5,300
Army	Fort Irwin	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar	45,000	45,000
	COLORADO			
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	60,000	60,000
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar	29,000	29,000
	GUANTANAMO BAY			
Army	Guantanamo Bay	High Value Detainee Complex	0	69,000
	GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA			
Army	Guantanamo Bay	Dining Facility	12,000	12,000
Army	Guantanamo Bay	Health Clinic	11,800	11,800
	HAWAII			
Army	Fort Shafter	Command and Control Facility (SCIF)	96,000	83,000
	JAPAN			
Army	Kadena AB	Missile Magazine	10,600	10,600
	KENTUCKY			
Army	Blue Grass Army Depot	Shipping and Receiving Building	0	15,000
Army	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar	23,000	23,000
	NEW YORK			
Army	Fort Drum, New York	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar	27,000	27,000
Army	U.S. Military Academy	Cadet Barracks, Incr 3	58,000	58,000
	PENNSYLVANIA			
Army	Letterkenny Army Depot	Rebuild Shop	16,000	16,000
	SOUTH CAROLINA			
Army	Fort Jackson	Trainee Barracks Complex 3, Ph1	52,000	52,000
	Texas			
Army	Fort Hood	Simulations Center	0	46,000
	VIRGINIA			
Army	Fort Lee	Adv. Individual Training Barracks Complex, Phase 3	0	86,000
Army	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	Tactical Vehicle Hardstand	7,700	7,700
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Host Nation Support FY15	33,000	33,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Minor Construction FY15	25,000	25,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design FY15	18,127	18,127
Total Military Construction, Army			539,427	742,427
ARIZONA				
Navy	Yuma	Aviation Maintenance and Support Complex	16,608	16,608
BAHRAIN ISLAND				
Navy	SW Asia	P-8A Hangar	27,826	27,826
CALIFORNIA				
Navy	Bridgeport	E-LMR Communications Towers	16,180	16,180
Navy	San Diego	Steam Distribution System Decentralization	47,110	47,110
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
Navy	District Of Columbia	Electronics Science and Technology Laboratory	31,735	31,735
DJIBOUTI				
Navy	Camp Lemonier, Djibouti	Entry Control Point	9,923	9,923
FLORIDA				
Navy	Jacksonville	MH60 Parking Apron	8,583	8,583
Navy	Jacksonville	P-8A Runway Thresholds and Taxiways	21,652	21,652
Navy	Mayport	LCS Operational Training Facility	20,520	20,520
GUAM				
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	GSE Shops at North Ramp	21,880	21,880
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	MWSS Facilities at North Ramp	28,771	28,771
HAWAII				
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Facility Modifications for VMU, MWSD, & CH53E	51,182	51,182
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Road and Infrastructure Improvements	2,200	2,200
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Submarine Maneuvering Room Trainer Facility	9,698	9,698
JAPAN				
Navy	Iwakuni	Security Mods DPRI MC167-T (CVW-5 E2D EA-18G)	6,415	6,415
Navy	Kadena AB	Aircraft Maint Hangar Alterations and SAP-F	19,411	19,411
Navy	MCAS Futenma	Hangar & Rinse Facility Modernizations	4,639	4,639
Navy	Okinawa	LHD Practice Site Improvements	35,685	35,685
MARYLAND				
Navy	Annapolis	Center for Cyber Security Studies Building	120,112	100,112
Navy	Indian Head	Advanced Energetics Research Lab Complex Ph 2	15,346	15,346
Navy	Patuxent River	Atlantic Test Range Facility	9,860	9,860
NEVADA				
Navy	Fallon	Air Wing Training Facility	27,763	27,763
Navy	Fallon	Facility Alteration for F-35 Training Mission	3,499	3,499
NORTH CAROLINA				
Navy	Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station	Water Treatment Plant Replacement	41,588	41,588
PENNSYLVANIA				

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Navy	Philadelphia	Ohio Replacement Power & Propulsion Facility	23,985	23,985
	SOUTH CAROLINA			
Navy	Charleston	Nuclear Power Operational Support Facility	35,716	35,716
	SPAIN			
Navy	Rota	Ship Berthing Power Upgrades	20,233	20,233
	VIRGINIA			
Navy	Dahlgren	Missile Support Facility	27,313	27,313
Navy	Norfolk	EOD Consolidated Ops & Logistics Facilities	39,274	39,274
Navy	Portsmouth	Submarine Maintenance Facility	9,743	9,743
Navy	Quantico	Ammunition Supply Point Expansion	12,613	12,613
Navy	Yorktown	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	19,152	19,152
Navy	Yorktown	FAST Company Training Facility	7,836	7,836
	WASHINGTON			
Navy	Bremerton	Integrated Water Treatment Syst. DD 1, 2, & 5	16,401	16,401
Navy	Kitsap	Explosives Handling Wharf #2 (INC)	83,778	83,778
Navy	Port Angeles	TPS Port Angeles Forward Operating Location	20,638	20,638
Navy	Whidbey Island	P-8A Aircraft Apron and Supporting Facilities	24,390	24,390
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
Navy	Unspecified	F-35C Facility Addition and Modification	16,594	16,594
	Worldwide Locations			
Navy	Unspecified	F-35C Operational Training Facility	22,391	22,391
	Worldwide Locations			
Navy	Unspecified	MCON Design Funds	33,366	33,366
	Worldwide Locations			
Navy	Unspecified	Unspecified Minor Construction	7,163	7,163
	Worldwide Locations			
Total Military Construction, Navy			1,018,772	998,772
	ALASKA			
AF	Clear AFS	Emergency Power Plant Fuel Storage	11,500	11,500
	ARIZONA			
AF	Luke AFB	F-35 Aircraft Mx Hangar - Sqdn #2	11,200	11,200
AF	Luke AFB	F-35 Flightline Fillstands	15,600	15,600
	GUAM			
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Guam Strike Fuel Systems Maint. Hangar Inc 2	64,000	64,000
AF	Joint Region Marianas	PRTC - Combat Comm Infrastr Facility	3,750	3,750
AF	Joint Region Marianas	PRTC - RED HORSE Logistics Facility	3,150	3,150
AF	Joint Region Marianas	PRTC - Satellite Fire Station	6,500	6,500
	KANSAS			
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46A ADAL Mobility Bag Strg Expansion	2,300	2,300
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46A ADAL Regional Mx Tng Facility	16,100	16,100
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Alter Composite Mx Shop	4,100	4,100
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Alter Taxiway Foxtrot	5,500	5,500
AF	McConnell AFB	KC-46A Fuselage Trainer	6,400	6,400
	MARYLAND			

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
AF	Fort Meade	CYBERCOM Joint Operations Center, Increment 2	166,000	166,000
AF	MASSACHUSETTS Hanscom AFB	Dormitory (72 RM)	13,500	13,500
AF	NEBRASKA Offutt AFB	USSTRATCOM Replacement Facility- Incr 4	180,000	180,000
AF	NEVADA Nellis AFB	F-22 Flight Simulator Facility	14,000	14,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Aircraft Mx Unit - 4 Bay Hangar	31,000	31,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Weapons School Facility	8,900	8,900
AF	NEW JERSEY Joint Base Mcguire- Dix-Lakehurst	Fire Station	5,900	5,900
AF	OKLAHOMA Tinker AFB	KC-46A Depot Maint Complex Spt Infrastr	48,000	48,000
AF	Tinker AFB	KC-46A Two-Bay Depot Mx Hangar	63,000	63,000
AF	TEXAS Joint Base San Antonio	Fire Station	5,800	5,800
AF	UNITED KINGDOM Croughton RAF	JAC Consolidation - Phase 1	92,223	92,223
AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	10,738	10,738
AF	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Military Construction	22,613	22,613
Total Military Construction, Air Force			811,774	811,774
Def- Wide	ARIZONA Fort Huachuca	JITC Building 52120 Renovation	1,871	1,871
Def- Wide	AUSTRALIA Geraldton	Combined Communications Gateway Geraldton	9,600	9,600
Def- Wide	BELGIUM Brussels	Brussels Elementary/High School Replacement	41,626	41,626
Def- Wide	Brussels	NATO Headquarters Facility	37,918	37,918
Def- Wide	CALIFORNIA Camp Pendleton, California	SOF Comm/Elec Maintenance Facility	11,841	11,841
Def- Wide	Coronado	SOF Logistics Support Unit 1 Ops Facility #1	41,740	41,740
Def- Wide	Coronado	SOF Support Activity Ops Facility #2	28,600	28,600

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Def-Wide	Lemoore	Replace Fuel Storage & Distribution Fac.	52,500	52,500
COLORADO				
Def-Wide	Peterson AFB	Dental Clinic Replacement	15,200	15,200
CONUS				
Def-Wide	Various Locations	East Coast Missile Site Planning and Design	0	20,000
CONUS CLASSIFIED				
Def-Wide	Classified Location	SOF Skills Training Facility	53,073	53,073
GEORGIA				
Def-Wide	Hunter Army Airfield	SOF Company Operations Facility	7,692	7,692
Def-Wide	Robins AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	19,900	19,900
GERMANY				
Def-Wide	Rhine Ordnance Barracks	Medical Center Replacement Incr 4	259,695	189,695
GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA				
Def-Wide	Guantanamo Bay	Replace Fuel Tank	11,100	11,100
Def-Wide	Guantanamo Bay	W.T. Sampson E/M and HS Consolid./Replacement	65,190	65,190
HAWAII				
Def-Wide	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	Replace Fuel Tanks	3,000	3,000
Def-Wide	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	Upgrade Fire Supression & Ventilation Sys.	49,900	49,900
JAPAN				
Def-Wide	Misawa AB	Edgren High School Renovation	37,775	37,775
Def-Wide	Okinawa	Killin Elementary Replacement/Renovation	71,481	71,481
Def-Wide	Okinawa	Kubasaki High School Replacement/Renovation	99,420	99,420
Def-Wide	Sasebo	E.J. King High School Replacement/Renovation	37,681	37,681
KENTUCKY				
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	SOF System Integration Maintenance Office Fac	18,000	18,000
MARYLAND				
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Campus Feeders Phase 1	54,207	54,207
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Recapitalize Building #1/Site M Inc 3	45,521	45,521

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Def-Wide	Joint Base Andrews	Construct Hydrant Fuel System	18,300	18,300
	MICHIGAN			
Def-Wide	Selfridge Angb	Replace Fuel Distribution Facilities	35,100	35,100
	MISSISSIPPI			
Def-Wide	Stennis	SOF Applied Instruction Facility	10,323	10,323
Def-Wide	Stennis	SOF Land Acquisition Western Maneuver Area	17,224	17,224
	NEVADA			
Def-Wide	Fallon	SOF Tactical Ground Mob. Vehicle Maint Fac.	20,241	20,241
	NEW MEXICO			
Def-Wide	Cannon AFB	SOF Squadron Operations Facility (STS)	23,333	23,333
	NORTH CAROLINA			
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	Lejeune High School Addition/Renovation	41,306	41,306
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	SOF Intel/Ops Expansion	11,442	11,442
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Battalion Operations Facility	37,074	37,074
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility	8,000	8,000
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Training Command Building	48,062	48,062
Def-Wide	Seymour Johnson AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	8,500	8,500
	SOUTH CAROLINA			
Def-Wide	Beaufort	Replace Fuel Distribution Facilities	40,600	40,600
	SOUTH DAKOTA			
Def-Wide	Ellsworth AFB	Construct Hydrant System	8,000	8,000
	TEXAS			
Def-Wide	Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement Incr 6	131,500	201,500
Def-Wide	Joint Base San Antonio	Medical Clinic Replacement	38,300	38,300
	VIRGINIA			
Def-Wide	Craney Island	Replace & Alter Fuel Distribution Facilities	36,500	36,500
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot Richmond	Replace Access Control Point	5,700	5,700
Def-	Fort Belvoir	Parking Lot	7,239	7,239

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Wide				
Def-Wide	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	Hospital Addition/CUP Replacement	41,200	41,200
Def-Wide	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek - Story	SOF Human Performance Center	11,200	11,200
Def-Wide	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek - Story	SOF Indoor Dynamic Range	14,888	14,888
Def-Wide	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek - Story	SOF Mobile Comm Det Support Facility	13,500	13,500
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Redundant Chilled Water Loop	15,100	15,100
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Contingency Construction	9,000	0
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	ECIP Design	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Energy Conservation Investment Program	150,000	150,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Exercise Related Minor Construction	8,581	8,581
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	599	599
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	745	745
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	1,183	1,183
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	42,387	42,387
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	38,704	18,704
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	24,425	4,425
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	2,700	2,700
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,932	5,932
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	10,334	10,334
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	6,846	6,846
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	2,000	2,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Wide	Worldwide Locations			
Def-	Unspecified	Unspecified Minor Milcon	2,994	2,994
Wide	Worldwide Locations			
Def-	Various Worldwide	Planning and Design	24,197	24,197
Wide	Locations			
Total Military Construction, Defense-Wide			2,061,890	2,032,890
KENTUCKY				
Chem	Blue Grass Army	Ammunition Demilitarization Ph XV	38,715	38,715
Demil	Depot			
Total Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense			38,715	38,715
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
NATO	Nato Security	Nato Security Investment Program	199,700	199,700
	Investment Program			
Total NATO Security Investment Program			199,700	199,700
DELAWARE				
Army	Dagsboro	National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop	0	10,800
NG				
MAINE				
Army	Augusta	National Guard Reserve Center	30,000	30,000
NG				
MARYLAND				
Army	Havre De Grace	National Guard Readiness Center	12,400	12,400
NG				
MONTANA				
Army	Helena	National Guard Readiness Center Add/Alt	38,000	38,000
NG				
NEW MEXICO				
Army	Alamogordo	National Guard Readiness Center	0	5,000
NG				
NORTH DAKOTA				
Army	Valley City	National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop	10,800	10,800
NG				
VERMONT				
Army	North Hyde Park	National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop	4,400	4,400
NG				
WASHINGTON				
Army	Yakima	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training	0	19,000
NG				
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
Army	Unspecified	Planning and Design	17,600	17,600
NG	Worldwide Locations			
Army	Unspecified	Unspecified Minor Construction	13,720	13,720
NG	Worldwide Locations			
Total Military Construction, Army National Guard			126,920	161,720
CALIFORNIA				

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Army Res	Fresno	Army Reserve Center/AMSA	22,000	22,000
Army Res	March (Riverside)	Army Reserve Center	0	25,000
COLORADO				
Army Res	Fort Carson, Colorado	Training Building Addition	5,000	5,000
ILLINOIS				
Army Res	Arlington Heights	Army Reserve Center	0	26,000
MISSISSIPPI				
Army Res	Starkville	Army Reserve Center	0	9,300
NEW JERSEY				
Army Res	Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Army Reserve Center	26,000	26,000
NEW YORK				
Army Res	Mattydale	Army Reserve Center/AMSA	23,000	23,000
VIRGINIA				
Army Res	Fort Lee	TASS Training Center	16,000	16,000
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
Army Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	8,337	8,337
Army Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	3,609	3,609
Total Military Construction, Army Reserve			103,946	164,246
PENNSYLVANIA				
N/MC Res	Pittsburgh	Reserve Training Center - Pittsburgh, PA	17,650	17,650
WASHINGTON				
N/MC Res	Whidbey Island	C-40 Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	27,755	27,755
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
N/MC Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCNR Planning & Design	2,123	2,123
N/MC Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	MCNR Unspecified Minor Construction	4,000	4,000
Total Military Construction, Naval Reserve			51,528	51,528
CONNECTICUT				
Air NG	Bradley IAP	Construct C-130 Fuel Cell and Corrosion Contr	16,306	16,306
IOWA				
Air NG	Des Moines Map	REMOTELY PILOTED AIRCRAFT AND TARGETING GROUP	8,993	8,993

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
	MICHIGAN			
Air NG	W. K. Kellogg Regional Airport	RPA Beddown	6,000	6,000
	NEW HAMPSHIRE			
Air NG	Pease International Trade Port	KC-46A ADAL Airfield Pavements & Hydrant Syst	7,100	7,100
Air NG	Pease International Trade Port	KC-46A ADAL Fuel Cell Building 253	16,800	16,800
Air NG	Pease International Trade Port	KC-46A ADAL Maint Hangar Building 254	18,002	18,002
	PENNSYLVANIA			
Air NG	Willow Grove ARF	RPA Operations Center	5,662	5,662
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
Air NG	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	7,700	7,700
Air NG	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	8,100	8,100
Total Military Construction, Air National Guard			94,663	94,663
	GEORGIA			
AF Res	Robins AFB	AFRC Consolidated Mission Complex, Ph I	27,700	27,700
	NORTH CAROLINA			
AF Res	Seymour Johnson AFB	KC-135 Tanker Parking Apron Expansion	9,800	9,800
	TEXAS			
AF Res	Fort Worth	EOD Facility	3,700	3,700
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	6,892	6,892
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Military Construction	1,400	1,400
Total Military Construction, Air Force Reserve			49,492	49,492
	ILLINOIS			
FH Con Army	Rock Island	Family Housing New Construction	19,500	19,500
	KOREA			
FH Con Army	Camp Walker	Family Housing New Construction	57,800	57,800
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
FH Con Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Family Housing P & D	1,309	1,309
Total Family Housing Construction, Army			78,609	78,609
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings	14,136	14,136
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leased Housing	112,504	112,504

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
FH Ops	Unspecified	Maintenance of Real Property Facilities	65,245	65,245
Army	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Management Account	43,480	43,480
Army	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Management Account	3,117	3,117
Army	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Military Housing Privatization Initiative	20,000	20,000
Army	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Miscellaneous	700	700
Army	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Services	9,108	9,108
Army	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Utilities	82,686	82,686
Army	Worldwide Locations			
Total Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Army			350,976	350,976
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
FH Ops	Unspecified	Furnishings Account	38,543	38,543
AF	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Housing Privatization	40,761	40,761
AF	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Leasing	43,651	43,651
AF	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Maintenance	99,934	99,934
AF	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Management Account	47,834	47,834
AF	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Miscellaneous Account	1,993	1,993
AF	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Services Account	12,709	12,709
AF	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Utilities Account	42,322	42,322
AF	Worldwide Locations			
Total Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Air Force			327,747	327,747
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
FH Con	Unspecified	Design	472	472
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Con	Unspecified	Improvements	15,940	15,940
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
Total Family Housing Construction, Navy And Marine Corps			16,412	16,412
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
FH Ops	Unspecified	Furnishings Account	17,881	17,881
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Leasing	65,999	65,999
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Maintenance of Real Property	97,612	97,612

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Management Account	55,124	55,124
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Miscellaneous Account	366	366
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Privatization Support Costs	27,876	27,876
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Services Account	18,079	18,079
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Utilities Account	71,092	71,092
Navy	Worldwide Locations			
Total Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Navy And Marine Corps			354,029	354,029
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
FH Ops	Unspecified	Furnishings Account	746	746
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Furnishings Account	20	20
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Furnishings Account	3,362	3,362
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Leasing	42,083	42,083
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Leasing	11,179	11,179
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Maintenance of Real Property	2,128	2,128
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Maintenance of Real Property	344	344
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Management Account	378	378
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Services Account	31	31
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Utilities Account	659	659
DW	Worldwide Locations			
FH Ops	Unspecified	Utilities Account	170	170
DW	Worldwide Locations			
Total Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Defense-Wide			61,100	61,100
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
FHIF	Unspecified	Family Housing Improvement Fund	1,662	1,662
	Worldwide Locations			
Total DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund			1,662	1,662
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				
BRAC	Base Realignment & Closure, Army	Base Realignment and Closure	84,417	84,417
BRAC	Base Realignment & Closure, Army	Base Realignment & Closure	57,406	57,406

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				
(In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
	Closure, Navy			
BRAC	Unspecified	DoD BRAC Activities - Air Force	90,976	90,976
	Worldwide Locations			
BRAC	Unspecified	DON-100: Planing, Design and Management	7,682	7,682
	Worldwide Locations			
BRAC	Unspecified	DON-101: Various Locations	21,416	21,416
	Worldwide Locations			
BRAC	Unspecified	DON-138: NAS Brunswick, ME	904	904
	Worldwide Locations			
BRAC	Unspecified	DON-157: MCSA Kansas City, MO	40	40
	Worldwide Locations			
BRAC	Unspecified	DON-172: NWS Seal Beach, Concord, CA	6,066	6,066
	Worldwide Locations			
BRAC	Unspecified	DON-84: JRB Willow Grove & Cambria Reg AP	1,178	1,178
	Worldwide Locations			
Total Base Realignment and Closure - Army			270,085	270,085
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
PYS	Unspecified	42 USC 3374	0	-100,000
	Worldwide Locations			
PYS	Unspecified	Army	0	-79,577
	Worldwide Locations			
PYS	Unspecified	NATO Security Investment Program	0	-25,000
	Worldwide Locations			
Total Prior Year Savings			0	-204,577
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
GR	Unspecified	General Reductions	0	-69,000
	Worldwide Locations			
Total General Reductions			0	-69,000
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			6,557,447	6,532,970

TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Account Title	FY2015 Request	House Authorized
Discretionary Summary By Appropriation		
Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies		
Appropriation Summary:		
Energy Programs		
Nuclear Energy	104,000	104,000
Atomic Energy Defense Activities		
National nuclear security administration:		
Weapons activities	8,314,902	8,458,602
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	1,555,156	1,685,156
Naval reactors	1,377,100	1,377,100
Federal salaries and expenses	410,842	400,842
Total, National nuclear security administration	11,658,000	11,921,700
Environmental and other defense activities:		
Defense environmental cleanup	5,327,538	5,233,538
Other defense activities	753,000	758,300
Total, Environmental & other defense activities	6,080,538	5,991,838
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	17,738,538	17,913,538
Total, Discretionary Funding	17,842,538	18,017,538
Nuclear Energy		
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security	104,000	104,000
Weapons Activities		
Directed stockpile work		
Life extension programs		
B61 Life extension program	643,000	643,000
W76 Life extension program	259,168	273,768
W88 Alt 370	165,400	166,600
Cruise missile warhead life extension program	9,418	17,018
Total, Life extension programs	1,076,986	1,100,386
Stockpile systems		
B61 Stockpile systems	109,615	109,615
W76 Stockpile systems	45,728	45,728
W78 Stockpile systems	62,703	66,403
W80 Stockpile systems	70,610	70,610
B83 Stockpile systems	63,136	63,136
W87 Stockpile systems	91,255	91,255
W88 Stockpile systems	88,060	88,060
Total, Stockpile systems	531,107	534,807
Weapons dismantlement and disposition		
Operations and maintenance	30,008	30,008
Stockpile services		
Production support	350,942	363,242
Research and development support	29,649	29,649
R&D certification and safety	201,479	212,479

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

Account Title	FY2015 Request	House Authorized
Management, technology, and production	241,805	241,805
Plutonium sustainment	144,575	172,875
Tritium readiness	140,053	140,053
Total, Stockpile services	1,108,503	1,160,103
Total, Directed stockpile work	2,746,604	2,825,304
Campaigns:		
Science campaign		
Advanced certification	58,747	58,747
Primary assessment technologies	112,000	112,000
Dynamic materials properties	117,999	117,999
Advanced radiography	79,340	79,340
Secondary assessment technologies	88,344	88,344
Total, Science campaign	456,430	456,430
Engineering campaign		
Enhanced surety	52,003	54,403
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology	20,832	20,832
Nuclear survivability	25,371	25,371
Enhanced surveillance	37,799	41,399
Total, Engineering campaign	136,005	142,005
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign		
Ignition	77,994	73,994
Support of other stockpile programs	23,598	23,598
Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	61,297	61,297
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	5,024	5,024
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas	9,100	9,100
Facility operations and target production	335,882	335,882
Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign	512,895	508,895
Advanced simulation and computing campaign	610,108	610,108
Nonnuclear Readiness Campaign	125,909	125,909
Total, Campaigns	1,841,347	1,843,347
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)		
Operations of facilities		
Kansas City Plant	125,000	125,000
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	71,000	71,000
Los Alamos National Laboratory	198,000	198,000
Nevada National Security Site	89,000	89,000
Pantex	75,000	75,000
Sandia National Laboratory	106,000	106,000
Savannah River Site	81,000	81,000
Y-12 National security complex	151,000	151,000
Total, Operations of facilities	896,000	896,000
Program readiness	136,700	136,700
Material recycle and recovery	138,900	138,900
Containers	26,000	26,000
Storage	40,800	40,800
Maintenance and repair of facilities	205,000	220,000
Recapitalization	209,321	248,321
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities	756,721	810,721
Construction:		

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account Title	FY2015 Request	House Authorized
15-D-613 Emergency Operations Center, Y-12	2,000	2,000
15-D-612 Emergency Operations Center, LLNL	2,000	2,000
15-D-611 Emergency Operations Center, SNL	4,000	4,000
15-D-301 HE Science & Engineering Facility, PX	11,800	11,800
15-D-302, TA-55 Reinvestment project, Phase 3, LANL	16,062	16,062
12-D-301 TRU waste facilities, LANL	6,938	6,938
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL	10,000	10,000
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LA	15,000	15,000
06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project	335,000	335,000
Total, Construction	402,800	402,800
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	2,055,521	2,109,521
Secure transportation asset		
Operations and equipment	132,851	132,851
Program direction	100,962	100,962
Total, Secure transportation asset	233,813	233,813
Nuclear counterterrorism incident response	173,440	182,440
Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation Programs	76,901	76,901
Site stewardship		
Environmental projects and operations	53,000	53,000
Nuclear materials integration	16,218	16,218
Minority serving institution partnerships program	13,231	13,231
Total, Site stewardship	82,449	82,449
Defense nuclear security		
Operations and maintenance	618,123	618,123
Total, Defense nuclear security	618,123	618,123
Information technology and cybersecurity	179,646	179,646
Legacy contractor pensions	307,058	307,058
Total, Weapons Activities	8,314,902	8,458,602

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs		
Global threat reduction initiative	333,488	413,488
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D		
Operations and maintenance	360,808	430,808
Nonproliferation and international security	141,359	177,759
International material protection and cooperation	305,467	129,067
Fissile materials disposition		
U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition		
Operations and maintenance		
U.S. plutonium disposition	85,000	85,000
U.S. uranium disposition	25,000	25,000
Total, Operations and maintenance	110,000	110,000
Construction:		
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	196,000	316,000
99-D-141-02 Waste Solidification Building, Savannah River, SC	5,125	5,125

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account Title	FY2015 Request	House Authorized
Total, Construction	201,125	321,125
Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition	311,125	431,125
Russian surplus fissile materials disposition	0	0
Total, Fissile materials disposition	311,125	431,125
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs	1,452,247	1,582,247
Legacy contractor pensions	102,909	102,909
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,555,156	1,685,156

Naval Reactors

Naval reactors operations and infrastructure	412,380	412,380
Naval reactors development	425,700	425,700
Ohio replacement reactor systems development	156,100	156,100
S8G Prototype refueling	126,400	126,400
Program direction	46,600	46,600
Construction:		
15-D-904 NRF Overpack Storage Expansion 3	400	400
15-D-903 KL Fire System Upgrade	600	600
15-D-902 KS Engine room team trainer facility	1,500	1,500
15-D-901 KS Central office building and prototype staff facility	24,000	24,000
14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF	141,100	141,100
13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level waste facility, INL	14,420	14,420
13-D-904 KS Radiological work and storage building, KSO	20,100	20,100
10-D-903, Security upgrades, KAPL	7,400	7,400
08-D-190 Expended Core Facility M-290 receiving/discharge station, Naval Reactor Facility, ID	400	400
Total, Construction	209,920	209,920
Total, Naval Reactors	1,377,100	1,377,100

Federal Salaries And Expenses

Program direction	410,842	
Total, Office Of The Administrator	410,842	400,842

Defense Environmental Cleanup

Closure sites:		
Closure sites administration	4,889	4,889
Hanford site:		
River corridor and other cleanup operations	332,788	332,788
Central plateau remediation:		
Central plateau remediation	474,292	474,292
Construction:		
15-D-401 Containerized sludge (RI-0012)	26,290	26,290
Total, Central plateau remediation	500,582	500,582
Richland community and regulatory support	14,701	14,701
Total, Hanford site	848,071	848,071
Idaho National Laboratory:		
Idaho cleanup and waste disposition	364,293	364,293
Idaho community and regulatory support	2,910	2,910
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	367,203	367,203
NNSA sites		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	1,366	1,366

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account Title	FY2015 Request	House Authorized
Nevada	64,851	64,851
Sandia National Laboratories	2,801	2,801
Los Alamos National Laboratory	196,017	196,017
Construction:		
15-D-406 Hexavalent chromium D & D (VI-LanI-0030)	28,600	28,600
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	293,635	293,635
Oak Ridge Reservation:		
OR Nuclear facility D & D		
OR Nuclear facility D & D	73,155	73,155
Construction:		
14-D-403 Outfall 200 Mercury Treatment Facility	9,400	9,400
Total, OR Nuclear facility D & D	82,555	82,555
U233 Disposition Program	41,626	41,626
OR cleanup and disposition:		
OR cleanup and disposition	71,137	71,137
Construction:		
15-D-405 - Sludge Buildout	4,200	4,200
Total, OR cleanup and disposition	75,337	75,337
OR reservation community and regulatory support	4,365	4,365
Solid waste stabilization and disposition,		
Oak Ridge technology development	3,000	3,000
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	206,883	206,883
Office of River Protection:		
Waste treatment and immobilization plant		
01-D-416 A-D/ORP-0060 / Major construction	575,000	575,000
01-D-16E Pretreatment facility	115,000	115,000
Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant	690,000	690,000
Tank farm activities		
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	522,000	522,000
Construction:		
15-D-409 Low Activity Waste Pretreatment System, Hanford	23,000	23,000
Total, Tank farm activities	545,000	545,000
Total, Office of River protection	1,235,000	1,235,000
Savannah River sites:		
Savannah River risk management operations	416,276	416,276
SR community and regulatory support	11,013	11,013
Radioactive liquid tank waste:		
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	553,175	553,175
Construction:		
15-D-402 - Saltstone Disposal Unit #6	34,642	34,642
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River	135,000	135,000
Total, Construction	169,642	169,642
Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste	722,817	722,817
Total, Savannah River site	1,150,106	1,150,106
Waste isolation pilot plant	216,020	216,020
Program direction	280,784	280,784
Program support	14,979	14,979

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account Title	FY2015 Request	House Authorized
Safeguards and Security:		
Oak Ridge Reservation	16,382	16,382
Paducah	7,297	7,297
Portsmouth	8,492	8,492
Richland/Hanford Site	63,668	63,668
Savannah River Site	132,196	132,196
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,455	4,455
West Valley	1,471	1,471
Technology development	13,007	19,007
Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup	4,864,538	4,870,538
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	463,000	363,000
Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,327,538	5,233,538
Other Defense Activities		
Specialized security activities	202,152	207,452
Environment, health, safety and security		
Environment, health, safety and security	118,763	118,763
Program direction	62,235	62,235
Total, Environment, Health, safety and security	180,998	180,998
Independent enterprise assessments		
Independent enterprise assessments	24,068	24,068
Program direction	49,466	49,466
Total, Independent enterprise assessments	73,534	73,534
Office of Legacy Management		
Legacy management	158,639	158,639
Program direction	13,341	13,341
Total, Office of Legacy Management	171,980	171,980
Defense-related activities		
Defense related administrative support		
Chief financial officer	46,877	46,877
Chief information officer	71,959	71,959
Total, Defense related administrative support	118,836	118,836
Office of hearings and appeals	5,500	5,500
Subtotal, Other defense activities	753,000	758,300
Total, Other Defense Activities	753,000	758,300

[Page intentionally left blank]

DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Items of Special Interest

Army Signals Intelligence modernization

Divestiture of rotorcraft through Army's Aviation Restructure Initiative

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Items of Special Interest

C-130H Avionics Modernization Program and propulsion system upgrades

High-altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance

KC-10 Aerial Refueling Aircraft Force Structure

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Items of Special Interest

Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system and U.S.-based coproduction

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Items of Special Interest

Amphibious Combat Vehicle increment 1.1 program

Next Generation Land Attack and Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare weapon development

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Items of Special Interest

Air Force tactical exploitation of national capabilities talon hate program

Ejection seat safety and reliability improvement program

Moving target indicator way ahead

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

BUDGET REQUEST ADJUSTMENTS

Corrosion Prevention

OTHER MATTERS

Office of Net Assessment

United States Special Operations Command Proposed Sponsorship of U.S.

Naval Ship Sumner

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Acquisitions Involving Reverse Auctions

Comptroller General Assessment of Department of Defense Processes for the Acquisition of Services

Comptroller General Review of Compliance with Limitations on Contract Services Spending
Comptroller General Review of Rulemaking Practices of the Department of Defense
Rare Earth Elements Supply Chain Review
Use of National Security Waiver to Specialty Metals Clause

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Headquarters Reductions
Comptroller General Review of Operational Test and Evaluation Processes and Activities

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES
U.S. Southern Command Asset Resourcing

OTHER MATTERS

Inspector General's Report on Over-Classification of National Security Information
Phased Modernization of Certain Navy Ships
Report on National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force Recommendations
Security Risks Related to Foreign Investment in the United States

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Monitoring of Ongoing Construction Activities in Afghanistan
Report on Financial Management Capacity of Afghan Ministry of Defense and Ministry of Interior
Report on Operational Contract Support in U.S. Africa Command
Report on U.S. Army Regionally Aligned Brigade in Africa
Report on U.S. Government Comprehensive Approach to Strengthen its Strategic Partnership with Djibouti

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Upgrading Beryllium within the National Defense Stockpile

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Report on Circumvention of Military Construction Laws

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Items of Special Interest

Army Signals Intelligence modernization

The committee understands that there are at least six Army Signal Intelligence (SIGINT) programs in use or planned for near-term fielding, including: Guard Rail Common Sensor; Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System; Tactical SIGINT Program; Quick Reaction Capability C-12s; Airborne Reconnaissance Low; and the Prophet ground SIGINT collection platform. The committee is concerned that maintaining six different SIGINT collection systems for these platforms is costly and inefficient, as well as potentially unsustainable given the current fiscal environment.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees by February 16, 2015, that would present a SIGINT modernization plan, including a detailed plan of action and milestones with anticipated costs and schedules. The report should also consider the advisability and feasibility of potentially converging all six Army SIGINT programs to a common hardware baseline that is contractor independent, with open architecture that could allow for the use of software reprogrammable radios, as well as provide the capability for insertion of emerging technologies and collection capabilities.

Divestiture of rotorcraft through Army's Aviation Restructure Initiative

The committee is aware of the Army's plan to divest certain rotorcraft, such as the OH-58D Kiowa Warrior, OH-58 A/C, and TH-67 primary training helicopters, as part of its Aviation Restructure Initiative. While the committee understands the fiscal pressures facing the Army and supports its efforts to restructure the rotorcraft force, the committee is concerned that the planned divestiture of more than 750 aircraft between fiscal years 2015-19 could have a negative impact on the rotorcraft industrial base which has already been impacted by declining defense spending.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by September 1, 2014, on the criteria for transferring these helicopters as excess defense articles into the domestic and international markets. As part of this briefing, the Army should

include an assessment of how its criteria for divestiture meet all Federal laws and regulations governing such equipment, including:

(1) A statement outlining the purposes for which the article is being provided to any foreign country, including whether such article has been previously provided to that country;

(2) An assessment of the impact of the transfer on the military readiness of the United States;

(3) An assessment of the impact of the transfer on the national technology and industrial base and, particularly, the impact on opportunities of entities in the national technology and industrial base to sell new or used equipment to foreign countries to which such articles might be transferred; and

(4) A statement describing the current value of such articles and the value of such articles at acquisition.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Items of Special Interest

C-130H Avionics Modernization Program and propulsion system upgrades

The budget request contained \$35.9 million for C-130H aircraft modifications, but contained no funding for the Avionics Modernization Program (AMP) or propulsion system upgrades.

The committee notes that the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) states that the Air Force will maintain 300 combat-coded C-130H and C-130J aircraft in the tactical airlift fleet inventory to support requirements and objectives in support of the 2012 Defense Strategic Guidance. In the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) and the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014 (division C of Public Law 113-76), Congress authorized and appropriated \$47.7 million for AMP and \$41.7 million for propulsion system upgrades.

The committee is disappointed that the Secretary of the Air Force invested nearly \$1.5 billion of taxpayer dollars for engineering, manufacturing, development, and testing of the C-130H AMP program, but has no plans to continue procurement and installation of C-130H AMP onto C-130H aircraft. In addition, the committee notes that the Secretary has no plans to modernize or upgrade the C-130H propulsion system in order to increase reliability, capability, fuel efficiency and on-wing time of the engine, as well as decrease the overall cost and maintenance burden of the current propulsion system. The Secretary has not provided the committee with a coherent plan for fleet-wide recapitalization of the C-130H fleet or explained how the Air Force plans to maintain medium-sized intra-theater airlift capacity and capability within both the Active and Reserve Components. The committee understands that the cost to continue the C-130 AMP program, as compared to the costs to individually complete modernization and upgrade requirements to keep the C-130H aircraft capable and relevant, are roughly the

same. However, the committee believes that by failing to take actions to modernize the C-130H fleet in the very near term with C-130 AMP and propulsion systems upgrades, recapitalization costs, mitigation of obsolescence and diminishing manufacturing sources costs, or operating and sustainment costs will become so cost prohibitive in the future that the only course of action available to the Secretary will result in the divestiture of the C-130H aircraft from the Air Force inventory. Knowing that the majority of the C-130H fleet resides within the Reserve Components of the Air Force and that the C-130H should remain reliable, capable, and relevant to meeting current and future warfighter needs, the committee is concerned with the approach that the Secretary has taken with regard to the lack of robust modernization and upgrade of C-130H aircraft, if the aircraft is to have a service-life through 2040 as currently planned. Furthermore, C-130 AMP is estimated to reduce total ownership costs of the C-130H fleet by over 25 percent as compared to not modernizing the aircraft. The committee believes that if the Secretary is willing to expend at least \$3.2 billion for two new presidential aircraft to achieve a benefit of a modernized and digital cockpit for the aircrew to execute an important mission in a benign flight environment, the Secretary should apply similar logic by spending significantly less than \$3.2 billion for 179 C-130H aircraft that would provide a modernized and digital cockpit for C-130 aircrews that are required to tactically employ in more strenuous and dangerous flight conditions.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would preserve the \$1.5 billion taxpayer investment in the C-130 AMP program and would prohibit the Secretary from canceling the C-130 AMP program. Further, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to notify the congressional defense committees at any time the combat-coded fleet of C-130H and C-130J aircraft decreases below the 300 combat-coded aircraft prescribed in the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review. Finally, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Secretary of the Air Force to immediately obligate authorized appropriations provided in fiscal year 2013 and fiscal year 2014 to continue C-130 AMP.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$109.7 million, an increase of \$73.8 million, for C-130H propulsion system propeller and engine control upgrades, continued acquisition and installation of C-130 AMP kits, and no funding to begin an alternative communications, navigation, surveillance and air traffic management (CNS/ATM) system program.

High-altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance

Over the past 2 years, the committee has supported the Global Hawk Block 30 high-altitude unmanned aerial system and supports the current Department of the Air Force plan to retain the Global Hawk Block 30 for the high-altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) mission. The committee notes that the Department of the Air Force has determined that Global Hawk operating costs have decreased while the Global Hawk Block 30 fleet has flown an increased

number of hours compared to previous years in support of the combatant commanders.

While the committee was pleased that the Air Force requested funding for Global Hawk Block 30 in the budget request for fiscal year 2015, the committee is concerned with the Department of the Air Force's plan to retire the U-2 fleet in fiscal year 2016. While the committee realizes that the Department can never fully meet the ISR demand of combatant commanders, reasonable and necessary ISR requests appear very likely to go unfilled if the current high-altitude airborne ISR collection capabilities of the U-2 are terminated. The committee notes that section 143 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) required the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to submit a report on all high-altitude ISR systems. The committee has not yet received this report and believes that any action to retire, or prepare to retire U-2 aircraft would be premature prior to the committee's review of the report. To ensure that no actions are taken to retire or prepare to retire the U-2 aircraft in fiscal year 2015, elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 to make significant changes to retire, prepare to retire, or place U-2 aircraft in storage.

The committee also notes that section 133 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81) limits the retirement of U-2 aircraft until equal or greater ISR capability is available to commanders of the combatant commands, and believes that the Department of the Air Force plan to retire the entire fleet of U-2s in fiscal year 2016 is inconsistent with this provision.

The committee supports the Department of the Air Force efforts to upgrade the Global Hawk Block 30 aircraft to meet the requirements of the combatant commanders, but notes that this will take several years beyond the planned retirement of the U-2. In light of the known gaps, the committee has concerns with any plan that will leave the combatant commanders with less overall capacity and capability than they have today.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees by February 16, 2015, that would establish a phased high-altitude airborne ISR transition plan which fields capability at the same time or before the U-2 aircraft retirement, and which would result in equal or greater capability available to the commanders of the combatant commands. This plan should include the costs, schedule, and identification of fielded high-altitude ISR capability and capacity. If retirement of the U-2 would result in decreased capability or capacity for high-altitude reconnaissance, the report should also include the Department of the Air Force plans to mitigate the effects of the decreased capability or capacity.

KC-10 Aerial Refueling Aircraft Force Structure

The committee notes that the President's request for the Future Years Defense Program 2016-19 did not take into account Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public Law 112-25) sequestration level Department of Defense spending limitations.

The committee understands that if the spending limitations in Public Law 112-25 are imposed on the Department of the Air Force beyond fiscal year 2015, then additional reductions in critical capabilities and aircraft force structure will likely be necessary in order for the Department of the Air Force to comply with its share of spending authority. The committee understands from briefings and discussions with Air Force officials that the KC-10 Stratotanker aircraft could succumb to sequestration impacts. The committee is concerned that a divestment of a high-demand, low-density aircraft such as the KC-10 could have detrimental impacts for the Department of Defense in meeting its global reach and global power objectives, as it relates to supporting the 2012 Defense Strategic Guidance. The committee also notes that the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command (CUSTC) has validated that the requirement for aerial refueling aircraft capability is 567 aircraft. The Department of the Air Force currently has only 454 aerial refueling aircraft, resulting in a deficit of 113 aircraft short of the CUSTC requirement. The Air Force is not projected to have 567 aerial refueling tankers in its inventory, assuming that no KC-10 or KC-135 are divested, prior to delivery of the 112th KC-46 tanker aircraft in the next decade.

Therefore, elsewhere in this title, the committee includes a provision that would prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from using any funds or taking any action during fiscal year 2015 to divest or transfer, or prepare to divest or transfer, any KC-10 aerial refueling aircraft of the Air Force. In addition, if the President's request for fiscal year 2016 proposes to divest the KC-10 aerial refueling aircraft from the Department of the Air Force, the committee directs the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to submit to the congressional defense committees at the time of the fiscal year 2016 budget submission, an operational risk assessment and mitigation strategy that evaluates the military's ability to meet the requirements and objectives stipulated in the Department's Guidance for Employment of the Force, the Joint Strategic Capabilities Plan, and all geographical combatant commander steady-state rotational and warfighting surge contingency operational planning documents.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Items of Special Interest

Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system and U.S.-based coproduction

The budget request contained \$176.0 million in PE 28866C for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system.

The committee has supported the Iron Dome Weapons System since the State of Israel's first request for U.S. funding in fiscal year 2011. Since the first authorization of Missile Defense Agency (MDA) funding, U.S. taxpayers have provided \$720.0 million for the program. The committee is aware that the Israeli requirement may necessitate up to \$175.0 million in addition to the \$176.0 million contained in the President's request.

The committee has received "The Agreement Between the Department of Defense of the United States of America and the Ministry of Defense of the State of Israel Concerning Iron Dome Defense System Procurement," signed on March 5, 2014. The committee is pleased that this agreement resolves many details of U.S. coproduction of Iron Dome components and interceptors in the United States. The committee is aware that MDA and the Israeli Missile Defense Organization (IMDO) have entered into an international agreement to govern how the United States funds up to \$680 million between fiscal years 2012-15 for Iron Dome. The committee is concerned that the agreement does not cover the full amount it recommends for fiscal year 2015. Given the significant U.S. taxpayer investment in this system, the committee believes that coproduction of parts and components should be done in a manner that will maximize U.S. industry participation in interceptor and battery deliveries for Israel's defense needs. The committee recommends \$351.0 million, an increase of \$175.0 million, in PE 28866C for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system.

However, the committee expects that the Director, Missile Defense Agency will not obligate or expend \$175.0 million of that amount, and instead hold it in reserve and disburse it incrementally until receipt and acceptance by the MDA of sufficiently detailed cost and schedule justification from the Government of Israel. Such detailed cost and schedule justification must include:

- (1) A timeline for Iron Dome expenditure of funds above the President's request for the fiscal year for which the funds were appropriated or made available;
- (2) Copies of signed and ratified contracts, subcontracts, and teaming arrangements between Israeli and U.S. industry for all Iron Dome coproduction efforts;
- (3) Delivery to MDA of all technical data packages as accepted by U.S. industry suppliers for coproduction; and
- (4) A common cost model of Iron Dome components, to be jointly developed and agreed upon by MDA and IMDO that includes: recurring and non-recurring engineering costs; estimates for future buys and actual costs beginning with fiscal year 2013; the required quantities for all components through fiscal year 2019; and component lead-times and delivery schedules.

Additionally, the committee expects the Director, Missile Defense Agency will ensure that: Iron Dome operational data has been provided per previous commitments; this additional funding be applied to the work share percentage for fiscal year 2015 funding between U.S. and Israeli industry as proscribed under the recently signed Iron Dome Procurement Agreement; and that the additional funds are required to meet Israeli defense needs. Any funds found to be in excess of

Israel's justified and documented needs during fiscal year 2015 may be transferred by the MDA to appropriations available for the procurement of weapons and equipment according to priority needs.

The committee also believes that if there is a request for Iron Dome funding for fiscal year 2016, the Director, Missile Defense must establish for the committee how those funds will resolve details and agreements needed for U.S.-based coproduction of all-up-rounds and cover the export of Iron Dome technology to U.S. and Israeli allies, including coproduction of parts, components, and all-up-rounds of those exports.

The committee directs the Director, Missile Defense Agency, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than October 1, 2014, on the information provided in the required detailed cost and schedule justification, including the views of the Director and the Under Secretary on its sufficiency.

Further, the committee directs the Director, Missile Defense Agency to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees not less than once each quarter in fiscal year 2015, starting October 1, 2014, on the progress in achieving the requirements established in "The Agreement Between the Department of Defense of the United States of America and the Ministry of Defense of the State of Israel Concerning Iron Dome Defense System Procurement."

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Items of Special Interest

Amphibious Combat Vehicle increment 1.1 program

The budget request contained \$105.7 million in PE 63611M for the Amphibious Combat Vehicle (ACV) program.

The committee understands that the Marine Corps has significantly changed its acquisition strategy for the ACV program and will now use an incremental approach to developing, procuring, and fielding a next generation family of amphibious combat vehicles. The committee notes that in the near term, the Marine Corps is planning to use the first vehicle increment, ACV increment 1.1, as an armored personnel carrier that would deliver marines from ship-to-shore by means of a connector craft, and be used for inland missions. The committee recognizes this would address an immediate near-term, urgent capability gap for improved tactical mobility and survivability for deployed Marine infantry units.

The committee notes that while the proposed schedule for ACV increment 1.1 is aggressive, the committee expects the Marine Corps to benefit from lessons learned from previous next generation assault amphibious vehicle programs that

suffered from requirements creep and immature technology readiness levels that led to significant cost overruns and schedule delays. The committee understands that results from previous developmental testing conducted on vehicles participating in the former Marine Personnel Carrier (MPC) vehicle program, to include limited user demonstrations, have informed the Marine Corps that the technology for these potential vehicles is highly mature and is consistent with a stable set of requirements for this vehicle. Accordingly, the committee understands that the Marine Corps is recommending a streamlined procurement and fielding strategy for the ACV increment 1.1 vehicle. The committee supports the intent to streamline the procurement and fielding of the ACV increment 1.1 vehicle, and believes this program could potentially serve as an example for future major defense acquisition program reform. However, the committee notes that this streamlined approach to ACV increment 1.1 is contingent on mature technology and validated and stabilized requirements. The committee will continue to closely monitor this program under the auspices of the committee's ongoing comprehensive acquisition reform effort.

Therefore, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development, and Acquisition), in coordination with Headquarters Marine Corps, to brief the committee not later than September 1, 2014, on the justification used to streamline the ACV increment 1.1 vehicle program, to include the documented results from the Marine Requirements Oversight Council and the Joint Requirements Oversight Council reviews, as well as the documented results from the Materiel Development Decision. The committee also directs the Assistant Secretary to brief the committee on any potential procedural and/or regulatory barriers that may prevent the Marine Corps from streamlining the ACV increment 1.1 program. Based on information already provided to the committee by the Marine Corps regarding the streamlined procurement strategy for the ACV increment 1.1 program, the committee understands additional funds would also be required in fiscal year 2015 to support a contract award in fiscal year 2015.

The committee recommends \$190.8 million, an increase of \$85.1 million, in PE 63611M for the ACV Increment 1.1 vehicle program.

Next Generation Land Attack and Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare weapon development

The budget request contained \$32.4 million in PE 24229N for Tomahawk and Next Generation Land Attack Weapon (NGLAW) development. The budget request also contained \$194.3 million in Weapons Procurement, Navy for procurement of 100 Tomahawk missiles, which is a decrease of 96 missiles from what had been planned for procurement in the fiscal year 2014 budget request. The budget request also proposes to terminate Tomahawk Block IV procurement beginning in fiscal year 2016. In addition, the budget request contained \$203.0 million in PE 64786N for development of Increment I and Increment II of the Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare (OASUW) weapon.

The committee is concerned by the Secretary of the Navy's recommendation to terminate procurement in 2016 of the Nation's only long-range, surface-launched land-attack cruise missile production capability prior to finalizing concept development of NGLAW, which is not planned to be operationally fielded until 2024 at the earliest. Furthermore, the committee is concerned that the capability to recertify current inventory Block IV Tomahawk missiles could be put at risk if the Secretary of the Navy decides to shutter the Tomahawk Block IV production line in fiscal year 2016. The committee is also concerned that the Secretary has not clearly articulated a medium- to long-range conventional cruise missile requirements and capabilities strategy or roadmap that explains the bridge between production of current missiles to the development, production, and fielding of OASUW and NGLAW. The Secretary has also not clearly articulated how the missile requirements and capabilities differ between OASUW and NGLAW in meeting combatant commander requirements, or the reason that a separate missile is needed for OASUW and NGLAW in order to meet offensive surface-attack mission requirements. Further, the Secretary has not clearly articulated how the inventory stock of long-range cruise missiles will be replenished if the current stock of Tomahawk missiles is utilized to fulfill test, training, and warfighting requirements between 2016-24. The committee is also concerned that the Navy is well below all categories of inventory requirements and is discouraged that the Navy is only using one category of inventory requirements in stating that there is no risk by terminating Tomahawk Block IV production in fiscal year 2016.

The recommendation to shutter the Tomahawk Block IV production line is further compounded by the fact that OASUW Increment I is just beginning to transition to a program of record, and OASUW Increment II is still in the concept definition and refinement phase. The committee supports current efforts to develop an OASUW Increment I capability to fulfill the urgent operational need of the Commander, U.S. Pacific Command, and encourages the Secretary to aggressively pursue fielding this capability.

Therefore, the committee is skeptical of the Secretary of the Navy's decision to cease production of Tomahawk Block IV in 2016. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a report to the congressional defense committees in conjunction with the submission of the budget request for fiscal year 2016, that articulates the following: (1) a 15-year medium to long-range land attack cruise missile strategy and roadmap; (2) known or anticipated shortfalls and capability gaps of current cruise missiles; (3) an explanation of requirement differences between OASUW and NGLAW missile capabilities; (4) a transition strategy from current production land-attack cruise missiles to recertification of current inventory cruise missiles that discusses anticipated cost, schedule, and execution risks and issues; and (5) the cost, schedule, and execution risk associated with replenishment of current inventory cruise missiles that may be used for test, training, and operational requirements in order to maintain a sufficient inventory of cruise missiles until NGLAW is operationally fielded. The report may contain a classified

annex or any other information that the Secretary desires to convey to the congressional defense committees.

The committee recommends \$32.4 million, the full amount requested, in PE 24229N for Tomahawk and Next Generation Land Attack Weapon (NGLAW) development. The committee recommends \$276.3 million, an increase of \$82.0 million, in Weapons Procurement, Navy for procurement of 196 Tomahawk missiles and to reduce risk to the Tomahawk missile industrial base. Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would authorize multi-year procurement authority for Tomahawk Block IV missiles if the Secretary of the Navy determines during deliberations of the fiscal year 2016 budget request that it is not prudent to shutter the production line at this time. The committee would support the Secretary's decision to procure the maximum amount of additional missiles to fully satisfy inventory requirements and bridge transition to Tomahawk Block IV recertification and modernization in the most cost-effective manner possible, and especially during periods of constrained fiscal resources. Finally, the committee recommends \$203.0 million, the full amount requested, in PE 64786N for development of Increment I and Increment II of the Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare weapon.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Items of Special Interest

Air Force tactical exploitation of national capabilities talon hate program

The Department of the Air Force Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities (AFTENCAP) project pursues a wide range of technological and operational objectives through transition of proven national capabilities to warfighters for operational use and participation in design of future national capabilities in order to leverage them for tactical users. The committee supports the TENCAP program.

The Talon Hate program, developed under AFTENCAP, fields in fiscal year 2015 and should provide a unique multi-domain capability to counter threats in the U.S. Pacific Command (USPACOM) area of responsibility (AOR). The committee understands the importance of reliable, jam-proof communications between 4th and 5th generation fighters, the need to broadcast multi-source information in a Link 16 compatible format, and the need to integrate national strategic data into that communication network. While the Talon Hate program will field four developmental pods for F-15s in the USPACOM AOR, the committee is concerned that a strategic plan to address this mission has not been developed, along with an analysis of alternative technical approaches to meeting the associated warfighter requirements.

Therefore, the committee directs that the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, to provide a briefing to the House Committee

on Armed Services and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, by February 16, 2015, on the enduring military requirements associated with the Talon Hate program, a comprehensive cost and benefit analysis of the various technical approaches to solving those requirements, and the associated strategic plan to addressing the requirements including near and mid-term recommendations.

Ejection seat safety and reliability improvement program

The budget request contained no funds for the procurement of modernized and upgraded ejection seats for Department of the Air Force fighter and bomber aircraft.

The committee understands that aircraft aging and heavy operations tempo have produced fatigue and corrosion in legacy ejection seat designs which were designed and procured by the Department of the Air Force in the mid-1970s. The committee further understands that the incorporation of modern helmet mounted displays creates significant risk to pilot survival during high-speed ejections because aerodynamic forces at high speeds within the current ejection seat operational envelope lift the modern helmet off the pilot, generating high-neck tension loads. Data indicates that the Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing System and helmet mounted displays in tactical fighter aircraft can structurally fail above 450 knots, which causes wind-stream aerodynamics on the pilot's helmet to generate neck tension loads over 700 pounds, well above risk-of-injury thresholds to the pilot. The committee notes that the Department of Defense Military Handbook 516B (MIL-HDBK-516B) for Airworthiness Certification Criteria prescribes a requirement for less than 5 percent risk of major injury resulting from an aircraft ejection event, but that the requirement stipulated is not being met today for ejection seats in legacy fighter aircraft or fifth generation tactical aircraft. The committee understands that state-of-the art upgraded ejection seats can effectively address these risks while at the same time providing significantly improved ease of maintenance and increased aircraft availability, but the Department of the Air Force has failed to take advantage of the new and improved ejection seat technology that would greatly enhance protection of pilots in ejection seat aircraft during emergency situations. The committee notes that the high-speed ejection of a tactical fighter pilot in January 2014 resulted in a pilot fatality because of the ejection's high-neck tension load encountered during the ejection.

Subsequently, the committee encourages the Department of the Air Force to develop a strategy to begin replacing the 1970s-designed ejection seats equipped in most legacy fighter and bomber aircraft as soon as possible. The committee believes that minimizing sustainment life-cycle costs through commonality with currently-fielded components should also be included as a prime determinant in selecting an upgraded ejection seat.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees with the

submission of the President's fiscal year 2016 budget to Congress, that articulates which Department of Defense type, model, series ejection seat equipped aircraft meet the aircrew survivability and equipment airworthiness requirements stipulated by current policy and regulation of the Department for pilots and aircrew that wear advanced helmet display equipment, night vision goggles, or both, during flying operations.

Therefore, elsewhere in this Act, the committee establishes two budget lines in research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force account, and the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account titled "Ejection Seat Reliability Improvement Program". The committee recommends \$10.5 million, an increase of \$10.5 million, of which \$3.5 million is for initial qualification in the research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force account, and \$7.0 million is for initial installation of upgraded ejection seats in the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account.

Moving target indicator way ahead

Combat Operations in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and the Republic of Iraq have highlighted a growing demand for airborne moving target indicator (MTI) sensing as well as significant phenomenologically-driven performance limitations in counter-insurgency and counter-terrorism operating environments. The committee understands that the Department of the Air Force intends to recapitalize the E-8C joint surveillance and targeting radar attack system (JSTARS) fleet on a more efficient airframe with modern radar, avionics, and communications systems, and an on-board battle management and command and control capability. Well ahead of the initial operating capability (IOC) of any replacement platform, the Department of the Air Force intends to reduce the E-8C fleet by nearly one third, by reducing the E-8C JSTARS fleet from 16 to 11 aircraft, and to begin incrementally reducing associated E-8C JSTARS manpower.

At the same time, the committee notes that the Department of the Air Force continues to test and field Global Hawk Block 40 aircraft with the multi-platform radar technology insertion program (MP-RTIP) MTI radar, eventually fielding 11 high-altitude, long endurance aircraft. In contrast, the committee also notes that the Department of the Air Force development efforts relating to the vehicle dismount and exploitation radar (VADER) transitioned to the Army with no apparent plans to field a capability on Department of the Air Force remotely piloted aircraft (RPA).

The committee is concerned that the volume and pace of change in MTI development and fielding may be indicative of a lack of precision in the underlying requirement set. While the JSTARS recapitalization platform is planned to achieve far better performance in the areas of higher altitudes, superior sensing, increased operational availability and speed, the number of platforms to be fielded is identical to that of JSTARS. However, the requirement for the number of JSTARS was established long before 11 long-endurance high altitude MTI platforms were

developed. Limitations in MTI performance against dismounts that led to the development of the VADER have not been addressed in either JSTARS or MP-RTIP and have yet to be defined for the JSTARS recapitalization aircraft.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees by February 16, 2015, that captures the aggregate requirement for MTI capability and capacity for the Department of Defense. The report should detail the current validated requirements for MTI capacity for Global Hawk Block 40, JSTARS, and the JSTARS recapitalization aircraft. Requirements should be expressed in terms of sensor fidelity using metrics such as ground radar coverage area, revisit rate, minimum detection velocity, target locating error, hours on-station per mission per month and per year, sorties per month and per year, and anticipated targets types and density. The report should also highlight the degree to which the current Air Force plan, including the near-term reductions in JSTARS capacity and the end-state aggregate of 27 MTI aircraft compare to the underlying requirement.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

BUDGET REQUEST ADJUSTMENTS

Corrosion Prevention

The committee commends the Department of Defense on the formulation of policy and guidance to address corrosion, which costs the military departments more than \$20.0 billion annually and leads to decreased readiness, increased manpower requirements, and significantly higher life-cycle sustainment costs. However, despite addressing the issue in the committee report (H. Rept. 113-102) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66), the committee is concerned about the pace of acquisition of proven anti-corrosion products and the resulting impacts on sustainment costs. The committee is also concerned about the impact inadequate resourcing may have on the pace of anti-corrosion technology development. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2015, on the implementation of corrosion prevention measures both within the military departments and the Corrosion Prevention Program Office.

Further, the budget request contained \$4.8 million in operation and maintenance funding for the Corrosion Prevention Program in addition to military department and departmental research efforts to combat corrosion, which the committee believes to be inadequate. The committee recommends an additional \$20.0 million to be divided equally among the military departments' corrosion prevention efforts and the defense-wide Corrosion Prevention Program.

OTHER MATTERS

Office of Net Assessment

The budget request contained \$8.9 million within Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for the Office of Net Assessment (ONA).

The committee notes that the Department of Defense continues to decrement the proposed funding for the office. The committee is concerned that this decrement, in conjunction with the Secretary of Defense's plan to realign the office under the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, may limit the ability and flexibility of ONA to conduct long-range comparative assessments. The office has a long history of providing alternative analyses and strategies that challenge the "group think" that can often pervade the Department of Defense. The committee believes that the growing array of complex security challenges facing the United States, as highlighted in the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review, coupled with a constrained budget environment, will only create greater demand for the unconventional thinking and solutions that the Office is capable of providing.

One such challenge is in the area of space deterrence, and elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would require the office to conduct a study of potential alternate defense and deterrent strategies in response to the existing and projected counterspace capabilities of the People's Republic of China and the Russian Federation.

The committee therefore recommends \$18.9 million, an increase of \$10.0 million, for fiscal year 2015 for the Office of Net Assessment. This recommended authorization is reflected in a new funding line for the Office of Net Assessment within Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, as shown in section 4301 of this Act.

Also, elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would codify the Office within chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code, and establish a dedicated program element for budgeting purposes. The committee believes the office must remain an independent organization within the Department reporting directly to the Secretary. However, the committee also recognizes that improvements can be made within the Department to ensure the office's assessments better inform and influence its overall strategy and policy. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than September 5, 2014, a plan for how the Department can better leverage the Office as well as a description of the activities, deliverables, and allocation of funds planned for the Office of Net Assessment for fiscal year 2015.

United States Special Operations Command Proposed Sponsorship of U.S. Naval Ship Sumner

The committee is aware that the United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) recently requested transfer of sponsorship of the United States Naval Ship (USNS) Sumner (T-AGS 61) from the Military Sealift Command to USSOCOM to support near-term maritime requirements for United States Southern Command. The committee is also aware that USSOCOM has initiated a new-start procurement using current fiscal year 2014 funds to begin modifications to USNS Sumner estimated at \$8.9 million. The budget request for fiscal year 2015 included \$20.3 million in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, to further modify and operate USNS Sumner within the United States Southern Command area of operations.

The committee is concerned that the proposed transfer of sponsorship of USNS Sumner to USSOCOM and proposed command and control relationships are without precedent, and that projected costs for the current fiscal year and across the Future Years Defense Program will far exceed current estimates. Further, the committee has concerns that the requirement is being funded only by USSOCOM Major Force Program -11 (MFP-11) funds which are limited by section 167 of title 10, United States Code, to provide only the incremental funding and acquisition of special operations-peculiar material, supplies, and services. Since the committee understands that this platform will be used to also support the geographic combatant commander theater campaign plans such as counter-narcotics, humanitarian assistance, and security force assistance, the committee believes that MFP-11 funding is an inappropriate source for these costly modifications and operations, and that MFP-11 is being used to supplant activities that should be provided for by the services and the geographic combatant commander.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees by August 1, 2014, on the proposed transfer of the USNS Sumner from Military Sealift Command to USSOCOM. The briefing at a minimum should outline:

- (1) The validated requirement as defined by the geographic combatant commander;
- (2) Anticipated costs across the Future Years Defense Program and funding sources;
- (3) Reason for the use of USNS Sumner, to include a business case analysis discussing efficiencies and cost savings; and
- (4) Any other matters the Secretary deems appropriate.

Furthermore, given these concerns, the committee denies the requested amount of \$20.3 million in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, to further modify and operate USNS Sumner and redirects this funding to more direct operational readiness requirements within Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, Flying Hours Program for USSOCOM.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Acquisitions Involving Reverse Auctions

The committee notes that there may be substantial benefits to contracting for goods and services through reverse auction procedures. However, the committee is concerned that Department of Defense personnel, particularly those that are not formally designated within the acquisition workforce, may lack sufficient training and experience to fully realize these benefits. Without adequate training on the benefits and risks of utilizing reverse auction procedures as a purchasing method, acquisition personnel might miss opportunities to stipulate best-value determinations, encourage small business participation, or raise other non-price considerations.

Two studies by the Army Corps of Engineers have posited that reverse auctions for construction services do not provide savings to the government and that they increase risk associated with such projects. While the Corps has discontinued the use of reverse auctions in this area, other agencies continue to use reverse auctions for construction services. A third study by the Government Accountability Office (GAO-14-408) found that there was inadequate competition in nearly 40 percent of governmental acquisitions conducted using reverse auctions. The report recommended that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget take steps to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulations regarding agencies' use of reverse auctions and to issue government-wide guidance for maximizing competition and savings when using reverse auctions.

The committee is also concerned that reverse auction procedures or other procurement methodologies that are aimed solely at making a purchase at the lowest price, may not be the best way to procure personal protective equipment such as body armor components, combat helmets, protective eyewear, and fire resistant clothing. Using price as the deciding factor in the procurement of such items may lead to products of an inferior quality for the warfighter, and have long-term deleterious effects on the industrial base and the willingness of private sector firms to develop products and services for the Department. Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to review the training and education provided to Department of Defense personnel regarding the use of reverse auction procurement methodologies, and to revise such training and education, as may be necessary, to ensure that Department personnel understand the benefits, risks, and appropriate applications of reverse auction procedures. The Under Secretary should report to the congressional defense committees, not later than March 2, 2015, on the findings of this review.

Comptroller General Assessment of Department of Defense Processes for the Acquisition of Services

To complement the committee's effort to improve the processes by which the Department of Defense acquires weapon systems, the committee directs the

Comptroller General of the United States to undertake a body of work to identify further opportunities to improve the Department's processes to acquire contracted services.

Over the last decade, Congress has provided new tools and capabilities intended to improve the Department's processes and oversight of services acquisitions. These include requiring the establishment of a management structure and review process for high-dollar services; designation of senior managers responsible for services contract approval and oversight within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the military departments; and the mandating of an annual inventory of contracted services to assist in workforce planning and budgeting.

The committee notes that the Department has undertaken several actions to improve its approach to acquiring services, most recently in the Department's Better Buying Power initiatives. Nevertheless, in a series of reports issued over the past year, the Comptroller General found that the Department still did not know the current status of services acquisitions in terms of the volume or type of services being acquired, lacked reliable data on the projected spending for services, had not fully embraced total workforce management approaches, and had not fully leveraged its buying power through strategic sourcing. With services acquisition accounting for more than half of the Department's contracting efforts, the committee believes that a holistic assessment of the myriad processes that play a role in acquiring services is needed if the Department is to fundamentally improve its efficiency and effectiveness.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General to continue monitoring the Department's efforts in this area and to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the processes and procedures of the Department of Defense for the acquisition of services to identify opportunities to improve these processes and procedures. An initial report on the assessment should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2016, with follow-up efforts subsequently briefed to the committees as phases of the assessment are completed. At a minimum, the assessment should examine:

- (1) The requirements generation, budgeting, and contracting processes associated with the acquisition of services;
- (2) How such processes interface with the Department's strategic human capital planning processes;
- (3) The Department's efforts to identify and, as appropriate, enhance the skills and capabilities needed by the workforce to acquire and oversee contracted services;
- (4) The extent to which the Department is acquiring contracted services using strategic sourcing; and
- (5) The extent to which the Department's annual budget request and future budget projections for contracted services are based on current, accurate, and reliable data.

Comptroller General Review of Compliance with Limitations on Contract Services Spending

The committee notes that a Government Accountability Office review found that the Department of Defense failed to adhere to the enacted limitations on contracted services in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), exceeding the limitations by more than \$1.0 billion in fiscal year 2012. Moreover, guidance for adherence to the extension of the limitations for fiscal year 2014 has yet to be issued. The committee is concerned that the Department does not have adequate policies, procedures, and controls in place to enforce limitations on the annual amounts expended on contracted services.

The committee is also concerned that not all contracted services are being subjected to the spending limitations because of the exclusion of contracted services involving Economy Act transfers between and within Department of Defense components. Also, because of the disparity between the levels of contracted services captured in the Inventory of Contracts for Services, required under section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, and what the Department budgets for contracted services, the committee concludes that the Department does not deliberately plan for most contracted services. At the same time the Department exceeded its spending limitations on contracted services, the Department furloughed a majority of its civilian workforce and, in the case of many Department of Defense components, under-executed civilian spending.

The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to report to the congressional defense committees on the Department's compliance with section 808 of Public Law 112-81, as amended by section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) for each of the fiscal years the limitation has been in effect. The Comptroller General should submit an initial report by October 30, 2014, that covers fiscal years 2012-13. The final report should be submitted by September 30, 2015, and address the Department's compliance during fiscal year 2014. The final report should include an assessment of the efforts by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Department's financial management and acquisition communities to implement effective control mechanisms for contracted services spending. Additionally, the Comptroller General's review should assess steps taken by defense components in executing the reductions to contractor performance of inherently governmental functions, closely associated with inherently governmental functions, and staff augmentation workload in accordance with the provisions of section 808 of Public Law 112-81.

Comptroller General Review of Rulemaking Practices of the Department of Defense

The committee is aware that the interim rulemaking process of the Department of Defense allows for the expedited promulgations of rules in certain cases, and that numerous factors lead the Department of Defense to issue interim rules in lieu of proposed rules. The committee notes that interim rules may be

issued for a variety of reasons when cause can be shown that a standard public notice-and-comment period would be impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest. Such causes include responses to emergencies, expedient measures to avert threats to public health or safety, and the need to make minor technical or insubstantial changes to regulations. However, the committee is also aware that overreliance on the interim rulemaking process may limit industry participation because that process may not afford opportunities to consider industry comments before, or even after, the final rule takes effect.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to evaluate the Department's rulemaking practices for promulgating acquisition regulations, and specifically the issuance of interim rules rather than the issuance of proposed rules. The evaluation should include:

- (1) A brief description of current rulemaking procedures, including relevant provisions for notice-and-comment;
- (2) An assessment of the frequency with which the interim rulemaking process is used by the Department of Defense to promulgate acquisition regulations;
- (3) Descriptions of the most prevalent good cause justifications given by the Department for using rulemaking options other than the proposed rulemaking process; and
- (4) Any recommendations the Comptroller General may have for improving constructive communications between the Department and industry during the rulemaking process.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2015, on the results of this evaluation.

Rare Earth Elements Supply Chain Review

The committee remains concerned about the Department of Defense's ability to mitigate the risks associated with its dependence on foreign-sourced rare earth elements. A February 2014 Department of Defense report titled "Diversification of Supply and Reclamation Activities Related to Rare Earth Elements," received by the committee pursuant to the committee report (H. Rept. 113-102) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, stated that the Department's mitigation strategy for foreign-sourced rare earth elements used in Department of Defense weapon systems includes "diversification of supply, pursuit of substitutes, and reclamation of waste." However, a separate February 2014 report from the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition on the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF), also required by H. Rept. 113-102, discussed the lack of feasibility of implementing such a mitigation strategy for the JSF.

The committee is aware that the development of many modern systems, including the JSF and the AN/TPS-80 Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar, rely on rare earth magnets, and it has come to the committee's attention that there are

cases where these magnets are being supplied by foreign companies. The committee finds the Department's use of national security waivers to enable continued reliance on these foreign-origin magnets, to specifically include samarium-cobalt magnets, troubling, and it notes such concern elsewhere in this report. The committee is concerned that in relying on foreign companies for supply of these magnets, the Department may unknowingly impart knowledge of design parameters, performance requirements, and test procedures for parts or components in advanced U.S. military equipment. The committee is also concerned that the recent discovery of Chinese-origin rare earth magnets in the JSF supply chain occurred only as a result of compliance requirements related to the prohibition on foreign sourcing of other elements such as titanium, steel, and zirconium.

Therefore, the committee directs the Director of the Defense Contract Management Agency to conduct an examination of the sourcing of rare earth elements in Acquisition Category (ACAT) I programs not later than February 1, 2015. In doing so, the Director should determine whether an ACAT I program contains rare earth elements that are necessary to the functionality of that program. If so, the Director should determine the country of origin of the elements. If the Director has reason to believe that rare earth elements in an ACAT I program were manufactured by a foreign entity, then the Director should immediately inform the program manager in writing, and provide a report of the findings to the congressional defense committees, not later than March 1, 2015. The report may be provided in classified form.

Use of National Security Waiver to Specialty Metals Clause

The committee is aware of recent cases where the Secretary of Defense has waived the legal requirement to buy specialty metals from American sources, pursuant to the national security waiver clause in subsection (k) of section 2533b, title 10, United States Code. The committee believes that the national security waiver should be used only to provide flexibility to the Department of Defense when there is imminent threat of harm to U.S. service members or national security interests, not in lieu of the other exceptions provided in the clause. The committee is concerned that recent use of the waiver has not been aligned with this intent, therefore the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, not later than December 1, 2014, on the Department's use of the national security waiver clause in section 2533b of title 10, United States Code. The report should include:

- (1) Documentation of all national security waivers issued by the Department since 2008;
- (2) The procedures used by the Department to determine whether a national security waiver should be issued;
- (3) The procedures used by the Department to determine whether a supply deficiency is best addressed through the national security waiver or through the availability exception;

(4) The procedures used by the Department to monitor contractor compliance;

(5) The procedures used by the Department to determine whether noncompliance by contractors and subcontractors is “knowing or willful” (10 U.S.C. 2533b(k)(3)); and

(6) The procedures used by the Department to determine whether further action by the Department is necessary to prevent the recurrence of the supply chain issue that led to noncompliance and the subsequent issuance of a national security waiver.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Headquarters Reductions

The committee is aware that in July 2013, the Secretary of Defense directed a 20 percent reduction in the major headquarters budgets of the Department of Defense, and announced plans to implement a series of management efficiencies and overhead reductions within the Department. In December 2013, the Secretary then announced several organizational changes and personnel reductions within the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), and stated that, “every dollar that we save by reducing the size of our headquarters and back-office operations is a dollar that can be invested in warfighting capabilities and readiness.” However, the committee notes that announcements on organizational changes and personnel reductions across the Department's other major headquarters organizations have not yet been made.

The committee has observed extensive growth in the Department's major headquarters over the past decade. In a 2013 review, the Comptroller General of the United States reported that the size of the headquarters staff of the geographic combatant commands, excluding U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM), had grown by 50 percent over the past decade. In an ongoing review for the committee, the Comptroller General has found even larger growth at CENTCOM, noting its support to military operations in the Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan over the past decade, as well as the functional combatant commands, the service secretariats and service staffs, the Joint Staff, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense. The Comptroller General further observed a lack of discipline in setting and validating requirements for individual headquarters, and a lack of visibility into the total resources allocated across organizations for similar functions and missions. The growth in bureaucracy at the Department is also being examined by the Defense Business Board in the context of its review of best business practices in human capital management.

While the committee welcomes the Department's efforts to implement management efficiencies and overhead reductions, it is concerned that the Department may seek to implement such changes and across-the-board personnel reductions without the benefit of a comprehensive assessment of mission and functional requirements, critical capability and skillset requirements, and cost drivers. Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to combine combatant command back-office functions in order to achieve greater efficiencies.

The committee appreciates the Comptroller General's reviews and believes that further assessment of the data collected by the Comptroller General may better inform the Department, as it continues efforts to generate cost savings through organizational changes and personnel reductions, and the committee as it continues to oversee such efforts. Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General to perform an in-depth review in order to identify:

- (1) Any trends in personnel and other resources being devoted to selected functional areas within and across related organizations;
- (2) To what extent the Department of Defense and the military services have taken steps to eliminate or consolidate overlapping, fragmented, or duplicative functions;
- (3) Whether efforts to date constitute a systematic and comprehensive approach; and
- (4) Any additional steps the Department could take to realize additional savings.

The organizations that should be considered in this cross-cutting review should include, but not be limited to, the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the service secretariats and staff, the geographic and functional combatant commands and their service component commands, and the defense agencies and field activities. The committee directs the Comptroller General to submit one or more reports, as deemed appropriate by the Comptroller General and agreed to with the committee, by April 30, 2015, to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

Comptroller General Review of Operational Test and Evaluation Processes and Activities

The committee remains concerned over the regularity at which an increase in time and funding is required to develop and field new weapon systems. To help inform the committee's understanding of how operational test and evaluation processes and activities may unnecessarily increase schedule and cost of major defense acquisition programs, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the operational test and evaluation processes and activities of the Department of Defense and to inform the congressional defense committees of the results of that review not later than March 15, 2015. The review should, at a minimum, examine:

(1) The processes the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) uses to select programs for oversight and the number and types of programs covered since October 1, 2009;

(2) The nature and extent of oversight DOT&E conducted or is conducting on these programs;

(3) The extent to which the requirements of subsection 2339(b) of title 10, United States Code, are applied in such a manner that imposes additional operational requirements on programs overseen by DOT&E or necessitates testing of operational requirements not previously validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council or a military service;

(4) The benefits of DOT&E oversight on these programs, to include enhancements of program performance, reliability, and capability;

(5) Cost or schedule delays in the operational test phases of these programs and the resulting cost and schedule delays incurred by these programs as a result of oversight processes and activities of DOT&E; and

(6) The specific DOT&E criteria, for example, measures of effectiveness and analysis, and process to measure effectiveness, suitability, and survivability of programs.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

U.S. Southern Command Asset Resourcing

The committee continues to be discouraged by asset resourcing in U.S. Southern Command (SOUTHCOM). In particular, the committee is concerned that a shortfall in maritime, aerial, and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) assets in the region hampers SOUTHCOM's ability to combat the illicit networking of drugs, weapons, humans, and money, and affects security and stability along the southern border of the United States.

While the Office of National Drug Control Policy's whole-of-government goal is to remove 40 percent of narcotics transiting to the United States through SOUTHCOM's area of responsibility (AOR) by fiscal year 2015, the committee notes that this goal is unlikely to be met, in large part because resources allocated to the region to address this goal are continuing to diminish. In testimony before the committee in February 2014, the commander of SOUTHCOM expressed his concern about U.S. asset shortfalls in the region to meet the command's assigned missions derived from this whole-of-government goal, among others. Specifically, the commander testified that SOUTHCOM requires a 16.0 ship presence to support the command's assigned missions. In contrast, the commander estimates that, in fiscal year 2015, SOUTHCOM will maintain a sporadic 3.0 ship presence. Similarly, the

commander of SOUTHCOM, before the Senate Committee on Armed Services in March 2014, estimated that in fiscal year 2015, the command will satisfy only 5 percent of its ISR requirements associated with combating transnational organized crime.

The committee recognizes that the cost of combating illicit drug and other networks is exponentially lower, and the associated violence levels minimal, outside the United States as compared to inside the Nation. Therefore, in order to more effectively interdict narcotics and combat other illicit networks and transnational criminal organizations in the region, the Department of Defense must prioritize the detection and monitoring mission in SOUTHCOM.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by February 1, 2015, on the Department's updated strategy to combat illicit drug networks, other illicit networks, and transnational criminal organizations, in the SOUTHCOM AOR. The strategy should include an identification of requirements, assessment of capability gaps and shortfalls, assessment of assets and resources necessary to address the gaps and shortfalls, and plan for allocating those assets and resources. Lastly, the strategy should include an assessment of and cost estimate for alternative platforms, including maritime and aerial platforms, that could also satisfy the command's detection and monitoring requirements.

OTHER MATTERS

Inspector General's Report on Over-Classification of National Security Information

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2015, on the status and implementation of the recommendations found in the Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General's report, "DOD Evaluation of Over-Classification of National Security Information" (DODIG-2013-142). The Secretary's report should include specific actions taken to implement the recommendations contained in the report and timeframes for implementing the remaining recommendations.

Phased Modernization of Certain Navy Ships

In March 2014, the Navy proposed to reduce its operational force structure of Ticonderoga-class cruisers and amphibious dock landing ships (LSD). The Navy plans to take 14 ships out of their normal deployment rotations and place them in long-term phased modernization and maintenance to extend the expected service life of the ships. According to the Navy, this plan will allow it to retain the 11 cruisers and 3 amphibious ships through the 2030s and into the 2040s.

The committee is concerned about the Navy's plan to reduce its battle force structure by 14 ships, especially in light of shortfalls in the force structure necessary to meet the requirements of the National Military Strategy.

Additionally, the committee notes that while the Navy places Military Sealift Command ships in reduced operating status, or ROS, on a regular basis, surface combatant and amphibious ships are more complex and their crews need more training before they can be certified as being ready for deployment and major combat operations. Further, the Navy states it has not reactivated any surface combatant ships from long-term protective storage since the 1980s.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, on the extent to which the Navy has identified:

(1) The potential costs and cost savings associated with the Navy's phased modernization plan for the 11 cruisers and 3 LSDs;

(2) The operational benefits and risks associated with this long-term plan; and

(3) The costs, savings, benefits, and risks of any alternate plans that were considered before putting forth the Navy's current plan.

Report on National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force Recommendations

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) established the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force to evaluate the Total Force force structure of the Active, Guard, and Reserve Components of the Department of the Air Force.

The committee is grateful for the time, effort, dedication, and expertise of all the commissioners and their staff that was applied to the development of the commission's report, despite the burdensome and arcane interpretation and application of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463) to the commission's deliberations. The committee thanks the commissioners and staff for their many years of distinguished service in key leadership positions of the national security apparatus.

The commission was charged with reviewing six distinct areas of the Air Force Total Force: (1) current and anticipated requirements of combatant commanders; (2) personnel and mission balance between all components of the Total Force; (3) capacity and capability of the Total Force for addressing Homeland Defense and Disaster Assistance requirements; (4) regular Air Force ability to provide capability and capacity to the Reserve Components; (5) operational tempo of Total Force components; and (6) the affordability, efficiency, effectiveness, capability, and readiness of the Total Force enterprise to meet national security requirements.

The commission derived 42 distinct recommendations for the President, Congress, and the Department of Defense to consider. The committee notes that during House-Senate conference deliberations on Public Law 112-239, it was acknowledged that the commission's report would not likely inform the President's budget submission for fiscal year 2015 because of Department of Defense budget process timelines, the date for submission of the commission's report, and late

enactment of Public Law 112-239, which established the commission. The conferees expected the report to be used to inform the Department's fiscal year 2016 budget submission to the Congress in calendar year 2015.

Air Force leadership testimony this year and recent discussions and briefings with Air Force officials indicate that Department of Defense officials are evaluating the commission's 42 recommendations to determine whether or not they could be viably institutionalized into the Air Force's Total Force enterprise. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than February 2, 2015, that includes the following:

- (1) Assesses all 42 recommendations of the commission's report;
- (2) Establishes an official regular Air Force, Air Force Reserve, and National Guard Bureau position on each recommendation;
- (3) Articulates which of the 42 recommendations are incorporated into the President's fiscal year 2016 budget request and fiscal year 2016 Future Years Defense Program for the Department of Defense;
- (4) Describes the method, measures of effectiveness, and metrics established for evaluating each recommendation incorporated into the budget request;
- (5) Articulates the interim or final disposition of each recommendation, and associated rationale, that is not integrated into the fiscal year 2016 budget request;
- (6) Includes dissenting views or opinions of any Air Force Total Force component leadership associated with the evaluation and disposition of any recommendation of the commission's report; and
- (7) Any additional information that the Secretary of the Air Force or the Chief of the National Guard Bureau desire to include in the report.

Security Risks Related to Foreign Investment in the United States

The committee is concerned that gaps may exist within the current legislative and regulatory authorities for assessing the potential security impact of certain real property transactions involving the Federal Government and foreign-controlled entities within the United States. As a result of some recent transactions, entities controlled by foreign interests have acquired access to onshore and offshore properties within proximity of Department of Defense facilities, ranges, and sensitive operating areas.

The committee is concerned that such access and the proximities of associated properties could combine to negatively affect military readiness and national security. The committee notes that other government agencies are not required to coordinate with the Department of Defense to assess the potential effects of selling or leasing Federal property to foreign-controlled entities seeking to operate in the vicinity of military operating areas or installations. The committee also notes that the current processes that examine foreign investment in the United States do not cover all categories of transactions or projects having the potential to

adversely affect Department of Defense capabilities and technologies, to include the possible exposure of tactics, techniques, and procedures. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with other relevant Federal departments and agencies as appropriate, to conduct an assessment of the current statutory and regulatory framework governing real property transactions involving the Federal Government and foreign-controlled entities within the United States as they relate to military readiness and national security. The review should address:

(1) The processes by which the Department of Defense and the military services assess national security risks posed by foreign investments in Federal properties or facilities within proximity of Department of Defense operating areas or installations;

(2) Actions that may be taken by the Department of Defense to mitigate such risks;

(3) The manner in which the Department of Defense coordinates efforts with other Federal agencies to monitor proposed real property transactions involving the Federal Government and foreign-controlled entities within the United States; and

(4) Procedures by which the Secretary of Defense could communicate concerns to other Federal departments and agencies regarding a proposed real property transaction with a foreign-controlled entity due to proximity to Department of Defense facilities, ranges, or operating areas.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than January 5, 2015, on the findings of the review and on any recommendations that the Secretary may have for improving the current statutory and regulatory framework for monitoring real property transactions involving the Federal Government and foreign-controlled entities within the United States for possible national security implications. Within 90 days after the date of submission, the Comptroller General of the United States should conduct a sufficiency review of the report submitted by the Secretary and report the results of that review to the congressional defense committees.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Monitoring of Ongoing Construction Activities in Afghanistan

The committee is aware that there may be limitations in the ability of U.S. forces to access all parts of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to monitor ongoing Department of Defense construction activities after December 31, 2014. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives, not later than December 15, 2014, on plans to monitor such activities after December 31, 2014.

Report on Financial Management Capacity of Afghan Ministry of Defense and Ministry of Interior

In November 2013, the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) issued a report titled, "Comprehensive Risk Assessments of MOD and MOI Financial Management Capacity Could Improve Oversight of Over \$4 Billion in Direct Assistance Funding" (SIGAR-14-12-SP). The report evaluated whether Department of Defense funds provided to the Ministry of Defense (MOD) and the Ministry of Interior (MOI) of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan are properly managed and safeguarded to protect against fraud, waste, and abuse. The Department of Defense reported to SIGAR that, as of September 2013, it committed \$4.2 billion and disbursed nearly \$3.0 billion in direct assistance to the Afghan MOD and MOI for the sustainment of the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF), including procurement of food, goods, and services; funding salaries; and funding minor construction.

The committee believes that an assessment of the financial management capacity of the Afghan MOD and MOI will improve the protection of U.S. direct assistance to Afghanistan to fund and equip the ANSF and ensure the assistance is used as intended. The committee also believes that an assessment of any associated risks for U.S. funds will assist the Department of Defense in developing mitigation measures to address any such risks.

Therefore, the committee directs the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the financial management capacity and risks within the Afghan MOD and the MOI. The Inspector General should also assess the capacity and risks within the Afghan Ministry of Finance, to the extent the Inspector General determines that such an assessment is required to protect Department funds and would not duplicate efforts conducted by other agencies of the U.S. Government. Such assessment should:

- (1) Identify core financial management functions within the Afghan MOD and MOI, including: financial management and accounting capacity; financial accountability and control environment; procurement and asset management capacity; contracting mechanisms and processes; and management of personnel systems;

- (2) Identify major risks and mitigation strategies deemed necessary for ensuring that the MOD and MOI are able to manage U.S. direct assistance; and

- (3) Assess how a post-2014 United States and North Atlantic Treaty Organization residual military presence to train, advise, and assist the ANSF would help mitigate any risk in the financial management capacity of the Afghan MOD and MOI.

The committee further directs the Inspector General to provide a report on the results of the assessment to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by December 31, 2014.

The committee is cognizant of the security situation in Afghanistan and of other challenges that may affect the Inspector General's ability to carry out such an

assessment. The committee understands that the Inspector General would conduct the assessment in phases to accommodate these challenges. If the Inspector General cannot complete the assessment and deliver the required report by December 31, 2014, the Inspector General should notify the committees of the reasons the assessment cannot be completed by that date and include in such notification the date the Inspector General expects that the assessment will be completed and submitted.

Report on Operational Contract Support in U.S. Africa Command

While much attention has been paid to the use of contractors by the Department of Defense to support the large-scale operations in the Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, the committee recognizes the Department uses contractors to support myriad efforts in other locations around the globe. Specifically, within U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM), the Department relies heavily on contractors to provide logistical, linguistic, intelligence, and transportation support to ongoing training, advising, and other assistance missions. While the challenges and deficiencies regarding the planning, management, and oversight of contractors in Iraq and Afghanistan have been well documented, and the Department has taken several steps to address these challenges, the committee notes that the challenges associated with contractor support for other types of operations in other regions could be substantially different. In addition to broader concerns regarding planning, management, and oversight of contracts of this nature, the committee is concerned about the extent to which the Department may face operational contract support challenges in AFRICOM.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to examine the processes AFRICOM uses to plan, manage, and oversee contractors that support its military operations conducted in Africa. Specifically, the review should include an assessment of the following:

- (1) The extent to which AFRICOM uses contractors to support its programs and operations and the appropriateness of how AFRICOM uses contractors to support such programs and operations;
- (2) The extent to which AFRICOM depends on the Department of State to provide operational contract support to its activities in Africa and the potential challenges associated with this support;
- (3) The organizational structure in place at AFRICOM and its subordinate commands to manage operational contract support, including planning for the use of contractors and oversight of contractors during operations, as well as the systems and processes for tracking contractors throughout operations;
- (4) The processes used to vet non-United States contractors and contractor employees; and
- (5) Any other issues the Comptroller General determines appropriate with respect to the Department of Defense's operational contract support in AFRICOM.

The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 1, 2015, on the above assessment, with a follow-on report delivered to those committees not later than April 15, 2015.

Report on U.S. Army Regionally Aligned Brigade in Africa

In 2013, U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM) became the first geographic combatant command to receive a regionally aligned force (RAF). Under the regionally aligned force concept, the Army will align designated units to specific regions and deploy small groups of personnel from those units to conduct security force assistance activities, leaving the rest of the units at their home stations. These activities include exercises, training events, and other security cooperation and security force assistance activities. The RAF units are expected to maintain readiness for their core mission even as they support the geographic combatant commander's needs. The Army has designated one brigade to serve as the regionally aligned force for AFRICOM.

The committee is concerned about potential overlaps in roles and responsibilities, and notes that there are other Department of Defense security force assistance activities underway in Africa, including Africa Contingency Operations Training and Assistance efforts and the National Guard's State Partnership Program. Furthermore, the committee is concerned that these additional mission requirements and the dispersion of the RAF may impact the ability of the force to maintain readiness for its core mission. Elsewhere in this report, the committee expresses support for the Army's RAF concept but also states various concerns. In addition, elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit an assessment of the RAF to the congressional defense committees concurrent with the submission of the President's budget for fiscal year 2016.

As a complement to this assessment, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to evaluate the employment of the RAF in Africa. The Comptroller General's evaluation should be informed by the required Army assessment and include the following:

- (1) The extent to which AFRICOM has defined the intended roles, responsibilities, goals, objectives, and required capabilities for the use of the regionally aligned brigade to conduct security force assistance activities, to include evaluating the impact of conducted activities;

- (2) The extent to which AFRICOM has identified and mitigated potential overlap between the requirements and capabilities of the regionally aligned brigade and other Department of Defense security force assistance activities within AFRICOM;

- (3) The extent to which the regionally aligned brigade has been able to meet AFRICOM requirements to conduct security force assistance activities, to include

the training and equipping of brigade personnel and associated costs to meet mission requirements;

(4) What impact, if any, the regionally aligned brigade's security force assistance activities and requirements in AFRICOM have had on its ability to maintain readiness, to include adhering to training schedules; and

(5) Any other issues the Comptroller General determines appropriate with respect to the Army's regionally aligned brigade in Africa.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to provide a preliminary briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 1, 2015, on the above evaluation, with a report to follow not later than April 15, 2015.

Report on U.S. Government Comprehensive Approach to Strengthen its Strategic Partnership with Djibouti

The committee believes that the strategic relationship between the U.S. Government and the Government of the Republic of Djibouti remains one of the most important strategic relationships that the United States has in the region. In written testimony submitted to the committee on March 5, 2014, the Commander, U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM) stated that the Department of Defense's presence at Camp Lemonnier in Djibouti, "provides a critical platform for our activities, as well as those of Central Command, Special Operations Command, and Transportation Command." The committee further notes the importance of the Department's presence in Djibouti in the classified annex to this report.

The committee appreciates the Government of Djibouti's continued support of this relationship and therefore, elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would provide an enhanced authority to the Secretary of Defense to acquire products and services produced in Djibouti in support of Department of Defense activities in AFRICOM.

However, the committee believes that a comprehensive, long-term, interagency approach is necessary to support the strategic relationship between the two countries, and to support ongoing Department of Defense efforts facilitated by that relationship. The committee is aware that the Department of State and the U.S. Agency for International Development are engaged in Djibouti, but believes that these efforts could be better coordinated within the interagency and should be robust enough to support such a comprehensive approach.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, as well as the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, not later than February 6, 2015, on the specific steps the U.S. Government is taking to strengthen its strategic relationship with Djibouti, including:

- (1) A summary of the strategic goals for the relationship between the United States and the Government of Djibouti;
- (2) An assessment of the current state of the relationship between the United States and the Government of Djibouti; and
- (3) A detailed description of the steps being taken, and additional steps that could be taken, to support a long-term, strategic relationship between the United States and the Government of Djibouti.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Upgrading Beryllium within the National Defense Stockpile

The committee notes that the existing National Defense Stockpile (NDS) for beryllium, a strategic and critical defense material, is presently not in a form which is usable within the defense supply chain. Viable sources of beryllium are only found in the United States and the Russian Federation. The committee continues to believe that military readiness requires continued quick access to beryllium. The committee recognizes the concerted effort on the part of the Department of Defense and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), which administers the NDS, to upgrade the beryllium stockpile. In its latest Annual Materials Plan, the DLA stated its plan to upgrade more than 17 short tons of beryllium material. The committee urges the DLA to upgrade this material quickly, thereby ensuring that the beryllium held in the NDS is capable of quick insertion into the defense supply chain, potentially mitigating supply chain risk.

In addition, the committee directs the Administrator of the National Defense Stockpile to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than September 1, 2014, on the progress of and future plans for the upgrade program for beryllium.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Report on Circumvention of Military Construction Laws

The committee is aware of certain construction projects that were awarded without a specific authorization from Congress and in apparent contravention to section 2802 of title 10, United States Code. The committee is concerned by the contracting officer's and fiscal attorney's assessment to not seek a specific military

construction authorization for these projects prior to award. The committee is further concerned that similar construction projects may have been undertaken within the past 5 years without a specific authorization by Congress. As such, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, that assesses the entirety of all construction projects carried out in the last 5 years by the Secretary concerned without a specific authorization by Congress. This assessment should only review construction projects that exceed the minor military construction thresholds established by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code.